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## ABSTRACT

A statistical composite of the United States' educational system; this report addresses a range of $93^{\circ}$ issues over all levels of education. A narrative introduction interprets a table and a chart for each topic. Data on elementary/secondary education include: enrollment, trends in public and private schools; number and size of schools and school districts and student-teacher ratios; ${ }^{\circ}$ participation in basic courses, special courses, and programs for exceptional children; school revenues and per student expenditures; student performance assessment, state. and local measures for improving performance, and public opinion of school effectiveness. Higher education issues include: enrollment trends; distribution of institutions by level, control and type of degree awarded, and closings of institutions; staffing trends, faculty salaries, and faculty collective bargaining; revenue and expenditures; and outcomes (degrees conferred and employment of recent college/graduates). The chapter on vocational and adult education analyzes participation in vocational programs in high school and under the Vocational Education Act, and the characteristits and needs of adult education participants'. Topics in teacher preparation include teacher supply and demand trends, teacher education institutions, and characteristics of new and prospective teachers. Appended are an explanation of data sources, a glossary, and a cumulative index to this and 1980 to 1982 editions. (MJL)

## On The Inside

## Elementary/Secondary Education

- Public elementary/secondary school enrollment, ạpproximately $46,100,000$ in fall 197.1, dropped to about $40,200,000$ by fall 1981, a decrease of néarly 13 percent. Mid-Atlantic and North Central States experienced the sharpest declines (entry 1.1 ).
- Among the four regions, the Northeast showed a higher percentage of seniors who, starting in the . 10 th grade; had taken at least $21 / 2$ years of coursework in each of the following subjects: English, mathematics, science, and history (entry 1.11).
- Nationally, ${ }^{\text {. }}$, gigh schools graduated approximately 72 percent of persons of graduating age in the 1979-80 school year. From State to State, the proportion ranged from a low of approximately 57 percent in the District of Columbia to a high of more than 85 percent in Minnesota and Nebraska (entry '1. 23).
- Of a selected group of measures to improve academic achievement in high schools, increasing daily attendance was rated of high importance by the greatest percentage of school superintendents. Of all the activitiés, it wass also the most likely to have been recently implemented in all regions (entry 1.28 ).


## Higher Edučation

- Higher education enrollment, after peaking in 1981, is expected to stabilize in 1982 and 1983 and then dectine slightly through 1987. The propgrtions that are male, full-time, and in 4 -year institutions are expected to continue to decrease in the 1980's (entry 2.1).
- Among college-bound seniors, about one in five klanned to attend college out of State. This proportion was lowest in the western regions of the country and highest in the eastern seaboard règions (entry. 2.5).
- The number of bachelor's degrees awarded reached its peak in 1974 and master's in 1977, fluctuating, as have doctor"; degrees, in the ensuing years. Increased numbers of females earning degrees contributed to the rises* (entry 2.19).
- The number of first-professional degrees awarded to women rose appreciably from 1970-71 to 1980-81, while those awarded to men began leveling off in 1976-77. Over the period, the number of female degree recipients quadrupled in medicine and increased by nine-fold in law (entry 2.20).
- When adjusted for inflation, average salaries earned by recent bachelor's degree recipients declined in most occupational fields between 1978 and 1981. Graduates working in engineering continued to earn substantially more than their former classmates employed full-time in other fields (entry 2.25).


## - Vocational and Adult Education

- Almost half of 1980 seniors enrolled in a vocational curriculum expected to be working and one-fifth expected to take vocational education in the year after high school, considerably higher proportions than among seniors in academic or general programs (entry 3.5).
- Office occupations, consumer and homemaking, and trade and industrial programs continued to dominate statistics on federally funded vocational education (VEA) programs in 1981 . The percenf-change in VEA programs from 1975 to 1981 showed increases for most program areds (entry 3.7).
- Over 21 million persons participated in adult education in 1981, 3 million more than in 1978. Participation. by fll age groups grew in absolute numbers and, with the exception of the 25 - to 34 -year-old group, increased faster than the general population (entry 3.10 ).
- Employed persons were much more likely to participate in adult education than the unemployed or persons. keeping house. Among. occupational groups, professional, tecprical, 'and kindred workers had the highest participation rates; about one-third were engaged in adult education in 1981 (entry 3.14).


## Teacher Preparation

- In the mid-1980's, the supply of new teacher graduates is expected to approximate the demand for additional teachers, suggesting a more favorable market for new teacher graduates and shortages in some localities and fields (entry 4.2).
- Despite a 39 -percent reduction in the number of bach* elor's degrees ${ }^{\circ}$ awarded in education, a few specialties, notably special education and pre-elementary, increased their degrees awarded between 1971 and 1981. Reductions in the number of teacher preparation degrees at the bachelor's level were most severe in elementary, art, mathematics, business, and home economics education (entry 4.5).

- According to program heads, "mobst schools/departments of education implemented measures to improve the quality of teacher candidates over the past 5 years. Eighty-five percent indicated that curriculum was made more rigorous and 74 percent said that entrance criteria were raised (entry 4.10).
- The proportion of college freshmen indicating elementary/secondary teaching as their probable career declined throughout the 1970's, dropping to under 5 percent in 1982. (ehtry 4.20).
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"If we could first know where we are and whery we are tending, we could better judge what to do and how to do it".

## Abraham Lincoln

The mission, as I see it, for the National Center for Education Statistics is to describe "where we are and where we are tending" in education in the Nation, providing a base of information upon which sound discussion and decisions can be launched: Through its data collection and analys̃is activities, the Center has attempted to inform, with accurate and timely statistics. the key educational concerns of Federal, State, and local policymakers, educators, and the general public. This report, the ninth in the annual series, is a major response to NCES's mandate "to report full and complete statistics on the conditions of education in the United States . . . , as legislated by the General Education Provisions Act, as amended.

In answering this mandate, the report broadly describes all levels of education, from elementary/secondary through adult education. the basic components-participants. resources, progtams, and outcomes-are presented at each level. In keeping with the Federal role of assistance to States and localities, those principally responsible for education in the Nation, this year's report focuses upon conditions in education along State and regional lines. In Chapters 1 and 2, where the data permit, information is provided showing the dimensions and organization of elementary/secondary and higher education in the various States and the adaptations that

- States have made to different or changing conditions. It should be understood. however, that the purpose of comparison here is not judgmental; rather, it is to describe education in the States within the context of national trends in enrollment, resources, and performance. Chapter 3 provides a description of participants and programs in vocational education and adult education, two sectors increasingly important to the Nation and its people. Concluding the report. Chapter 4 on teacher preparation takes a comprehensive view of developments in education, linking anticipated demands for teachers at the etementary/secondary level with current production of teacher graduates in institutions of higher education.
Throughout the report we have attempted to take a panoramic sweep of conditions in education. yet at the same time highlighting areas of major concern. In Chapter I.


## Administrator's Statement

data are presented, for exarniple, on the instructional use of computers, on participation in high school mathematics and science courses, and on local measures to improve performance, all topics of prime interest to the Federal education program. Chapter 2 provides information on trends on degrees in higher education over the last decade and on the short-term outcomes of a college degree. Chapter 3 presents dat on vocational training at both secondary and postsecondary levels and on participation rates in adult education. Chapter 4 addresses the issues of the projected teacher shortage and of the qualifications of new teachers.

Just as the issues are not always clear cut, the statistics are not often simple and may posé more questions than they answer. We have attempted to anticipatez the kinds of questions researchers may ask and have provided extensive notes where needed. For the audience not well acquainted with statistics, we have made every effort to set forth. as simply as possible, the best estimates available and our most objective interpretation of the data. When no single statistic is available to adequately describe particular conditions in education, alternate indicators have been developed. In describing emerging trends in the supply of new teachers, for instance, we have provided estimates from various sources and have included additional information on the teaching intentions of college freshmed and high school seniors. Although various numbers are reported, they show à consistent trend, in this .case, indicating a shortage in the supply of new teachers in the late 1980's.
The report uses a chartbook format with narrative referring to the data entries. Each entry on a topic consists of a table and a chart, which are presented together. The data highlighted in the chart, and briefly described in a statement accompanying the chart, are extracted from the facing table. Data used in the chart appear in boldface type in the table, which may be readily consulted for further information.

In this report, an effort was made to address a broad range of significant issues at àll levels of education. Many of the statistics presented here relate to issues not included in previous editions of the report. To aid readers desiring statistics on other topics or more data on a particular issue. a cumulative index lists topiçs and data shown in the 1980, 1981. and 1982 editions. aș well as in the present edition.
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- $10^{3}$

Education is often referred to in improcise terms, with
: little notice of the seams and, segments that comprise the whole. We see references, for example, to an-"education. policy" and must pause to realize this is made yp of dozens of different policies on dozens of different aspects of education. These aspects may range from how a rise in the number of working mothers affects preprimary enrollment to how much access handicapped students have to education facilitiẹs. As these examples suggest, cèrtain facets of education may appear to have no relation to each other. Yet, we still tend to lump them together into that broad concept."education.".
This edition of The Condition of Education focuses on the diversity of education. Specifically, it offers a -broader range of State data than previous editions. The data serve to illustrate to what degree certain conditions exist within some States that may ngt exist at all in others. To some extent they also show hout States and localities respond differently to like situations While the information- presented here cannot reveal the chinking" behind the choices made by State and local officials, it often does show the multiplicity of choices available to them.

In his 1982 report to the Congress, Department of Education Secretary Terrel H. Bell noted the necessity of maintaining the diversity of our education systems through State and local control if excellence in education is to be achieved. Toward that end, he set down three specific goals for the Federal government:

- Strengthen education by returning the resnurces and the responsibility for education decision making to the States and local communities and by eliminating the prescriptive administration of Federal programs;
- Assist local educators in renewing our Nation's commitment to excellence and achievement in education at the local level by promoting identification, dévelopment, and communication of effective epractice;'
- Assist local school boards tó give lpolicy guidance and leadership in setting high standards of academic̣ achievement, and to focus school board policy development on motivation and reward for excellence among teachers and stüdents.

These goals woald be difficult to achieve lacking effective data with which to track progress and make decisions. The Condition of Education offers a statistical composite of our education system against which progress can be tracked.

## Elementary/Secondary Education

The challenge of changing enrollments and resources has become a constant in most localities. Equally persistent have been the questions of student performance-where can it improve, how, and at what cost? Chapter 1 deals with these and other developments by exploring the basic --components of elementary/secondary education-students, schools, staff, curriculum, finance, and performance. Stete breakdowns offer defailed comparisons, and! when available, projections and historical scans are included.

The data in this chapter hold some curiosities. One concer the public's view of its public schools. While various groups differed in how they rated public schools, all groups rated their local public schools higher than public schools in general. While this view is not necessarily positive for local schools, it does show that a gap exists between the general perception of public schools and perhaps a more rspecific knowledge based on experience. In other words, the Nation's public schools may be better than we think. If this is so, a new challengeclosing this perception gap-presents itself to educators.

## Higher Education

Institutions of higher education differ by control, level, size, and many other characteristics. The effects of various developments' on these institutions differ correspondingly. Chapter f. explores some of these differences and illustrates enrollment, staffing, and financial'characteristics of higher education in each State. The chapterconcludes with a description of acadernic.and occupational outcomes of higher education,

One difference apparent in several of the data items in this chapter is how well public and private institutions are thriving. In a strictly material sense ${ }_{2}$ private institutions are not faring as well as public. Between 1960 and 1981,

240 schools closèd theie'doors' for good, most of them private 4 -year institutions. While revenues have been rising for both sectors, private pistitutions received almost half their fuñ from student charges. With enrollments expected to stop growing and then decline for the next 5 years, income from student charges may drop accordingly. Private institutions will thus be pressed fur$\because$ ther to make up this revenue shortfall.
. The financial setbacks suffered generally by private-. and even public-institutions over the years have by niomeans been universal. Nor have they necessarily lowered the quality of the program offered in these institutions. But the struggle to ,maintain and even strengthen an institution's resources is keenly felt in all sectors, from the staff to the student to the wider community that ultimately benefits from the student's learning.

## Vocational and Adult Education :

The importance our society has accorded vocational and adult education can be seen in a number of factors. One is participation rates, which rose steadily in the 1970's. Another is the level of financial support, which has also continued to rise, for vocational education and most likely for adult education, where such measurements are more difficult to make. Other factors include the span of courses offered, who offers them, and who takes them. Chapter 3 examines these elements and others in these expanding sectors of education.
That more people are extending their education indicates a ' belief that something can be gained by doing so. Setting aside for a moment adult education courses taken - for pleasure, many employers long ago equated job ad-- Jancement with additional education. Employees have ircreasingly responded; to the inducement: most adult education courses are taken for job-related reasons. Adult
education courses are also taken by some to acquire basic : skills and literacy, necessary for work and full,participation in American life.

## Teacher Preparation

The past decade has been a troubled time for prospective teachers and teacher preparation programs. The availability of teaching jobs declined in the 1970's as elementary/. ,secondary enrollments dropped. Lower demand and. tighter school budgets often meànt less attractive salaries -for prospecitive' teachers. College stadents responded by choosing other fields. Those who did plan to teach may not have been among the most academically qualified.
Chapter 4 traces some of the developments of this troubled time and; to a degree, projects what the future may bring. Included here are supply and demand figures showing comparisons over time. Specific breakdowns show the likelihood that teacher graduates in various degree fields went into teaching. The composition and credentials of beginning teachers are also presented.
On the whole, job prospects for new teachers should improve in the decade. With enrollments picking up in the mid-1980's, demand should take an upswing. Furthermore, education department heads have seen some movement underway to improve teacher preparation programs. Various initiatives have been introduced at the Federal, State and local levels to attract and retain capable teachers. Proposals have emphasized recruiting or retraining teaching personnel to fill fields such as science and mathematics where current shortages are perceived. For the moment, then, teacher preparation is in a transition. Whether or not this shift is to something more positive is for future editions of The Condition of Education to explore.

Declining enrollments, tighter financing, and rising demand for services to special students have greatly, affected schooling and school systems in various areas of the Nation. The "back to basics" movement and the more recent initiatives to provide excellence in education hàve also influenced school programs. States and localities have responded to these developments'in a variety of ways. This chapter focuses on the basic components of elementary/secondary education-students, schools and staffing, curriculum, finance, and perform-ance-in the States and rêgions, and, where data are available, looks at these features over time.

## Enrollment

## Public school enrollment

In recent years, enfollment in elementary/secondary schools has declined significantly. From fall 1971 to fall 1981, public school enrollment declined from 46.1 . million students to 40.2 million, a decrease of 5.9 million or -13 percent (entry 1.1 ). Overall enrollment decreases were experienced by 42 'States and the District of Columbia, with the latter experiencing the greatest decrease, 33 percent. The next largest decreases were in Delaware ( 30 percent), Rhode Island ( 25 percent), Connecticut ( 24 percent), and South Dakota ( 24 percent). Declines in enrollment were greater at the elementary than at the secondary level, 15 percent compared to 7 percent, respectively. Four, States with overall reductions in enrollment during the decade continued to experience increases at the secondary level (Hawaii, Louisiana, New Hampshire, and South Carolina). Growth in enrollment was experienced by Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, Nevada, Arizona. Texas, Florida, and Alaska. All of these States except Idaho showed increases at both the elementary and secondary levels.

## Private school enrollment

In fall 1980, the most recent year in which data were available for both public and private schoöl enrollment, nearly 5 "million private elementary/secondary school students combined with public school entollment to produce a total national enrollment of almost 46 million. (entry 1.2). Oveall, private school enrollment accounted
for 11 percent of total enrollment. States varied consider- : ably in the proportion of students enrolled in private ${ }^{-}$ schools, ranging from a high of 19 percent in Delaware to a low of under 2 percent in Utah. Other States with relatively high private school enrollments included Hawaii, the District of .Columbia, Pennsylvania, Louisiana, New York, and Rhode Island. In addition to Utah, Stątes with: low percentages were Oklahoma, Idaho, Wyoming, and' West Virginia.
'Of the 5 million private school students, the great majority, 84 percent, were in religiously affiliated schools (entry $1: 3$ ): In 13 States, those schools held more than 90 percent of the private school enrollment. Of these 13 States, 10 were located in the Midwest or West. Those States with the highest "percentages of private sčhool enrollments in religiously affiliated schools were lowa (98 percent) and. Nebraska and Wisconsin (96 percent).

Only two States, Mississippi and Georgia, had less than 50 percent of their private school enrollment in religiously affiliated schools. Students in Catholic schools accounted for the majority of private school students in the United States (63.percent) and they constituted threefourths of the total number attending religiously affiliated schools. A greater proportion of private school students in Ohlo and R$h o d e$ Island attended Catholic schools thar in any other State., On the other hand, South Carolina, Georgia, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Mississippi reported proportions of Catholic affiliated enrollments in private schools below 23 percent.

## Growth of public preprimary enrollment

Another changing aspect of enrollment is the growth of preprimary programs in public schools. To view this, growth in relation to elementary/secondary enrollment, preprimary enrollment is expressed as a percent of first grade enrollment. In fall 1981, approximately 2.7 million students were enrolled in public school preprimary programs nationally, equalling 92 percent of public school first grade enrollment (entry 1.4). This degree of "participation in preprimary programs compares with a figure of 70 percent in fall 1971 , indicating a considerable increase in the rate of preprimary participation over. the period. This increase reflects, in addition, the expan-
sion of preprimary programs in some States to include prekindergarten. In fact, in 16 States, the rate of preprimary participation was greater than 100 percent (preprimary enrollment exceeded the number of first grade students), 12 of which, including Hawaii, were in the West

- or Midwest. The participation of children in preprimary
- programs relative to first grade enfollments increased in all but four States from 1971 to 1981. Among those States with inçreased rates of preprimary participation, the increase for some was quite dramatic. Eleven States, 8 of which were in the Southeast, showed increases of 50 percentage points or more over the period. Arkansas ( 3 percent in 1971 and 83 percent in 1981), and North Carolina ( 10 percent in 1971 and 88 percent in 1981) experienced the largest increases.


## Minority enrollment in public schools

The racial/ethnic composition of enrollment is the next view taken of the enrollment picture. Racial/ethnic minorities comprised 27 percent of total public elementary/ secondary school enrollment in fall 1980 (entry 1.5 ). Three States and the Distriçt of Columbia had greater than 50 percent minority enrollment: Mississippi (52 percent), New Mexico ( 57 percent), Hawaii ( 75 percent), and the District of Columbia ( 96 percent).

Black students accounted for 16 percent of total public school enrollment nationaily and constituted large proportions of the total public school enrollment in the District of Columbia ( 93 percent) and in five Southern States, Mississippi (5l percent), South Carolina (43 percent , Louisiana ( 42 percent), Georgia ( 34 percent), and Alabama ( 33 percent). While constituting 8 percent of total enrollment nationally, Hispanic students accounted for much larger 'proportions of total enrollment in a number of Western and Southwestern States: New, Mexico ( 46 percent), Texas ( 30 percent), California ( 25 per,cent), Arizona ( 24 percent), and Colorado ( 15 percent). The only other State with more than 10 percent Hispanic enroflment was New York with 12 percent. American Indians/Alaskan Natives made up less than one percent of the Nation's enrollment and had a large concentration in Alaska where they comprised 21 percent of total enrollment. Less than 2 percent of total national enrollment
was comprised of Asians or Pacific Islanders. Many of these students were concentrated in Hawaii, where they made up 71 percent of Hawaii's student enrollment, and $\therefore$ in. California (7 percent of student enrollment).

## Special populations in public schools

Two groups of students whose special needs have received attention in recent years by the public schools are the handicapped and the limited-English-proficient. Nationwide, in fall 1980, 8 percent of children enrolled in. public elementary/secondary schools were classified as handicapped and 2 percent were classified as limited in. .English proficiency, according to a U.S. Office for Çivil Rights survey (entry 1.6). The variation among States in the proportion of students that were reported as handicapped raqged from 14 percent in Delaware to 3 percent in the District of Columbia. This variation may be due in large measure to differences in diagnóstic and classification procedures. There were no clear-cut geographic concentrations of handicapped students in the Nation.

There was, however, a clearly discernible geographic pattern of distribution in the case of children classified as having limited-English proficiency. The States bordering on Mexico had the highest relative concentrations. of limited-English-proficient stüdents in fall 1980-New Mexico ( 10 percent), California ( 9 percent), Texas ( 8 percent), and Arizona ( 5 percent). A relatively high concentration of limited-English-proficient students was also found in Alaska (6 percent).

## Schools and Staffing

## Number and size of public schools

In addition to enrollment charact bearing on the condition of elementary/secondary schools, fór which recent national data are available, are the number and size of schools and school districts, and "the student-teacher ratio. The number of public elementary/secondary schools decreasèd nationally by more than 3,600 from 1971 to 1981 , a deeclime of 4 percent ${ }^{\text {- }}$ during the decade (entry 1:7). The 13 -percent decline in enrollment during that time contributed to some school closings, while continued consolidation also resulted in
fewer schools. States that, registered decreases in the number of schools greater than the national average decrease of 4 percent were located primarily in the Northeast and North Central regions. Altogether, 33 States and the District of Columbia experienced decreases in the number of schools. The 'largest reduction took place in South Dakota (27 percent), followed by Massachusetts ( 23 percent) and Rhode Island ( 21 percent). On the other hand, 16 States showed gains in the number of schools during this "period." With few exceptions, including Alaska and Hawaii, States that registered increases in the number of public elementary/secondary schools between 1971 and 1981 were clustered in the. Southwest and the 'Southeast. Alaska had by far the largest relative gain, 42 percent. Four other States had increases of over 10 per-cent-Nevada, Arizona, Louisiana, and Hawaii.

Although the average enrollment size of elementary and secondary schools has been increasing fora considerable period, many relatively small schools still operated. For example, nationwide, 36 percent of the schools reported an enrollment of fewer than 300 students, and only 8 percent had enrollments of more than 1,000 . Four Northern Plains States and Alaska had the highest proportions of schools with enrollments under' 300 .

## Number and size of local school districts

As in the case of schools, the number of operating local school districts also declined during the period 1971-72 to 1981-82 (entry 1.8). The drop was from 16,768 at the beginning of the decade to 15,538 at the end, a decrease of 1,230 districts or 7 percent. This decline is the continuation of a trend, that has been, going on for several decades. Decreases in the number of districts occurred in 34 States: Nebraska alone, with a reduction of 327 districts,' accounted for more than a fourth of the total decline nationwidè. The decrease in Nebraska itself was 25 percent. Other States with a decrease of one quarter or more were Arizona, Delaware, and Wyoming, although both Delaware'and Wyoming had only small numbers of districts at the beginning of the decade ( 26 and 79 respectively). Four States-Alabama, Alaska, New Hampshire, and New Jersey-all showed increases in the number of school districts and 13 others had no net
change. In school year 1981-82, States varied considerably in the size distribution of their school districts. The District of Columbia and Hawaii (each a single school district), together with Maryland, West Virginia, and Louisiana, all had no school districts with less than 1,000 students. In contrast, six States-Nebraska, North Dakota, Montana, Vermont, South Dakota, and - Oklahoma-had 80 percent or more of their districts enrolling less than 1,000 .

## Student-teachér ratios

In fall 1980, the student-teacher ratio nationally was 18.1 for public and private elementary/secondary schools combined (entry 1.9). For public schoolś, the ratio was 18.9; for private schools the figure was somewhat lower, 17.9. For 11 States, however, the student-teacher ratios were larger̀ for private than public schools. Utah reported the largest. public school student-teacher ratio, 25.1. Hawaii, California, and Michigan all had ratios between 22.0 and 23.0 students per teacher. Overall, States with. public school student-teacher ratios above the national average included the Western States and most of the Southeastern States.

## Curriculum :

School curricula and programs are core concerns of education policymakers, teachérs, and parents alike. Concerns are shared not only over how schools teach-all students basic skills, but also over how schools prepare students in advanced skills afid new technologies and offer opportunities to excel. Participation in a variety of programs and coursework is examined here.

## Programs for exceptional children

Among five classifications of handicapped students, programs for the specific learning tisabled enrolled the greatest percentage of handicapped children in the Nation ( 3 percent) and in all but 8 States (entry 1.10). In Delaware, Maryland, Rhode Island, and Alaska, program participants represented more than 5 percent of the total public school enrollment. Programs for the speech impaired had the next largest rate of participation of the categories of handicapped students, 2 percent.

There are various ways of defining those who are gifted and talented, but when a broad definition is taken, participation in programs for these students is relatively small, under 3 percent. In only two States, Nebraska and North Carolina, did participation in gifted and talented programs represent at least 5 percent of total enrollment.

## Basic courses

Discussion of the quality of education obtained by high school graduates in the United States frequently revolvés around the issue of basic education. An examination of total years of coursework- completed from the 10th through 12th grade in certain basic subject matter areas reveals some interesting patterns! Nationwide, the subject matter area in which the largest proportion of 1980 high school seniors had completed more than 3 years of coursework was English (including literature), 26 percent. (entry 1.1!). Next was history or social studies, 10 percent, followed by mathematics, 8 percent, and science, 6 percent. The Northeast had the largest percentage of seniors who completed more than 3 years of coursework in each of the areas of English, mathematics, science, and history. The West and Midwest both showed relatively low leve's of coursework completion in mathemat-ics-about one-third of high school seniors in these two. regions had completed only 1 year or less of mathematics. from the 10 th through 12 th grade. For the other subject areas, there was no clear-cut pattern by region with regard to levels of coursework completion.
The participation of 1980 high school seniors nationally in three broad curricular areas breaks down as follows: academic- 38 percent; general- 37 percent; and voca-tional-25 percent (entry 1.12). The Northeast had the largest percèntage of high school seniors participating in academic programs,' 51 percent. In the other three. regions, Wést, Midwest, and South, approximately onethird of students were enrolled in academic' programs. 'In vocational education, the South had the highest proportion, 28 percent, and the West the lowest, 20 percent.

## Special courses

Among a variety of special programs taken high school seniors in 1980, a 'wide range existern the
percentages of those who participated in the various programs. Programs in remedial English and remedial mathematics each had participation rates of slightly more than 30 percent nationwide. Participation in advanced or honors programs in English and in mathematics had - somewhat lower participation rates nationally ( 27 percent and 23 percent, respectively) (entry 1.13). Family life or sex education programs had the highest rate of participation of the special programs examined, and-included about 48 percent of high school seniors. In the case of alcohol or drug abuse education programs, the degree of participation was nearly 40 percent, manifesting the concern given to these problems in the Nation's schools.

Regionally, a few patterns were identifiable. The Northeast was lowest in both remedial English and mathematics program participation. Participation in family life and sex education and in alcohol and drug abuse programs was̀ lowest in the South, and highest in the Northeast and West.

The use of microcomputers in the Nation's public schools is becoming so wídespread that many high schools are now offering courses in' computer science and computer literacy (introduction to computer concepts). The number of personal computers available for instructional use by public school students tripled between fall 1980 and spring 1982. The number of computers in public schools is expected to increase rapidly-by more than 40 percent from school year ${ }^{\circ}$ 1981-82 to 1982-83. Teaching computer literacy_was the most prevalent major instructional application of microcomputers, whepeas teaching computer science was the most comrnon major use of terr? nals (entry 1.14). One-third of the schools with microcomputers reported computer literacy as a major úse; one-third of the schools with terminals reported computer science. Variations in usage also occurred by grade level: 'About half of the senior high schools using microcomputers cited computer science as a major use, while 40 percent cited computer literacy. At the elementary level, microcomputers were used with equal frequency for teaching computer literacy and basic skills. In senior high schools with tetminals, computery science was the most prevalent major instructional use. Of the senior highs with computers, 64 percent offered credit courses

in computer science, and 51 percent offered credit in' computer literacy. -

## Finance

## Revenues

## $!$

The proportion of total public elementary/secondary school revenues from State sources continued to increàse in 1979-80 (entry 1.15). While the nationial average rate was 47 percent of revenues from State sources, there was great variation in the ratio- $85^{\circ}$ percent in Hawaii to 8 percent in New Hantpshire (Hawaii showed no revenues 'from local or intermediate sources and the District of Columbia showed no State sources of funds). The average Federal share of funds for local public schools remained less than. 10 percent-ranging from less than 6. percent in Wisconsin, Wyoming, apd Minnesota to 25 percent.in Mississippi. Funds from loçal sources remained relatively high, ranging from 19 percent in Alaska and Mississippi to 85 percent in New Hampshire. .

School finance equalization efforts and measures to limit local property taxes haye had some effect in increasing, the State role in elementary/secondary, school financing. Given that the States now contribute the largest share; the growth rate of State tax revenue is, of importance here (entry-1.16). State tax collections from which school revenues are defived have failed to keep up with the inflation rate over the recent past. The average anmual growth of all State tax revenues was 9 percent for 1979-80 to 1981-82, and the most recent of these 3 years showed an increase of only 8 percent for all the States. At the same time, the average annual increase in the Consumer Price Index was 11 percent, with an increase of 9 percent in the most recent school year. The average annual growth rate in tax revenues varied widely among the States, from 1 percent in Michigan to 46 percent in Alaska; a fourth of the States were in the 10 - to 20 percent range. The variation in 1981-82 was even greater. The slow growth in the most recent year is evidenced in 22 States, with smaller increases in 1981-82 than the average for the 3 -year period. The national average growth rate for the 3 -year period was 2 percent less than inflation, but in 30 States the rate was less than the U.S. average. These States included some that were primarily
urban and industrial as well as otherst that were primarily rural. Most of the States where the growth of State tax revenues has exceeded the inflation rate have severance. taxes on natural resources that produced large amounts of revenue. Of course, changing economic conditions have had a great influence on the State tax. growth rate, but changes in tax laws were also important. . Sales taxes normally increase in step with the Consumer Price Index, but have failed to keep up recently.

## Expenditures


,
Variations in the cost of living and in the availability of "funds from States and other sources led to wide ranges in the average core current expenditures spent per student' by local school systems. Core current expenditures; by excluding food service and transportation expenditures and direct "State or intermediate agency expenditures, reflect the more direct costs of instructing students (entry. 1.17). StiN, it should be kept in mind that cross-State comparisons are often confounded by large cost-of-living. differences. Also involved are differing needs and variations in the abiljty or willingness to support public schools. For the school year 1979-80, core current expenditures per student väried from under $\$ 1,000$. (in districts representing 3 perćent of students) to over $\$ 2,900$ (in districts representin'g 5 percent of students), with the majority of students in districts spending over \$1.600 per student.
Expenditure ranges are tÿpically greater in States with large nurfibers. of districts; however, the range is often narrowed when the proportion of funds from State sources increases, as has happened in California in recent years. In 1979-80, the State average total expenditures per student for all purposes (current expenditures, capital outlay, and interest) continued to vary greatly -from $\$ 5,077$ in Alaska to $\$ 1,699$ in Alabama . (entry 1.18). Southern States typlcally were well under the national average. Interest remained a small portion of the total," and capital outlay was only 7 percent of the total, but was an important factor in the Western States where much growth is taking place. Current expenditures continued at over 91 percent of the average total expenditure per student.

When presented with options for cost-cutting in public schools', public opinion shows great reluctance to cut - expenditures for direct pupil services. Irra Gallup Poll of $\therefore$ the public's attitudes toward the public schools conducted in spring 1982, only 3 percent of the public indicated that they would favor cost-cutting measures that would reduce instruction in the hasics and 1.1 percent favored measures that would reduce special services (entry 1.19). The public wàs uñwilling to cut back on teachers also; only 17 percent responded favorably, to prospective cuts in teacher salaries, and only 18 percent favored reducing the number of teachers by increasing class size. On the other hand, when forted to choose aniong cost-cutting measures, 71 percent favored a reduction in the number .of administrative personnel. Except for the option of reducing the number of teachers by increasing class size, There were no statistically significant differences between parents of public and private school children. On this $\psi_{\text {ssue'; }} 13$ percent of parents with children in public , schools favored reducing the numbers of teachers com, pared with 29 percent of parents with children in private schools.

## Performance

The performance of elementary/secondary" school sţudents and measures to improve performance are examined in this section from a number of dpffgrent perspectives. These perspectives include reading performance, high school completion rates, activities for improving academic achievement, and public opinion.

## Assessmènts of student performance

Asssessments of reading.performance of $9-13$-, and 17 -year-öld students, measured as the average percent correct on sets of reading exercises, were made as part of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) in 1971, 1975, and 1980. These assessments showed that 9 -year-olds in 1980 performed significantly higher than did 9 -year-olds in 1971-an average of 64 percent correct in 1971 contrasted with 68 percent correct in 1980, an increase of 4 perdentage points (entry l:20). No significant change was found, however, for 13- and 17 -yearolds during the same period, 1971 to 1980 . Both white
and black 9 -year-old students made performance gains between 1971 and 1980, with black students making•a considerably larger gain. White ștudents' performance increased from 66 to 69 percent correct, a gain of 3 percentage points, while black students during the same time period went from 50 to 60 percent cotrect, an increase of 10 points. White students performed higber than black students for all three age groups, althdugh not to the same degree. The difference between white ánd black students was most pronounced among-17-yearolds, less so among " 13 -year-olds, and least among 9 .-. year-olds.

Among Hispanic students, reading performance on the NAEP. exercises for 9 -year-olds in 1980, although still below national levels, was significantly better than that of their 9 -year-old counterparts in 1975 (entry 1.21 ). This $\sim$ group improved by 5 percentage points, from 55 percent ${ }^{\circ}$ correct in 1975 to 60 percent correct in 1980. Within this age group of Hispanics, significant gains were recorded by females, students in big cities, children ift their modal grade, and sfydents who had at least one parent with sthe postsecondary education. A significant feature of the data on these Hispanic 9 -year-olds is that students in. their appropriate (modal) grade for their age (grade 4) in 1980 performed about as well as all 9 -year-olds nationally. No significant gains were registered by 13 - or 17-year-old Hispanic students over the tigne period:
In another look at reading performance as measured by the NAEP study, comparisons of 9-, 13-, and 17-year-oft students in the lowest and highest achievement groups for reading assessments at three time periods showed-differences by region, sex, racial/ethnic group, and parental education. Based on performance on reading assessment items, students nationwide were partitioned into four achieyement classes of equal size, each class representing 25 percent of the students. Sex; racial/ethnic, or regional groups with over. 25 percent within a class indicate that those groups were over-represented within that achievement class; under 25 percent, that they were under-rep̈resented. There were large differences between the regions in 1971, but these had diminished considerably by 1980 (entry 1.22 ). For example, in the 1971 assessment, the Southeast had the largest proportion (36 $\approx$
$10 . \quad 4$ $2 i$
$\therefore$ percent) of 9-year-old students in the lowest achievement group, and the Central States had the smallest proportion ( 19 percent), a difference of 17 percentage points. On the 1980 assessment, the Southeast still had the largest-proportion in the lowest achievement group ( 30 percent) and the Northeast had the smallest ( 21 percent), but the difference had decreased to 8 percentage points. Similar findings exist for the 13- and 17-year-old students.

## Other measures of student pérformance

In schody year 1979-80, the total number of high school - graduates, public and private combined, numbered more than 3 million (entry 1.23). Nationally, these graduates comprised 72 percent of their age group (the average of all 17- and 18-year-olds), and ranged from a high of 86 percent in Minnesota to a jow of 57 percent in the District of Columbia. Public high school graduates constituted more than 90 percent of the total number of graduates. Overall, there were slightly more female than male public high school graduates.
For those students who do not graduate with their classes, the high school equivalency (GED) certificate offers an alternative means for satisfying secondary school requireménts. GED certificate recipients constitute an important segment of the tota为售umber of public high school completions each year in the United States. In school year 1979-80, GED recipients amounted to 15 percent of this total, or more than 1 out of every 7 high school completions (entry 1.24). Every State and the District of Columbia reported GED recipients in 1979-80, with a national total approaching a half million. Alaska reported the highest percentage of high school equivalency certificates as a percentage of total public high school completions ( 32 percent) and Utah reported the lowest percentage ( 2 percent). Other States with high percentages were Kentucky, Florida, and Oregon. In addition to Utah, States with relatively low percentages of GED's as a proportion of high schoot completions were California, Ohio, Idaho, Connecticut, and South Carolina.
$+$
A non-academic measure of student performance is the number of disciplinary actions reported as a percent of
total enrollment. In public elementary/secondary schools in fall 1980, this number comprised a small proportion of the number of students enrolled (entry 1.25), National percentages for three çategories of actions were as fol-: lows: suspensions, 5 percent; corporal punishment, 4 percent; and expulsions, less than 1 percent. Except for Calif̣omia, all States above the national percentage for suspensions were east of the Mississippi River. For corporal punishment, most States with percentages abóve the national percentage were in the Southeast and adjacent Southwestern States. State percentages for disciplinary actions involving expulsions were uniformly low acrpss the Nation.

## State provisions to improve performance

Concern about the quality of education provided by the public schools has generated a number of measures to improve performance and delivery. By 1982, 39 States had adopted provisions requiring minimum cömpetency testing of students in their public school systems to assure that students were gaining basic skills and knowledge (entry 1.26). Standards for test performance were set or expected to be set at the State level in 21 of these States, at the local level in 9 . States, and at both State and local levels in 7 States. A greater emphasis was put on testing in the upper grades, although there was also considerable testing in lower grades. Twenty-six States tested children below the fifth grade level, and nearly all 39 States reported testing above the eighth grade level. About half the States expected to use testing as part of high school graduation requirements, to identify students for remediation or for other purposes. Three States expected to use it in decisions about grade promotion and two States expected to use it in early, exit procedures. 'Twelve'States had already begun testing their graduating classes and five more had plans to do so.

Another effort to improve the quality of education has been the introduction of competency-based teacher examinations, begun in a few States in the late seventies. The adoption of such examinations has continued to, 'expand among the States, with the most recent additions being Colorado, Connecticut, and Delaware in 1982 (entry 1.27). Twenty States now have adopted requirements

that applicants for teacher certification be tested for competency, either for subject matter knowledge, basic skills, teaching knowledge, or some combination of these. These requirements have become effective in all But a few of these States.

Certification provisions were authorized by the State legislature in half the States and by the State board of education in the other half. Seven States used the Na tional Teacher Examination and 14 used State-developed tests (South Carolina used both). Most States that have adopted provisions for competency-based teacher certification were in the southern part of the country, stretch'ing from coast to coast. New York, Connecticut, Delaware, and Colorado were the exceptions.

## Local initiatives to improve performance

Local initiatives have also been taken to improve school performance. In a sample survey taken in 1982 by the National Center for Education Statistics, school districts rated eight activities intended to improve academic achievement of students in their degree of importance to district policy (entry la28). Officials were also asked to indicate whether they had implemented such an activity during school years $197.9-80$ and 1980-81 or planned to do so by the school year 1984-85. Nationally, "increase daily attendance" was rated "high" by. the largest proportion of school districts ( 66 percent). Other activities rated "high" by large proportions of school districts were "increase the units of credit required in core subject areas" and "establish/increase courses to improve students' study skills/hạbits" (47 percent). Activities receiving low proportions of "high". ratings were "extend the school day or yedr" (5 percent), "increase amount of homework" (7 percent), and "establish/increase minimum competency tests for teachers" (9 percent).

The proportions of school districts implementing these activities in the 1979-80 and $980-81$ school years generally reflect the officials' refings of the importance of these activities to district policy. For example, activities to "increase daily attendance", were implemented by the largest proportion of school districts ( 69 percent), followed by activities to "increasse units of credit required in
core subject areas" and "establish/increase courses to. improve students" study. skills/habits." Proportions of school districts planning these activities by 1984-85 corresponded somewhat to the ratings of importance. A - majority of school districts planned activities to "establish/increase courses to improve students' study skills/ habits" and "increase daily attendance.". By comparison, activities to "extend the school day or the school year" were planned by 8 percent and activities to "establish/increase minimum competency. tests for teachérs" were planned by 12 percent.
Regionally, the responses to the eifght activities were similar, though some differences stood out. Activities to "increase daily attendance" were rated "high": by 57 percent of school districts in the Central States and 62 percent in the Northeast as compared with 77 percent in the Southeast and the West. Activities to "establish/ increase minimum competency tests for teachers" were rated "high" by 21 percent in the Southeast, 10 percent in the West, 4 percent in the Central States, and 3 percent in the Northeast. Regional differences were also found in proportions of school districts that implemented activities between $1979-80$ and 1980-81 to "increase amount of homework" (in the Northeast, 36 percent; the . Central States, 18 percent; the West, 15 percent; and the Southeast, 12 percent).

## Public opinion on quality of public schoóls

Measures of how people feel about the public schools are taken yearly by t. Gallup Roll. Trends have shownthat parents of public school children rated their community schools higher in 1974 than they have in the past several years, but that the decline in ratings appears to have abated. In 1982, respondents were asked to rate their local public schools and public schools in general. Local public schools received higher marks than public schools in the Nation (entry 1.29). Thirty-seven percent rated the public schools in their community A or B, compared with 22 percent who rated the public schools in the Nation A or B. Public schools in their community were rated differently by respondents with children in public schools, with children in private schools, and with no children in school. Ratings of $A$ or $B$ were given public
schools in their community by 49 percent of parents with children in public schools, 38 percent of parents with children in private schools and only 32 percent of parents
with no children in schools. Corresponding (ratings of the schools nationwide were, however, similar among these respondent groups and uniformly lower.


Table 1:1

Public Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment and Percent Change,
by Level and State: Fall 1971 and Fall 1981

' Estimated.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Statistics or Public Elementary and Secondary Schools. Fall 1981, forthcoming.

Percent Change in Public, Elementary/Secondary School Entollment Between 1971 and ノ. $\because . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad$ 1981, by State


Public elementary/secondary school enrollment, approximately $46,100,000$ in fall 1971 , dropped to àbout $40,200,000$ by fall 1981 , a decrease of nearly 13 percent. Mid-Atlantic and North Central States experienced the sharpest declines.

## Table 1.2

Public and Private Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment, by State: Fall 1980


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, A Companson of Selected Charactenistics of Private and Public Schools, 1.982, ănd Digest of Education Statistics, 1982.



About 5 million or nearly 11 'percent of elemestary/secondary school students- were enrolled in private schools in 1980 . Private sçhool enrollment made up more than 15 percent of total enrollment in Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Louisiana, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.:


Private Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment, by Affiliation and State: Fall 1980


SOURCE: Uis. Department of, Education, National Oenter for Education Statistics, 1980-81. Private School Survey, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).

Chart 1.3

Private Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment, by Affiliation


## Table 1.4

Public Preprimary Enrollment Compared to First Grade Enrolment,'by State: Fall 1971 and 1981

-Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Includes nursery schools and kindergartens operated as part of the regular pubfic school system. Preprimary enrollment can exceed first grade eñöllment, as shown in percentages of over, 100.0 percent, because it maymclude nursery school - eniollment.
${ }^{2}$ Includes estimates for nonreporting States.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education; National Center for Education Statistics, Siatistics of Public Elementary and Secondary Day Schoois: Fall 1971 and Common Core of 'Data. Part IV-Enrollmenti: Fall 1981, unpublished'tabulations.
(November 1982).

Public Preprimary Enrollment Compared to First Grade Enrollment


Preprimary enrollment in the public schools equaled or exceeded first grade enrollment in some States in 1981. Many States with low preprimary enrollments in 1971 greatly expanded their programs over the decade.
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Table 1.5

## Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Püblic Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment; by State: Fall 1980




Percent minority enrollment in public elementarylsecondary schools was generally greatest in the Southern and Southwestern States and in California. The percent black enrollment was highest in the Southern States while the percent Hispanic enrollment was highest in New Mexico, Texas, California, and Arizona.

## Percent of Public Elementary/Secondary School Enrollment Handicapped and Percent Limited-English Proficient, by State: Fall' 1980



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civl Rights, 1980 Elementary and Secondary Civil Rights Survey, National Summaries, 1982 and State Summaries, 1982, projected data.

Handicappedsand Limited-English Proficient Students as Percent of Public Elementary/s Secondary School Enrollment, by State


States With Percent Limited-Engfsti Proticient Above National Average


States with higher-than-average handicapped enrollments were geographically dispersed;-- while those with proportionally larger limited-English proficient enrollment were, with some exceptions, clustered along the Southern border.

Number of Public Elementary/Secondary Schools, Fall 1971 and Fall 1981, and Percentage Distribution, by Enrollment Size and by State: Fall 1980

! Total includes estimate for Montana; which did not report in 1971.
${ }^{2}$ Not reported.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center Ior Education Statistics, Statistics of Publiç Eromentary and Secondaty Day Schools: Fall 1971. 1973; Statistics of Public Elementary and Secondacy School Systems-Schools; Pupils and Slath: Fall 1980. 1982; and Common Core of Oata.-Part I: Public School Universe. '1981, unpublished tabulations (January' 1983).


With few exceptions, States that registered increases in the number of public elementary/ secondary schools between 1971 and 1981 mere clustered in the Southwest and the Southeast; decreases occurred in the Northeast and North Central regions.

Table 1.8

Number and Enrollment Size of Operating Local School Districts ${ }^{1}$, by State: School Year 1971-72 and 1981-82


Nebuaska North Dakota Montana Vermont South Dakota Oklatioma Alaskä lowa Kansas Arkansas Orepon Missouq . $\quad$. Minnesota Maine Colorad Illinois Texas
Idaho Idano. New Mexico New Mexico
Washmington National average
Catifonia
Wisconsin Wyoming Massachusett Michigan ${ }^{\circ}$ Cannecticu New Yook Nevada. Rhoda tsland Utah: Kentucky Ohio Indiana Georgia: Virginia Mississippi South Carolin Pentsylvania Oelawtare Alabatpa North Carevna West Virginia Loulsiana Hawall District of Columbia



Undeg 1.000 Students
1.000 to 9.999 students

10,000 or more students

For the Nation as a whole and in 24 States, "school districts of under $1,000{ }^{\circ}$ students" represented the majority of districts in 1981-82.

## Student-Teacher Ratios and Teachers per 1,000 Students in Public and Private Elementary/Secondary Schools, hy State: Fall 1980



Source: U.S. Department of Éducation; National Center for Education Statistics, A Comparison of Selected Characteristics of Private and Public Schools, 1982, and unpublished tabulations (Janiuary 1983).


Student-Teacher Ratios in Public and Private Elementary/Secondary Schools


Participation in Programs for Exceptional Children in Public

- Elementary/Secondary Schools, by Type of Program and State: Fall 1980


[^0]
## Public Elementary/Secondary School Students Participating in Programs for Exceptional

 ChildrenStates With Percent Participating In Specilic


## Table 1.11

Years of Coursework Completed in Selected Courses by High School Seniors, by Region: 1980

' The regions correspond to Bureau of the Census definitions. See the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix.

NOTE Precision of the estimates. may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.

SOURCE: U,S. Department of Education. National Center for Eduçation Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

Years of Coursework Completed in Selected Courses by High School Seniors, by Region


Among the four regions, the Northeast showed a higher percentage of seniors who took at least $21 / 2$ years of coursework from the 10th grade onward in English, mathematics, science, and history.

## Table 1, 12

Curricular Programs' Taken by High Schọol Seniors, by Region: Spripg 1980


- Curricular programs can be generally defined as follows: academic-those preparing students for college; vocational-those preparing students for employment immediately following high school graduation; general-those with students considering themselves to be in neither academic nor vipational programs.
${ }^{2}$ The regions correspond to Bureau of the Census definitions. See.the Detinitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix.

NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SQURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (Septeriber 1982).


Table 1.13

Special Programs Taken by High'School 'Seniors, by Region': Spring 1980

| Program | Total | Northeast | South | Midwest | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '?', |  |  | ent of S |  |  |
| Remedial'English (sometimes catled basic or essential) | 31.2 | 26.0 | 29.0 | 36.7 | 32.9 |
| Remedial mathematics (sometimes called basic or essential) | 30.6 | 27.3 : | 30.1 | 32.6 | 32.2 |
| Advanced or honors program in English | 26.6 | 25.9. | 25.4 | 26.3 | 30.1 |
| Advanced ononors program in mathematics | 22.9 |  | 21.9 | 23.8 | 21.6 |
| Bilingual or bicultural program. | 13.0 | 16.0 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 16.3 |
| Family life or sex education. | 48.3 | 53.2 | - 38.4 | 50.9 | 54.8 |
| Alcohol or drug abuse education ....... | 38.8 | 46.6 | 30.2 | 37.7 | 45.3 |
| Special program for the educationally handicapped $\qquad$ | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Special program for the ohysically handicapped | 3.7 | 2.9 | - 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.8 |
| Sample size ...... | 27,394 | 5,503. | 8,978 | 7,905 | .5,008 |

' The regions correspond to Bureau of the Census definitions. See the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

Chart 1.13

Remedial and Advanced Programs Taken by High School Seniors


Nationally, about 31 percent of high school senigrs had taken remedial programs in English or mathematics, while 27 percent had taken advanced English and 23 percent had taken advanced mathematics. These proportions differed by, at most, 10 percentage points among the regions.

## Table 1.14

Number of Public Elementary/Secondary Schools With Computers Available for Instruction and Major Uses of Computers, by Grade Level: School Year 1981-82

| ; | ,. ${ }_{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | All Schools | Elementary Schools | Junior High Schools | Senior High Schools | Combined and Other |
| Total number of schools | 81,970 | 50,800 | 11,184 | 14,113 | 5,874 |
| Schools with computers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 29,027 | 11,364 | 5,822 | 10,445 | 1,396 |
| Percent of total | 35 | 22 | , 52 | 74 | 24 |
| Schools with microcomputers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 27,501 | 11,050 | 5,774 | 9,504 | 1,173 |
| Percent of totar . | 34 | 22 | 52 | 67 | 20 |
| Percent indicating as major uses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensatofy and remedial. | -14 | 18 | 20 | 6 | - 19 |
| Basic skills. ... | 19 | 29 | 11 | 12 | 6 |
| Learning enrichment... | 19 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 4 |
| Computer literacy | 33 | 29 | 30 | 39 | 34 |
| Computer science | 23 | 7 | 10 | 49 | 15 |
| Schools with terminals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 5,898 | 958 | 978 | - 3,620 | 343 |
| Percent of total | 7 | 2 | 9. | 26 | 6 |
| Percent indicating as major uses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensatory and remedial. | 12 | 23 | 28 | 6 | 0 |
| Basic skills. | 13 | - 20 | 10 | 13 | 0 |
| Learning enrichment | . 24 | 28 | 23 | 21 | - 50 |
| Computer literacy | 22 | 0 | 23. | 28 | 15 |
| Computer sciencé | 34 | . 0 | 14 | 47 | 45 |

'SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Instructional Use of Computers in Public Schools," early release, 1902.


Two-thirds of senior high schoals had microcomputers available for instruction, using them most frequently to teach computer science.

## Revenue Receipts of Rublic Elementary/Secondary Schools, by Source and by State: School Year 1979-80


${ }^{1}$ Local and other revenue receipts include revenue receipts from focal and intermediate sources, gitts, and tuition and fees from patrons.
${ }^{2}$ District of Columbia is treated here as a local school system and Hawail as a State system
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; Reverwes and Expenditures for Public Elementary and ,
Secondary Education, 1919-80. 1982.

State Share of Public Elementary/Secondary School Revenues


Table 1.16

Average Annual Percent Change in State Tax Revenuess, Compared With Consumer Pric̣e Index, by State: School Year 1979-80 to 1981-82


等

44


Chart 1.16

## Average Annual Percent Change in State Tax Revenues Compared With Consumer Price Index: School Year 1979-80 to 1981-82



Except in nine States, State tax revenues did not keep pace with inflation betw_1979-80 and 1981-82.

Percentage Distribution of Public Elementary/Secondary School Students, by Core Current Expenditures Per Student in Their School District and by State: School Year 1979-80

${ }^{1}$ Core current expenditures are calculated by subtracting food services and transportation costs from total curfent expenditures. Data pertan to outlays made by local school districts and exclude direct expenditures by State and intermediate agencies at the local level.
${ }^{2}$ Total core current expenditure divided by total enrollment.
SOURCE: U.S. Depantment of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Governments Division. Survey of Local Governments Finanices: School Systems, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

# Distribution of Public Elementary/Secondary School Students, by the Core Current Expenditures Per Student in Their School District 

anked high to low.

- by weighted mean expenditures:



Expenditures Per Student in Average Daily Attendance in Public Elementary/Secondary Schools, by State: School Year 1979-80

${ }^{1}$ Includes current expenditures for day schools, capital outlay, and interest on school debt. '
Includes expenditures for day schools only; excludes adult education, community colleges, and community services.
${ }^{3}$ Includes capital outlay by State and local school housing authorities.
NOTE: Details may not add to total because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Revenues and Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Education, 1979-80. 1982, and unpublished tabulations (July 1982).

## Public Elementary/Secondary School Expenditures Per Student, by Function



Total expenditures per student varied greatly from over $\$ 5,000$ to less than $\$ 2,000$. While nationally over 90 percent went for current operations, in seven growth States (Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming) spending was heavier than average for capital outlays.

## Table 1.19

Public Opinion on Cost-Cutting Measures to Reduce Public School
Costs: Spring 1982



NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.

SOURCE: Phi Delta Kappan, "The 14th Annual Gallup Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools," September 1982.
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## Public Opinion on Cost-Cutting Measures to Reduce Public School Costs



If forced to cut school budgets, respondents most favored reducing nonteaching personnel... in the schools; they least favored reducing instruction in the " 3 R 's"


Table 1.20

Average Reading Performance of 9-, 13-, tand 17-Year-Old Students, by Race and Region: School Year 1970-71, 1974-75, and. 1979-80


SOURCE: National Assessment of: Educational Progress, Three National Assessments of Reading: Changes in 中erformance, 1979-80 (Report No. 11-R-01), April 1981.

Average Reading Performance, by Race and Region: 1971 and 1980


Average Reading Performance of 9-, 13-, and 17-Year-Old Students, by Hispanic Báckground: School Year 1974-75 and 1979-80


* Indicates statistically significant change in performance between assessments

Cell-counts for Hispanic students are too small for presenting all variables within NAEP reporting categories.
${ }^{2}$ Big cities are those with a population of 200,000 and over,
${ }^{3}$ Modal grade is the grade in which the majority of students are enrolled. The modal grade for 9 -year-olds is grade 4; for 13 -year-olds, grade 8; for 17 -year-olds, grade 11. In 1980, 42 percent of Hispanic 9 -year-olds were below modal grade, compared with 27 percent of the whites and 34 percent, of the blacks. At age 13, 31 percent were below, compared with 28 percent of,the whites and 35 percent of the blacks. And at age 17, 27 percent were below, comptred'with 11 percent of the whites and 29 percent of the black students.
SOURCE: National Assessment of Educational Progress, Performance of Hispanic Students in Two National Assessments of Reading (Report NO. SY-HR-50), June 1982.

Average Reading Performance of Selected Hispanic Student Subgroups Compared to National Average


Table 1.22
Distribution of 9-, 13-, and 17-Year-Olds Within the Lowest and Highest
Reading Achievement Groups, by Region, Sex, and Racial/Ethnic Group:
School Year 1970-71, 1974-75; and 1979-80


## Distribution of Students in Lowest and Highest Reading Achievement Groups, by Region


: Public and Private High School Graduates and as Percent of Age Group, by State: School Year 1979:80


High School Graduates as Percent of Age Group, by State


Table 1.24

High School Equivalency (GED) Certificate Recipients as Yercent of Public High School Completions, by State: School Year 1979-80


GED recipients comprised approximately 15 percent of all public high school completions in school year 1979-80. Their representation among completions ranged from 32 percent in Alaska to under 2 percent in Utah.


Table 1.25
Disciplinary Actions Reported in Public Elementary/Secondary Schools,
by State: Fall 1980


## Reported Disciplinary Actions as Pércent of Public Elenentary/Secondary School Enrollment

 f 女States Reporting Suspensions Exceeding National Average


In 1980, all States above the national average in suspending students were located east of the Mississippi, except for California. Those States above the national average in corporal punishment of students were concentrated in the Southeast and the adjacent Southwestern States.

# States Using Minimum Competency Testing, by Government Level Setting Standards, Grade Levels Assessed, and Expected Uses of Standards: 1982 


' In Hawaii, students have three options: paper-pencil test; performante test; or course.
NOTE: Some States have dates for first high school graduating class to be assessed with no expeted use for high school graduation
$\stackrel{\square}{4}$ SouRCE: Education Commission of the States, Department of Research and Information, Unpublished tabulations (September 1982)


Chart 1.26

## Minimum Competency Testirg for High School Graduation



$$
76 .
$$

Table 1.27

Statedith Competency-Based Teacher Certification Provisions, by Authorization, Year Enacted, Year Effective, and Type of Test: 1982


66.

$$
7 \%
$$



With few exceptions, States with provisions or $r_{\text {p }}$ plans for. competency-based teacher certification were located across the southern tier of the country from coast to coast.
$\therefore$


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, Survey of School Districts in, Academic Requirements and Achievement, unpúblished tabulations (November 1982).
$\cdots$ Improving Academic Achievement in High Schools


Of a selected group of activities to improve academic achievementi in high schools, increasing daily attendance wated highly important by the greatest percentage of school districts. Of all the activities, it was also the most likely to have been recently implemented in each of the regions.

## Table 1.29

# Public Opinion Ratings of Public Schools Locally and Nationally: Spring 1982 

|  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Total | A. | B | C | D | Fail | Don't Know | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ |

"Students are often given the grades A, B, C, D, and Fail to denote the quality $q$ their work. Suppose the public schools themselves, in this community, were graded in the same way. What grade would you give the public schools here-A, B, C. D, or Fail?"

Percentage Distribution of Respondents


NOTE: PRecision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample șize and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: Phi Delta Kappan. "The 14th Annual Gallup Politot the Public's Attitudes Towat
September 1982.
$\square$


Public Opinion Ratings of Public Schiools Locally and Nationally



E. If querlaid on a map of the Nation, higher education would appear as a patçhwork of instifutions, ranging from small, variously patierned colleges to large systems that cover entire States. Some variation in higher educa: tion would show up along regionál lines, since various
$\therefore$ types of institutions developed as the Nation expanded. $\therefore$ Bifferences would also be apparent, along State lines, shaped by tradition and recent initiatives. This chapter sketches the various components of higher education-. entollment, mistirutions, staffing finances, and out-comes-from a Statle perspectivè. It begins by profiling recent trends in enrollment, then-shows the different
$\sim$ effects of change on the States. The chapter also examines the public/private mix in higher ẹducation institutions and discusses staffing and finances in terms of this characteristic and others. It concludes by showing trends and State comparisons in earned degrees awarded and by highlighting recent data on short-term outcomes of col-艮

## Enrollment

## Trends in enrollment

Higher education enrollment increased rapidly from the early to mid-1970's. After some fluctuations in the late *
\% 340 's, enrollment again increased to a peak of 12.4 miltion in 1981 (entry 2.1 ). After stabilizing at 12.4 million in 1982 and 983 , enrollment is expected to drop slightly but remain at more than 12 million throughout the remainder of the 1980's.

The average annual growth of enrollment in fuldime-- equivalent students was twice as great in the first halrof ${ }^{\prime}$ the 1970's.as it was in the second half (entry 2.2). Between 1970 and 1975, full-time-equivalent enrollment. rose nationally by 4 percent each year; between 197 and 1981, the rate slowed to 2 percent growth per annum. This slowdown was registered in a majority of States as well as the Nation. In all but 14 States, annual growth in ${ }^{\circ}$ the later period did not approach the average annual increases throughout the whole of the decade. Among the 14 States with larger gains between 1976 and 1981 than in the earlier period, only one, Alaska, showed any appreciable growth. States with higher-than-average per-
ânnum increases throughout the entire period were geographically dispersed. The three States with the largest increases were Nevada, Alaska, and South, Carolina, and the three States with the smallest were-North.Dakota; West Virginia, and South Dakota:

## Composition of enrollment

During the past decade of enrollment changes, the com position of the students and institutions also changed. Some characteristics that typified the student bodyat the beginning of the 1970's becate less apperent by the end of the decade. Although fulfore students and those in 4-year colleges and universities still comprised the majority of college students, their representation among total enrollment was decidedly lower in 1981 than in the $;$ previous decade (see entry 2.1 ). The expansion of public 2-year institutions had much to do with this change: Often offering open admissions, low tuitions, and flexible,scheduling, these institutions generally serve.the needs of part-time students who combine education with: work. The impact of the expansion of public 2 -year institutions is readily apparent in the enrollment data. Between 1970 and 1981, the proportion of students in 4year institutions as oppored to 2-year institutions declinedsfom 74 percent to 62 percèns. The proportion of full-time studeńts showed a simila rend, decreasing, from 68 percent to 58 perceni dur the period. The concomitant rise in public entrollme was much ${ }^{\text {² }}$.less evident, however, increasing from 75 percent to 78 per"cent of the total enrollment between 1970 and r981." (Evidently, "private institutions did, not necessarily lose students to the public 2-year institutions.
An additional development over this period was the appreciable growth in the enrollment of women: At the same time, male enrollment remained fairly stěady so that by the end of the 1970's, males were no longer in the majority. Male enrollment a percent of the total enroll-ment- declined by more than 10 percentage points from 59 percent in 1970 to 48 percent in 1981.
On a State-by-State basis, the proportion of undergraduate students ranged from a high of 96 percent in Alaska to a dow of 8 percent in New York and 64 percent in the


District of Columbia．Except for the District of Colum－ bia，States did not vary by more than 10 percentage points from the national average of 87 ．percent under－ graduate（entry 2．3）．The proportion enpellment in public institutions ranged from 16 percent in the District of Columbia．（with the next layest proportion being 43 percent ind Massächusetugdy nẹarly 100 pęrcent in Wyo－ ming The proportion of ehe the in 4－year institutions
a lcodeges and universitie dended from 35 percent in Cujumiatog percent orth Dakota（and 100 per－
－centinace The Far West was largely public，with high proportion in 2－year institutions，while New England had larger proportions in private 4 －year institutions．This
 origins of private higlr education in the New England region and the expansion westward of publicly supported colleges and universities．：

Thers
Minority enroflment in highes educahion varied widely ampog the States primarily as a result of differences in the distribuson of mintrities in the general population． For exampte，in Hawaii nearly too－thirds of college stu－ dents were Asian American，in＿New Mexicó nearly one－ quarter were Hispanic，and in the District of Columbia． more than one－third were black（entry 2．4）．In contrast；， －white enrgllment as a percent of the total was more than 05 percent．insome New England，West North Central． aty Mountain States．

## Residence and migration＊

3．Gillege enrollment by State is，to some degree，depend－ ent wing number of students who attend out of their © State of residence．In 1980 ，high school seniors who planned to attend college were asked whether thair inten－ tion were to attend in－State or out－of－State institutions （ （entry 2．5）．Querall about one in five planned to attend cóllege oft of State．
When these results were tabuthted across a variety of student characterristics a rather çlear picture emerges of students mosit likely to sęek out－of－State enrolluagn．
$\therefore$ Highly $y^{r}$ able students，àfluent students，private non－ Catholic school students，and New England residents．
were the most likely groups intending to enter out－of－ State schodls．Specifically；the data show that among students in the highest ability group， 28 percent planned to átienday out－of－State college， 10 percentage points higher than indicated in the other three ability groups． Similarly，of students in the high socioeconomic．status （SES）graup， 29 perceent planned to attend out－of－State colléges－compared to 16 and 18 percent of low and middle SES students，respectively．
－The same measure，tabulated by control of high school， indicates that seniors attending non－Catholic private schools were more than twice as likely to intend enfoll－
－ing in out of State institutions than public and Catholic
$\because$ high school seniors bound for college．Here，care should
be taken in interpreting these results because of the smatl
－school sample size，theheterogeneity of the sctoods，and the nonresponse rate for schogls in the＂other pri－＂ vate hetatery．Eductitional expectations apso played a part in stutatint intions to attend colfege out of State． Only 14 pertent of those expeting 2 years or less of college planned to atsend gut of State，but 20 percent of －those expecting 4 yen of coflege and 30 percent expect－ ．${ }^{3}$ 子a graduate degree had súch plans．In addition， 43 Rercent of seniors who planned to enroll in a private
8 college or university interided to go out of State．By comparison， 16 percent of those who planned to attend a public institution intended go out of State．
Differences in the plans of seniors to attend out－of－State
pe 24 ent in the West South Central fegion to a high of 43 pestant in New England．Family and regional traditions解铔contribute to those plans，ás well as＇such factors as attending private non－affiliated high schools and the avaitability of nearby prixate colleges．These factors may help to explain why We 懓登ngland，with its small States， its widesarray of private institutions，and its higher－than－ average enrollments $\cdot$ in private non－affiliated high schools，shows a greater proportion of seriors with out－ of－State plans．

An examination of＇movement＇into and out of by first－time freshmen helps to clarify the picture of resi－ dence versus migration．Some States，such as California
and Michigan, have only smalbito time freshman enrollment lofgratinde ither int Ststute (entry 2-6). Qther States, such as Utah, have small proportions going out of State but large ptopertions coming into the State. Others experience the reverse, with large proportions going.out and small proportions coming infe the State, as in New Jersey ôthers, such as New Hampshire, have véry jarge proportions both coming into and geing.out of, State to attend college. The effect of the movement of college students into and out of State is called net migration. An imbalãnce will cause either apositivenet migraton-that is; more sfugents come inte the State than go out-a negative net migration, where fewerjstudents come into the State than go out In 1979, 14isfabsi experienced a negative net migration of first-time ffishman students.

## Institutions

Higher education was qfered in the 1981-82 academic year by 3,253 2-year and 4 -yeár collegiate institutions. Despite the tremendous gutwith in enrollmants at the 2year level; \& year instigtions outnumbered 2-year institutions by about ${ }^{2} 00$ schools (ent $x^{2} 77$ ). At the $A$-year level, privafe shools pre tominateryctomprising oyer.

- two-thirds of 4 anditutions and representing the most typical unit in higher education. At the 2:year level, the reverse was true, with public institutions representing over two-thirds of the schools.


## State comparisons of institutions

The distribution of institutions by level and control tends to follow regional lines. Eight States, all located in the South and West regions, hadg more 2-yearthan 4-year instituitions and, with 19 Wer States, more public than private institutions. States wirh more private than public* institutions were generally located in the Northeast and North Central regions, with a few exceptions. The public/private mix was usually reflected in enrollments; that is, in most States where -putlic iostitutions were in the majority, enrollment in public institutions was well over the national average of 78 percent of all students. Conversely, in most States where private institutions pre-
dominated, the private $d$ sector enrolled a greater-thanaverage proportion of, all students. Yet,' it is noteworthy that of the 24 States with more private schools than public, only Massachusetts and the District of Columbia enrolled a majority of students in private schools. This is because private schools, although more numerous, tend to be smaller than public institutions

## Claspification of institutions

In addition to classifyingernstitutions by level and con-* trol, as presented above, the Nationake Center for Educa-: fiopn Statistico recently adoptéd a more detailed classith thation systëm for institutions of higher education, thls new system is based on the kinds and variety of the programs offered and degrees awarded. Of the $3,253^{\prime}$ ondetations of dicer education operating in 1981-82,

foffetrigh and awards for work below the bachelor's level (entry 2:b). Of these 1,214 schoolduthe vast nfifor: ity'(933) were public irdgitutions. Weneral baccalauteate instifutions comprised the largest category of institutions a primary emphasis ongeneral undergraduate; baccalaure-ate-level ederation and the fact that sith colleges were not significantly engaged in post-baccaláureate educations Of these 730 ing (thions, 607 were privately controlled.

- Doctoral-granting and comprehensive institutions two of the categories that offered post-baccalaureá cation, and they. numbered 167 and 408 institutions, res $x^{2}$ y $y^{\prime}$. While both doctoral-granting and compreherfive hinstitutions offer diverse post-baccalaureate programs (including first-professional programs itt areas such as law and medicine), ondy the doctoral-granting institutions engage significantly in doctoral-level programs. Both types included more public thant private institutions.

There were 545 specialized institutions, classified as such because they emphasize a single program area (or a closely-related group of areas), such as business or engineering. Most of these institutions were privately con-
$\qquad$ $\therefore$
$\because$

[^1]$\qquad$


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

a $\therefore$ a combination of these levels of education.

The two smallest categories of institutions are called "new" and "non-degree granting". The new institutions, 142 in 1981-82, are thus classified because they are recent additions to the survey and will be reclassified as degree and award information become available. The non-degree granting schools numbered 47, all private, and are so classified becausoghey offer undergraduate- or graduate-level instruction but do not confer degrees or awards.

## - Closings of institutions

*The private 4 -year college was not only the most typical institution in higher education", it was atso the most likely to have closed over the last decade. During the 1970 's, 76 private 4 -year institutions closed their doors, out of a total of 141 closings (entry, 2,9). In 1980-81, three private 4 -year institutions closed. Most of these were small residential colleges with enrollments under 1,000 students and offered baccalaureate liberal àrts curriculumṣ. Largely dependent on full-time undergraduates of trati-

- tional college axe for their enrollments and tuition reve. nues, these schools have had to compete in recent years for students and some have failed. Most ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ closings have occurred in States, that have large numbers of higher education igstitutions, such às New York; and ${ }^{\boldsymbol{p}}$ thus have not "appreciably diminished the stock of institutions within partigeffrar States. In addition, cloşings may not zepresent established and former 2 -year institutions convert to 4 year institutions. yet, over the past 20 years, most of the new schools to open were public 2 -year institutions. It is projected that as the population of traditional college-age decreases. more schools may close. ."



## Trends in staffing

Higher education faculty was estimated to number 846.000 in 1980 (entry 2.10). The number of instructional geulty generally followed enrollment trends, with
the number having increased rapidly in the early to mid-1970's and rising at a slower rate later in the decade. It is projected to begin decreasing after 1982; The number of part-time faculty nearly doubled between 1970 and隹 1975 but dropped to 183,000 for the period 1976 to 1980. Most of the demand in the early period was attributable to elfrollment changes, while in the later period, it was due to replacement needs. Although prejections are speculative, replacement needs are expected to continue in the 1980's at about the same rate as in the previous decade, while the effect of enrollment changes on the demand for faculty is expected to be negative.

## Faculty salaries

Thiteughout most of the 1970 's, Increases in faculty salaries failed to keep pace with high rates of inflation: Faculty salaries in reafterms dröpped by some 5 percent annutilly ingyears of double-digit inflation and did not fully recoven in the years following, (entay 2.11). In 198i-82, fecilty salaries in real terms incre - mally by $0.3^{-}$percent as inflation fell. Viewet over the entire period, the average salary for all ranks combined declined by one-fifth when adjusted for inflation.
.On the average nationally, salaries of professors in:public institutions differed little from thbse of their counterparts in private institutions, $\$ 33,700$ contpared to $\$ 32,900$ in ,1981-82 (entry 2.12). Variations did exist regionally 'however. In the Plains,', Southeast, and South west, "puby institution professors averaged ai least $\$ 3,000$ more than: private institution professors. In only one region, New England, professors in private institutions earned appre ciably more than professors in public institutions. Sal ${ }^{*}$ aries in public institutions were highest in the Mideast, Southwest, and Far West and in priyate institutions they were highesfin New Ehgland and the Far West, although salaries within the regions varied by State. Professors in public institutions earned the highest salaries in Alaska, Arizona, Delaware, and Wyoming while those in private, institutions earned the highest in Massachusetts and-Califorinia.
$\qquad$

## Unionization of faculty

Unionization of higher education faculty grew during the past． 8 years．In 1974，faculty at 211 institutions had collective bargaining agreements（entry 2．13），By 1981， the total was 382 ．an increase of 81 percent，and repre－ sented approximately 12 percent of all institutions of higher education．Public institutions were about five times as likely ass private institutions to have faculty working under collective bargaining agreements．Two－ year．institutions were about twice as likely as 4 －year Institutions to have a unionized faculty．
The degree of faculthunionization varied significantly among the States， 4 che ar regional differences（entry 2．14）．Most of the fates in the South and Mountain regions had no unionized faculties．while all of the States New England had faculty contracts and bargaining

Finance

3
an increase over the figures reported in 1970－71．Federal revenues made up almost one－fifth of the support for private 4 －year institutions，slightly higher than for public 4 －year institutions and much higher than for public and private 2 －year institutions．Local support was a signifi－ cant source only for，public 2 －year institutions，and its share has declined over time，replaced by State govern－ mint revenues．

Student revenues，mainly tuition and fees，comprised less than one－fourth of the total revenues of public in－ stitutions，about half of those of private 4 －year institu－ ions，and more than three－fourths of those of private 2－ year institutions．Funding from institutional sources，in－ clouding endowment income，was relatively significant only for 4 －year institutions．
${ }^{74}$ State and local revenues combined equaled about half of all monies received by ．public institutions，with some variation by State．The shares from State and local gov－ ernments ranged in public institutions from a low of 21 percent in Vermont to over 60 percent in Alaska，Califor－ nan．New York，and the District of Columbia（entry 2．16）．Variates in the share contributed by State and local governments to public institutions may be ac－ counted for by several factors．Among these，extensive community college systems and high in－State sty dent， enrollments may increase the State and local＇shat
 philosophy regarding fruition．The proportion of private institution revenues defied from State and local sources： was small，less than 3 percent nationally．The contribu－ ton to private institutions was highest in New York at 7 percent＇．A majority of States and their localities，how－， ever，contributed less than 1 percent to private higher： 1
education．

## －Expenditures

Trends in expenditures by higher education institutions generally reflected the impact of inflation and differing growth in the various sectors between 1971 and 1981．In fiscal yefr 1970－71， 23 billion dollars in current funds were spent by higher education institutions；by 1980－81， expenditures had almost tripled to 64 billion dollars（en－
$\qquad$

try 2.17). The impact of high cinflation throughout the period can be shown by applying to the data the Higher Education Price Indet, a variant of the Consumer Price Index, which takes into account price increases in higher-education-related goods and services. For every lion dollars spent in 9971 by higher education institutions, 203 dollars were required in 1981 to purchase coid irable goods ad services. The impact of increased enrorments is also àpparent by examining the increases in expenditures by sector: While all sectors at least doubled their expenditures, public 2 -year institutions, the sector experiencing the greatest expansion, almost quadrupled expenditures between ${ }_{5} 1971$ and 1981: Controlling both for rises in higher education prjces and increases in enrollment, however, reveads that little of the increase in expenditures translated into real growth int dollars spent per 3x full-time-equivaleñt (FTE) student. Public 4 -year institutions showed the most' appreciable growth in expenditures per F*TE student; rising in cont tant dollars by some 13 percent from 1971. Still, private 4 -year institipions spent at Teast $\$ 2.000$ more per FTE student than did public 4-year institutionssexpenditures per FTE student made by private 2 -yedr institutions actually degcilined somewhiat throughout most of the period whegiadjusted' for inflation. In 1971, private 2 -year institu ons spent
 2-year institutions: by 1981 . less than $\$ 500$ separated private 2 -year from püblic 2 -year expenditures per FTE. student:

$$
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Thie bulk of current funds expenditures in higher education went towards instructional costs: approximately 41 pércent was spent for instruction in the $1980_{\bar{z}} 81$ fiscal tyear (entry 2.18). Funds also were channelled, in about equal proportions, to research, public and student sefvices, institidional_support, academic support, and physital plant, With somewhat less having gone towards scholarships and fellowships. ,Public institutions and, in particular, public 2-year institut\%ons devoted a largera, share of their funding to instructional expenses: 42 per cent of expenditures in public 4 -year institutions wentho instruction compared with 37 percent in private 4 -year institutions, and 51 percent in public 2 -year institutions compared with 34 percent in, private 2 -year institutions.

States varieq'only. slightly in the prọportion of educa: tional and general expenditures devoted to instructional costs. The proportion spent on instruction ranged from a: low of 31 percent to a high of 49 percent, with most States clustered around the national average of 41 percent. States with extensive public 2 -year systems had generally higher, proportions going to instruction, States with large univefsittes, however, devoted a smader share to instruction, spending more on research.

## Outcomes

## Degrees conferred



## 0

Trends in degree production generally reflect earlier changes in the numbers enrolled, tempered by changes in the composition of enrollment. The peak yearin bach-elor's degrees awarded came in 1973-74.4 following the rughd risê in college eprollment in thẹ late 1960 's (efirry: "2.19): In the mid ${ }^{\circ}$ to Late 1970 's, bachelor's degree production fluctuated with the falling. off of full-time undergraduate enrollment: By the early 1980 's, however, production appeared again on the rise. In 1980-81, almost 1 million bachelor's degrees were awarded. Contributing heavily to the inctease wais, the growth in female enrollments sthroughout the 1970's. While bachelor's degrees awarded to enfien declifíd-beginning in the mid-1970's and pothet into 1980-81, bachelor's degrees earned by women increased steadily. By 1980-81, fully half of all bachelor's degrees went to females.
Master's degree production reached a high point in the 1976-77 academic year and has tapered off sinice then. As will be shown in a later chapter on teacher preparation, master's degrees in education contributed largely to the rise and subsequent decline in the total number of master's degrees awarded. Again, women hepresented a steadily increasing share of degree recipfents, accounting for 50 percent of all master's degrees awarded for the 1980-8d academic yeaf.
The number of doctor's degrees awarded showed only minor fluctuations throughout the period. Since the early' 1970's, the number and share of doctor's 'degrees earned bymen has'fallentegeh year, declining by over one-fourth :s

between 1972－73 and 1980－81，Compensating for this decline has been the unprecedented rise in the number of women earning doctor＇s degrees．More than twice as many women earned doctorates in 1980－81 than a decade earlier．While women continued to rêpresent a smaller －share of doctoral recipients than menk 31 ，percent in 1980－81，their representation more than doubled over the périod．
At the first－professional đegree level，too the number of degrees conferred to women contributed substantially the rise in total degree oufput throughout the 1970＇sand into the $1980 \%$ number of male recipients of Grst－ professional degrees in general rose steadily in the êarly and inid－1970 ：but by 1976－77 it bad begun to level off （entry 2．20）．The first－professional degrees awarded to： female recipients，howevep increased geometrically；al－ most doubling in nuñber every 3 years．From 1970－71 to 1980－81．the pumber of female recipientš more than quadpinled dit medicine and－increased by nino－fola in reffersentation，women comprised bne－ al first－professional degrees recipientssin ${ }^{\text {th}}$ hed；one－fourth of of those in law／n 1980 多 1 ．
Whert first－professional degrees are added in with bac－ Maureate and graduate academic degrees，potal degrees awarded were over 1.3 ＇pillion in＂ 980 o 81 （entry 2.21 ）． Bachelor＇s degrees ${ }^{1}$ represented 70 部ercent of this total nationally but varied somewhat by State．States with －＂smaller populations or located in the South tended to confer more bachelor＇s degrees relative to advanced de－ grees．States whose institutions have extensive graduate programs that draw students from gutside State bound－ aries as well as from large resident populationsendecto award proportionally more：advanced degrees．
Just as the public se dominated enrollment，so too did it confer a greamer share of total degrees awarded． Public institutions in $980-81$ conferred 64 percent of all degrees，a smallé proportion，however，than these schools enrolled．Generally，the public／private mix in degrees awarded followed the pattern set by enrollment， that is，the private sector was significant in the Northeast， some Midwestern States，Utah，and the District of Co－
lumbia．In fact，in Connecticut，the District of Columbia， Massachusetts，New Hampshire，New．York，Rhode Is－ land，and Vermont；a majority of degrees were conferred by private institutions．

States，tơo，differed in theè extent to which degrees were conferred below the baccalaureate level．Associate de－ grees require at least 2 but less than 4 years of college work and may terminate with an entry－level occupational skill or be creditable towards a baccalaureate degree．In thè school yêar 1980－81，416，000 associate degrees were awarded＇，sfightly greater than the number of master＇s， doctor＇s，and first－professignal degrees combined（entry 2．22）．States with large associate degree programs，rela－ tive to total baccalaureate and advanced degree produc－ tion，included Alaska；California，Florida，Idaho，Wash－ ingtọ，and W̌Woming（see also entry 2．21）．The academic or occupational emphasis of associate degree programs varied from ${ }^{\circ}$ State to State Nationally，associ－ ＇ate degrees were divided somiewhat evenly affong nonoc－ cupational arts and sciences degrees，earned primarily as erédit towaltds a 4－year degree；occupational degrees eamed in sciencie－or engineéring－related curriculums， and occupational degrees earned outside of science－or engineering－related curriculüms．In Florida，most nota－ bly，and in nine other \＄tates，associate degrees in nonbc－ cupational programs predominated．Associate degrees in science－or engineering－related occupational curriculums ，represented the majority in 6 States，led by Nebraska， while occupational degrees＂outside of scienge－or en－ gineering－related fields represented at laast 50 percent in South Caroina and South Dakota：
Employment of recent college graduates
College graduation with a baccalaureate degree leads sime recipients into the labor market，others into more advanced academic work．In some fields，graduate study is almost mandatory，while in others such as engineering and education，graduates often enter directly into the labor force．In May of 1981； 86 percent of bachelor＇s recipients from the previous year were in the labor force （entry 2．23）．This figure was essentially unchanged from the labor force participation of recent bachelor＇s recip－

ients reported in 1978. The field of academic preparation influenced to some extent whether recifents went directly into the labor market. Students wher trained in the professional fields -including engineering, Business and : management, health, edụcation, and public affairs-had the highest labor force entry rate, 91 percēnt. Arts and sciences graduates, many of whom were pursuing advanced study, had a lower rate of 77 percent. Graduates who were newly qualified to teach had rates comparable to those graduated in professional fields.

Unemployment among those graduates in the labor force was approximately 5 percent in May of 1981 and differed somewhat by field of college study. Graduates newly qualified to teach had one of the lowest rates, 3 percest. Among, graduates not newly qualified to teactsothose who majored in physical sciences and mathematee ziso had low unemployment, while those in psychology, sacial sciences, and humanities had higher-thàn-average unemployment. Some caution, however, should be taken in making inferences about unemployment rates based on small numbers surveyed.

The extentato whichegraduates applied their colle sudy to full-time work also differed by field. In +8 percent of recent bachelor's recipients we
 ated in the professional fields to 56 percent in the arts apd sciences (entry 2.24). Among all recipients, 38 percènt दो were employeđ full-time.t. work they considered highly. related to their college major. T置is represented a rajority of those employed full-time. Graduates in professional fields and those newly qualified to teach were the most likely to be working full-time in fields considered glosely related. In addition, these graduates were less dikely to be employed full-time in non-professional jobs than the average. Graduates with physical sciences and mathe-
matics majors were also much less likely to be entployed: full-time in non-professional wow. Again caution should be exercised in interpreting these results for fields reporting small numbers.

Most recent backelor's recipients working full-time in 1981 were employed in professional and technical work or managerisfors administrative work. Business represented the largést occupational field; about one-fouth of all those working full-time were employed in the business field (entry 2.25). Education was the next targest occupational field, although its share of bachelor's recipients declined somewhat between 4978 and 1981. Comqared to graduates in 1978 , fewer graduates in 1981 went into non-professional fields, with the exception of sales work.

- Although unemployment and underemployment changed little between 1978 and 1981 among recent bachelor's. recipients, salaries on the average declined. When adjusted for inflation to 1981 dollars, the average salary . was $\$ 700$ more in 1978 than in $1981, \$ 16,000$ compared to $\$ 15,300$. Salary declines were more apparent in some occupations than in others. For example, in 1978, those ? employed in education earned salaries comparable to all recipients when the educators' salaries were adjusted to a 12 -month pay period. When the same adjustment is made to educators' salaries in 1981, howeve the figures show that they earned $\$ 14,000$ compared to $\$ 15,300$. Graduates working full-time in engineering and computer sciences continued to earn the highest salaries, $\$ 22,900$ and $\$ 19,800$ respectively. This meant that graduates working in engineering commanded salaries one and one-half times as high as the average salary of all graduates and twice that of graduates employed in the lowest paying field, clerical and secretarial work.



## Table 2.1

Total Enrollment in Institutions of Higher Education and Percent of Total
Enrollment, by Student and Institutional Characteristics: Fall 1970 to 1990


3 SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Projections of
4. Education Statistics to 1990-91, Volume I, 1982; Fall Enrollment in Colleges and Universities, 1982, eaty release, 1983; and unpublished tabulations (Februáry 1983).



After peaking in 1981, higher education enrollment is projected to stabilize in 1982 gind 1983 before declining slightly through 1987. The proportions that aft male, full-time, and in 4 -year institutions are expected to continue decreasing in the 1980's, while the proportion in public institutions is expected to increase.

Average Annual Percent Change in Full-Time-Equivalent Enrollment in Institutions of Higher Education, by State: Fall 1970 to 1975 and fall


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, and American Council on Education, Trends and Pafterns: A Study of Enrollments in Higher Education, 1970-79, 1982, and unpublished tabulations (October 1982).


Average Annual Percent Change in Full-Time-Equivalent Enrollment, 1970 to 1981


From 1970 to 1981, the average annual growth in full-time-equivalent enrollment ranged from 11 percent per year in Nevada to 1 percent in South Dakota. Most States experienced slower growth in the latter half of the period.


Table 2.3

## Higher Education Enrollmeht and Percent Undergraduate, Full-Time, in Public, and in'4-Year Institutions, by State;Academic Year 1981-82




States in the Far West had a larger proportion of enrollment in public institutions than the national average and a smaller proportion in 4 -ydar institutions than the national average. The reverse was true for States' in New England:
$\qquad$

Table 2.4



NOTE: Data include undergrachate, graduate, first-professional, and unclassified students.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Higher Education General Information Survey, Fall Enrollment in Higher Eduçation, 1980, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


## Table 2.5

Intentions of 1980 College-Bound ${ }^{1}$ Seniors to Attend In-State or Out-ofState Schools, by Ability Quartile, Socioeconomic Status (SES), Racial/ Ethnic Group, Student Educational Expectation, Control of High School, and Region: Spring 1980

'College-bound seniors include those who indioated that they expected either to attain at least some college in the future or to be enrolled in college for academic or vocationa//raining in libe year following high school.
2The general academic ability index was derived from four base-year "Test Book" scores: vocabulary. reading, letter groups, and mathematics.
The SES index was based on a composite score involving five components: father's education, mother's education, parental income, father's occupation, and a household items index.
${ }^{4}$ The regions correspond to U.S. Bureau of the Census definitions. See the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (June 1982).

Inténtions of College-Bound Seniors to Attend In-State or Out-of-State Schools, by Region


Among celiege-bound seniors. about one in five planned to attend college out of State. The proportion was lowest in the western regions of the country and highest in the eastern seaboard regions
iju
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Table 2.6

First-Time Freshman Enrollment and Migration Into and Out of State, by State: Fall 1979

'Includes first-time freshmen who were resident aliens and first-time freshmen in U.S. Service Schools.
${ }^{2}$ Includes resident aliens and, thus, is not equal to zero.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Residence and Migration of College Students. Fall 1979, 198s

## Percent Migration of First-Time' Freshmen Into and Out, of State, by State



Fourteen States had more first-time freshmen leaving the State to attend ollege than coming into the State.
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Table 2.7 .

Number of Institutions of Higher Education and Branches, by Level, Control, and State: Academic Year 1981-82


NOTE: Branch campuses are counted separately.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Digest of Education Statistics. 1982. 1982


Eight States had more 2-year than 4-year institutions of higher education as well as more public than private institutions in 1981-82. These were located in the South and West regions. Connecticut was the only State in New England with more public than private colleges.
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Table $\mathbf{2 . 8}$

Number of Institutions of Higher Education and Branches, by New Institutional Classification and Control: Academic Year 1981-82

${ }^{1}$ These institutions are characterized by a significant level and breadth of activity in and commitment to doctoral-level education as measured by the number of ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ctorate recipients and the diversity in doctoral-level program offerings.
${ }^{2}$ These institutions are characterized by diverse' post-baccala ${ }^{\text {al }}$ reate programs (including first-professional), but do not engage in significant doctoral-level education. .
${ }^{3}$ These institutions are characterized by their primary emphasis on general undergraduate, baccalaure-ate-level education. They are not significantly engaged in $\mathrm{p}^{05}$-baccalaureate education.
${ }^{4}$ These baccalaureate or post-baccalaureate institutions are characterized by a programmatic emphasis in one area (plus closely related specialties), such as busings or engineering. The Programmatic emphasis is measured by the percentage of degrees granted in the program area.
${ }^{5}$ These institutions confer at least 75 percent of their degre $e^{s}$ and awards for work below the bachelor's level. The numbers reported differ from those shown according to the traditional classification of 2 -year institutions because some are recent additions and are classified undér new institutions.

- 旷hese institutions are recent additions to the Higher Education general Information Survey universe (not necessarily newly organized). As degree and award inform ation become available to NCES, these institutions will be reclassified.
${ }^{7}$ These institutions offer undergraduate instruction, work, or research beyond the bachelor's level, but do not confer degrees or awards.
NOTE: Branch campuses are counted separately.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education statistics, Higher Education General Information Survey, Survey of Institutional, Charac ${ }^{\text {teristics }}$ of Colleges and Universities, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


## Distribution of Institutions of Higher Education, by New Institutional Classification . and Contról



The largest category of public institutions of higher education was the 2-year institution, and the largest categories of private institutions were the general baccalaureate and the speçialized instifution.

Number of Closings of Institutions of Higher Education, by Level and Control of Institution: -Academic Year 1960-61 to 1980-81

| Academic Year | All Institutions |  |  | - Public Institutions |  |  | Private Institutions $\}$ |  |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 4-Year | 2-Year' | Total | 4-Year, | 2-Year | Total- | 4-Year | 2-Year |  |
| 1960-61 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $7{ }^{\circ}$ | 1. | 6 | ) |
| . 1961-62 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\therefore 1$ | 1 |  |
| 1962-63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1963-64 | 7 | 1 | - 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | , |
| 19364-65 | 8 | 1 | $7{ }^{\text { }}$ | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |
| ،1960-61 to 1964-65 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | : 6 | 19 | 4 | , 15 | $\therefore$ |
| 1965-66 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 1966-67 | 9 | 2 | , 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 1967-68 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14 | 6 | - 8 |  |
| $1968-69$ | 21 | 11 | 10 | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | 20 | 11 | 9 |  |
| 1969-70 ........ | 18 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 7 |  |
| 1965-66 to 1969-70 | 70 | - 29 | 41 | 11 | 0 | . 11 | 59 | 29 | 30 |  |
| 1970-71 | 32 | 9 | 23 " | 9 | 0 | '9 | 23 | 9 | 14 |  |
| 1971-72 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 3 | - 0 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 6 |  |
| 1972-73 | 19 | 12. | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2. | 17 | 12 | 5 |  |
| 1973.74 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 7 |  |
| 1974-75 | 17 | $13<$ | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 13 | 1 |  |
| 1970-71 to 1974-75 | 98 | 48 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - 81 | 48 | 33 |  |
| - 1975-76.......... | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $-1$ | $\cdots 6^{*}$ | 5 | 1 |  |
| . 1976.77 | 8 | 5 | '3 | $\times 0$ | 0 | 0 | 8- | - 5 | 3 |  |
| $1977-78$ | 12 | 9 | 3. | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 3 |  |
| 1978.79 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 1979-80. 3 | 6 | 5 | 1 - | 0 | 0 | 0 : | 6 | 5 | 1 |  |
| 1975-76 to 1979-80 | 43 | 29 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 41 | $28 *$ | 13 |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |
| 1980-81 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3- | 1 |  |
| . |  | - |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| $1960 \cdot 61$ to 1980-81 | 240 | 113 | 127 | 36 | 1 | 35 | 204 | 112 | 92 |  |

NOTE: Numbers exclude branch campuses of institutions.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Digest of Education Statistics, 1982, 1982.



$\qquad$

## Table 2.10

Full-Time; Part-Time, and Full-Time-Equivalent (FTE) Instructional Stáff in Institutions of Higher Education and Estimated Additional FTE Instructional Staff Needed: Fall 1970 to 1990


[^2]NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Projections of Education Statistics to 1990-91, Volume I, 1982.

In the 1980's, demand for additional higher education faculty will result primarily from


Table 2.11

Annual Percent Change in Average Salaries of Instructional Faculty ${ }^{1}$ in Institutions of Higher Education ${ }^{2}$, by Academic Rank: Academic Year 1971-72 to 1981-82


[^3]Annual Percent Change, in Current Dollars
Annual percent change


Annual Percent Change, in Constant Dollars
Annual percent change


Full-time instructional faculty lost earnings to inflation each year throughout most of the 1970's. In 1981-82, salaries increased minimally by 0.3 percent, attributable to a sharp decline in the inflation rate.
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Table 2.12

## - Average Salaries of All Full-Time Instructional Faculty and Professors on 9-Month Contracts, by Control of Institution and by State: Academic Year 1981-82



## Average Salaries of Full-Time Professors on 9-Month Contracts, by Region



Nationally, the average salaries of full-time professors on 9 -month contracts were approximately $\$ 33,700$ in public institutions and $\$ 32,900$ in private institutions. By region, higher-than-average salaries were earned in public institutions in the Mideast, Southwest, and Far West and in private institutions in New England and the Far West.
$\qquad$

Number of Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreements and Bargaining Agents in Institutions of Higher Education, by Level and Control of Institution: 1974 to 1981


NOTE: Data refer to total number of recognized bargaining agents and number of collective bargaining. agreements with bargaining agents in the United States according to available information. A bargaining agent is an organization such as the National Education Association, American Federation of Teachers, etc., recognized by the institution either voluntarily or through agent elections -as representing the interests of faculty in collective bargaining. As long as the certificate of recognition is in effect, the institution is designated as having a bargaining agent, even if no collective bargaining has ever taken place. Multi-campus units have been counted as a single institution with a single bargaining agent unless the individual campuses have separate agreements and bargaining agents in which case they are treated as separate institutions. If there is more than one bargaining unit and recognized bargaining agent in any particular institution, the total number of bargaining agents elected in that institution is the figure used:

SOURCE: Douglas, Joel .M. with Steve Kramer, Baruch College, City University of New York, The National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education and the Professions, Directory of Faculty Contracts and Bargaining Agents in Institutions of Higher Education, 1982.

## Chart 2.13

$\because \quad \because \quad 4$

- Number of Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreements.


Between 1974 and 1981, the number of collective bargaining agreements increased appreciably in public institutions of higher education, while it leveled off in private institutions after 1979. Uníonization among faculty grew at a faster rate in 2-year institutions than in 4-year institutions.
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Number of Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreements and Bargaining Agents in Institutions of Higher Education, by Level of Institution and by State: 1981
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Faculty Collective Bargaining Agreements in Institutions of Higher Education, by State


With the exception of Florida, unionization of faculty was less prominent in the South thanin other regions in 1981.


Table 2.15

## Sources of Current Funds Revenues for Institutions of Higher Education, by Control and Level of Institution: Fiscal Year 1971 and 1981



[^4]
## Sources of Current Funds Revenuesffor Institutions of Higher Education



The predominant sources of current funds revenues differed considerably by institutional type and control in higher education. State revenues were the largest single source for public institutions and student sources the largest for private institutions.


Table 2.16.

Current Funds Revenues for Institutions of Higher Education and Percent from State and Local Governments, by Control of Institution and by State: Fiscal Year 1981

$r$ Not applicable: 'Less than 0.5 million dollars
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Higher Education General Information Survey, Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education, for Fiscal Year 1981, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).

State/Local Revenues as Percent of Total Current Funds Revenues for Public Higher


Current Funds Expenditures and Mandatory Transfers by Institutions of Higher Education and Per Full-Time-Equivalént (FTE) Student, by Level, and Control of Institution: Fiscal Year 1971 to 1981

${ }^{1}$ Dollars adjusted using the Higher Eduçation Price Index, available from the Research Associates of Washington.
${ }^{2}$ In 1970-71 and 1971-72 data for 2-year branch campuses of 4-year institutions are included with the 4-year institutions.-In the following years, all ${ }^{\text {² }}$-year, institutions are included in the 2 -year institution column.
NOTE: Details may not idd to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE; U.S. Departriffeof Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Higher Education General Information Survey, Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education and Fall Enrollment in Higher Education, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).

## Higher Education Current Funds Expenditures Per Full-Time-Equivalent Student



When controlled for inflation between 1971 and 1981 ; current funds expenditures per full-time-equivalent student rose minimally, among 4 -year and public 2 -year institutions. They fell somewhat in private 2-year institutions.


Table 2.18

Instruction Expenditures' as Percent of Educational and General
Expenditures ${ }^{2}$, by Type and Control of Institution and by State: Fiscal Year 1980-81

-Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Expenditures for instruction and expenditures for departmental research and public sewice which are not separately budgeted. Facuity salarles are a major component of instrdction expenditures.
${ }^{2}$ General operating expenditures including instruction, research, qublic service, academic sypport, student services; institutional support, and operation and maintenance of plant.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Educaton Statistics, HigherEducation General Information Survey, Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education, for Fiscal Year Ending 198t, unnpublished tabulations (December 1982).

Public 2-year institutions generally devoted a greater proportion of their educational and ${ }^{*}$ general expenditures to instructional costs than other institutions. Some variations exist among States within each type of inştitution.

## Table 2.19

Earned Degrees Conferred by Institutions of Higher Education, by Level of Degree and Sex of Recipient: Academic Year 1970-7/fot1980-81


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Centef̈, for Education Statistics, Earned Degrees Conferred, various yejars, and unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


## Earned Degrees Conferred, by Level and Sex

First-Professional Degrees Conferred by Institutions of Higher
Éducation, by Sex of Recipient: Academic Year 1970-71 to 1980-81

|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academiç Year Énding | Total First-Professional <br> - Degrees |  |  | First-Professional Degrees in Medicine (M.D.) |  |  | First-Professionnal Degrees in Law (L.L.B. or J.D.). |  |  |
|  | Total ${ }^{\prime}$ | Male | Fermale. | Total | Male | Fermale | Total | Male | Female ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| 1971 | 37,946 | - 35,544 | 2,402 | 8,919 | 8,110 | 809 | 17,421 | 16,181 | 1,240 |
| 1972. | 43,411 | 40,723 | 2,688 | 9,253 | 8,423 | 830 | 21,764 | 20,265 | 1,498. |
| 1973. | 50,018 | 46,489 | 3,529 | 10,307 | 9,388 | 919 | 27,205 | 25,037 | -2,168 |
| 1974. | 53,816 | 48,530 | 5,286 | 11,356 | 16,093 | 1,263 | 29,326 | 25,986 | 3,340 |
| 1975 | 55,916 | 48,956 | 6,960 | 12,447, | 10,818 | 1,629 | 29,295, | 24,881 | 4,415 |
| 1976. | 62,649 | 52,892 | 9,757 | 13,426 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 11,252 | 2,174 | 32,293 | 26,085 | 6,208 |
| -1977. | 64,359 | 52,374 | 11,985 | 13,461 | 10,891 | 2,570 | 34,104 | 26,447 | 7,657 |
| 1978. | 66,581 | 52,270 | 14,311 | 14,279 | 11,210 | 3,069 | 34,402 | 25,457 | 8,945 |
| 1979 : | 68,848 | 52,652 | 16,196 | 14,786 | H7381 | 3,405 | 35,206 | 25,180 | 10,026 |
| 1980 | 70,131 | 52,716 | 17,415 | 14,902. | 11,476 | 3,486 | 35,647 | 24,893 | 10,754 |
| 1981. | 71,956 | 52,792 | 19,164 | 15,085 : | 11,672 | 3,833 | 36,331 | 24,563 | 11,768 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Digesfof:Education Statistics, 1982, 1982; Projections of Education Statistics to 1990-91. Volume 1. 1982; and Higher Education General Information Survey, Earned Degrees Conferred, unpublished tabulations (September, 1982).



First-professional degrees awarded to women rose appreciably from 1970-71 to 1980-81, while those awarded to men began leveling off, in 1976-77. Over the period, the number of female degree recipients quadrupled in medicine and increased bynine-fold in law:


Table 2.21 :

2
*Bachelor's and Advanced Degrees Conferred by Institutions of Higher Education, by Control of Institution and State: Academic Year 1980-81


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statist
Survey, Earned Degrees Conferred, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

Percent of Total Earned Degrees Conferred at Bachelor's Level and by Public Institutions;


While on the average, bachelor's degrees comprised 70 percent of total degree production, they represented generally higher proportions of the total in States with small populations or located in the South. States with higher than average proportions awarded from public institutions were generally located outside the Northeast.

Table 2.22
+

## Associate Degrees Conferred by Institutions of Higher Education, by Type of Curricular Program and by State: Academic Year 1980-81



NOTE: Datd include only degrees requiring at least 2 years but less than 4 years of work beyond high school.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education:Statistics, Migher Education General Information Survey, Associate Degrees and Othet Formal Awards Below the Baccalaureate, 1980~81, unpublished tabulations (December 1982)

## Distribution of Associate Degrees Conferred by Institutions of Higher Education, by Type

 of Curricular Program

# Labor Force Status of Recent Bachelor's Degreé Recipients, by Major Field of Study: February 1978 and May 1981 




Arts and sciences graduates, not newly qualified to teach:


Unemployed

Bachelor's recipients who majored in professional fields or who were newly qualified to teach were more likely,to go directly into the labor force than those who majored in arts
$\because \quad . \quad$ and sciences. In addition, they were also less likely to be unemployed than were arts and sciences majors.

Full-Time Employment Status of Recent Bachelor's Degree Recipients; by Major Field of Study: February 1978 and May 1981.


- Not available.
${ }^{1}$ lyंctudes those not working in technical, managerial, or administrative types of jobs and who reported that they did not need a college degree to obtain their jobal
${ }^{2}$ Includes those who have not finished all requirements for teaching certification or were. previolisly qualified to teach.
NOTE: Data exclude badifolor's recipients from U.S. Service Schools. Also do not include deceased graduates and graduates , living at foregn addresses at the time of thie survey. Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficient of variation provided in the: Pata Sounces in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Educhtion' Wational Center for Education Statistics, Nen-Teachers in the Job Market. 1980, and New Teachers in the Job Market regi Update, forthcoming.

Percent of Recent Bachelor's Recipients Who Are Employed Full-Time in Closely Related Fields and Percent Employed Full-Time in Non-Professional Jobs


4
Occupational Distribution and Averrage Annual Salaries of Recent Bachelor's Degrẹe Recipients Working Full-Time: Febrưary 1978 and May 1981

' Reported salaries of full-time workers under $\$ 3,000$ in 1978 and $\$ 4,200$ in 1981 were excluded from the tabulations.
${ }^{2}$ Most educators work 9- to 10-month contracts. Their salaries when adjusted for a 12-month period averaged - \$16,300 in February 1978 and $\$ 14,000$ in May 1981 in constant (1981) dgllars.

NOTE: Data exclude bachelor's recipients from U.S. Service Schools, Also do not include deceased graduates and graduates living at foreign addresses at the time of the survey. Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center' for Education Statistiç, Recent College Graduates Survey, 1978 and 1981, unpublished tábulations (December 1982).


Chart 2.25

Average Annual Salaries of Recent Bachelor's Degree Recipients Working Full-Time, by Occupation


Vocational and adult education demonstrate both the varied uses of educational experiences añd the growing options for obtaining education. Occupational schooling at secondary and postsecondary levels may contribute to improved prospects for employment or job advancement. Adult part-time education, serving an expanding clientele through a diverse network of providers, supplements previous schooling and training, assists in overcoming previqus education and language deficiencies, and offers opportonities for perśonal development. Chapter 3 presents profiles of these two aspects of education as offered by schools and other institutions. The first half of the chapter on vocational education at the secondary and postsecondary levels highlights participation in high schools and under the Vocationial Education Act, while the second half examines adult education as it relates to the characteristics and needs of participants.

## Vocational Education

Vocational education is a diverse enterprise offered by a large number of institutions. There is no single data collection which covers the entire spectrum of participants, providers, and offerings. Information on this complex area is derived from various sources. The two most comprehensive collections are High School and Beyond (HSB), a longitudinal study of 1980 high school students, and the Vocational Education Data System (VEDS); collecting institutional data at the State level. Certain information may differ because the HSB data are derived from student self-reports and the VEDS data from institutions as reported and certified by the States in their vocational program plàns. Considerable work is being done, however, to enhance these data collections. A high school transcript study will be available shortly from HSB that will go beyond student responses to report high school participation in curricular programs and courses with considerablè accuracy and detail. Followup studies will also provide information on subsequept, vocational training and the realization of high school expectations. The Vocational Education Data System is in the process of working with the States to improve the consistency of reporting. Problems still exist in some State reports because of duplicated counting and the inclusion of some nonoccupational courses.

Ar examination of provider insttutions supplies the basis for a composite picture of vocational education's scope and charaeteristics. The components include secondary land postsecondary institutions, comprising the more than 27,000 identified providers of vocational education in the United States. Since several different and occasionally overlapping data bases were used to assemble this profile', the figures presented here may depart somewhat: from other sources.
Of 27,000 institutions offering vocational education in 1978-79, the largest single_provider, public compretien--: sive and vocational secondary schools, made up more than half of the total, with some $15 ; 700$ schools (entry 3.1). Specialized high schools called area vocational centers also provide vocational instruction to secondary . students who receive the academic portion of their 'education in regular high schools or other institutions. Private noncollegiate postsecondary schools comprised the. second largest group of vocational education providers, with a total of about 6,800 institutions. These non-degree granting institutions provide specialized instruction for preparation for a single or group of occupations. They may include vocational/technical institutes and business, trade, and health schools. Manty 2- and 4 year institutions of higher education also offer degrees in vocational and technical fields, as well as academic and general education. In 1978-79, some 1.100 2 -year institutions - and 6004 -year institutions offered vocational programs.

These institutions in 1978-79 totalled some 19 million aggregate program enrollments over the 12 -month period. The distribution of enrollments among the provider institutions differed from the distribútion of numbers of institutions. Size differences among the types of institutions were responsible for some of this variation. At the secondary level, the public secondary schools; area centers, and secondary level adult programs accounted for virtually all secondary vocational enrollments (entry 3.2). Distribution of enrollments at the postsecondary level departed markedly from the distribution of institutions. The 2 -year institutions of higher education accounted for by far the largest single portion of the postsecondary enrollménts, enrolling 68 percent-of all postsecondary students. Private noncollegiate postsec-
ondary schools enrolled the second largest share ( 15 percent of postsecondary students).

## Secondary vocational education

Information on participation in vocational programs in. general at the secondary level is available from the Na tional Center for Education Statistics' High School and Beyond Study. When asked to describe their high school program, one-fourth of high school seniors checked a vocational (occupational) program. According to their responses, approximately equal proportions of males and females were enrolled in vocational curricular programs. (entry 3.3). At the secondary level, females outnumbered males in office occupations programs, whereas the males predominated in trade and industrial enrollments. Yet; almost ass many males participated ín office occupations as in technical vocational education.

When considering all students in all curricula by racial/ ethnic group, greater proportions of blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians participated in vocational programs. $\cdot$ Higher proportionate enrollment in pwocational education was also characteristic of students in low ability groups and from lower socioeconomic status.

While about one-fourth of high school students indicated that they. were enrolled in vocational programs, many: more said that they had taken a vocational course that could prepare them for entry-level work. On the student survey, high school seniors were asked to respond to the question, "Have you taken any high school courses in the following areas which have equipped you for a beginning job in that area?" More than 87 percent said they had. In fad, regardless of sex or region, four in five high school seniors said they had taken at least one vocational course that prepared them for a beginning job. This proportion, . however, may include students who took a single course that they believed prepared them for an entry-level job. For male seniors, at least one in four had been enrolled in cappentry, drafting, machine shop, or secretarial/office work (entry 3.4). Among female seniors, 71 percent had taken a secretarial/office work course. The next largest category for females was home economics ( 47 percent) followed by sales/merchandising ( 22 percent).

Of the approximately 25 percent of 1980 high school sehtors who were enrolled in vocational curricular programs, about half expected to begin working immediately after graduation (entry 3.5). Lower percentages were noted for seniors enrolled in general ( 40 percent) and academic ( 11 percent) programs. The proportion of seniors who expected to continue some type of vocational education after high school was 22 percent for students in vocational programs, 8 percent for students in academic programs, and 16 percent for students in general programs. Almost 19 percent of vocational students planned to attend college in an academic fiefld, compared to 76 percent of academic students and 34 percent of general program students. Only small proportions of 1980 high school seniors expected to participate in ap-". prenticeship/training programs.

For many students, starting salary plays an important part in career choice: To evaluate the relationship between high school program and income for 1972 high school graduates, earnings and hourly wages for initial jobs obtained after completing education were adjusted to 1980 dollars (entry 3.6). This adjustment compensates for inflation and permits fair comparisons among students who enter the labor'force at different times. Gradu-ates who had been enrolled in vocational programs earned salaries per hour comparable to those of graduates in academic and general programs, $\$ 5.38$ compared to $\$ 5.48$ (academic) and $\$ 5.25$ (general). Initial average yearly earnings were highest for graduates enrolled in academic programs ( $\$ 11,337$ ), followed by those in gen- . eral programs ( $\$ 10,961$ ) and vocational programs ( $\$ 10 ; 880$ ). Differences by sex were evident in that males worked longer hours and eamed more per hour and per year than females regardless of high school program.

## Vocational education under the Vocational Education Act (VEA)

A high proportion of the vocational education offered in the Nation receives funds under the Federal Vocational Education Act, designated in this section as VEA programs. Data on these programs are collected annually by the National Center for Education Statistics through the Vecational Education Data System (VEDS). In 1979-80,
virtually all public secondary schools received some assistance under the VEA, and while private secondary schools were not included, their students can participate through the public school system. About two-thirds of all postsecondary schools were covered under VEA; most of which were 2 -year institutions of higher edtuation or public noncollegiate postsecondary schools.

The range of vocational education programs is suggested by the nine program areas used to group enrollment (see the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix). Of the nine; office occupations, consumer and homemak$\backslash$ ing, and trade and industrial programs contimued to dominate enrollments in federally-funded vocational education in 1981 (entry 3.7): Óverall, VEA enrollments rose steadilly between 1975 and 1979, then dropped slightly for 1981. The decrease between 1979 and $1981.0 c c u r r e d$ primarily in the areas of agriculture and consumer and homemaking, while health and technical program enrollments actually increased. From 1975 tọ 1981 , significant increases in enrollment overall were recorded in the areas of health ( 51 percent), occupãtional home economics ( 25 percent), office occupations ( 20 percent), and technical programs ( 15 percent).

Funding by the Federal government for VEA programs almost doubled in current dollars between 1972 and 1981, from $\$ 466$ million to $\$ 854$ million (entry 3.8 ) State and, Jocal expenditures more than tripled from $\$ 2$ billion to $\$ 6.5$ billion, in terms of current dollars. Since 1979, however, growth in State and local expenditures has slowed down somewhat: When expressed in constant 1981 dollars, Fẹderal contributions actually decreased in terms of buying power from $\$ 981$ million in 1972 to $\$ 854$ million in 1981, a decrease of 13 percent, while State and local support increased from $\$ 4.5$ to $\$ 6.5$ billion, an increase of 44 percent düring the same period. For each year from 1972 through 1978, there was an increased expenditure of State and local dollars for each Federal dollar. In 1978, for every 1 Federal dollar spent, 10 State/local dollars were expended. However, from ' 1979 to. 1981, the State/local contribution decreased slightly to 8 State/local dollars spent for every I' Federal dollar:

Minority enrollment in VEA programs appears to be closely tied to the minority composition of the general population (entry 3.9). The Sunbelt States have larger minority populations and greater enrollment proportions. ately for minorities than other areas of the country. Enrollments of minorities from 15 to 30 percent of the total enrollment occurred in several East North Central and Mid-Atlantic States. Lower minority populations in the West North Central and Northern Mountair and Pacific States yielded generally lower enrollments in those areas. Bëcause several States reported that student raciai/ethnic status was unknown for more than 10 percent of their students, the accurate determination of minority proportions is not possible for these States:
Followup data on the 1980 high scheol seniors are not yet available by which to measure further voćational training or the realization of vocational plans. Data on completers of VEDS programs also are not final. However, as shown in the Higher Education Chapter, data on associate degrees awarded in occupational programs do provide one measure of completions in vocational education, at:least in the higher education sector. Of the 416,000 associate degrees awarded in 1980-81, about two-thirds were conferred in occupational programs. Of these, science- and engineering-related specialties represented at least half.

## Adult Education

Participation by adults in part-time instruction increased considerably over the past decade and is expected to continue to grow-through the 1980's and into the 1990's. . This development was registered in the large numbers of adults participating and the myriad of educational activities offered. Documenting this component of education in the early 1980 's, this section profiles participant characteristics and course objectives and describes the providers and funding föt adult education.
The term adult education is used to describe all part-time instruction, including nonacademic as well as degreecredit activities engaged in by adults. Specifically as defined in the 1981 Adult Education Participation Survey and used throughout this section, it refers to all courses and organized educational activities, excluding those

taken by full-time students in programs leading to a high school diploma or an academic degree. It also excludes coursestaken as part of occupational training programs of 6 months or more duration. ${ }^{i}$ For the purposes of the survey, adults were defined as persons 17 years of age and older. Full-time students also engaged in part-time adult education activitiés were fncluded as participants:

While specific figures from surveys before 1978 are not strictly comparable to more recent data, gross measures suggest a steady rate of growth in adult education over the past 12 years. In part, the aging of the 'U.S. population contributed to this growth, directly, by expanding the pool of participantstandeindirectlye bye encouraging schools and colleges to seek new markets beyond that of traditional college age. Also contributing to its growth were the demands of the workplace to upgrade and update the occupational skills of American workers, as evidenced in the abundance of educational activities offered by employers. In addition, the expansion and heightened importance of leisure time also may have encouraged the growth of educational activities Since these features's are expected to characterize Amertcan life into the 1990 's people may continue to seek adull education for learning, training, and enjoyment in the future.
For the year ending in May 1981, over 21 million persons participated in adult education programs, an increase of over 3 million since 1978, or almosf 17 percent (entry 3.10), A portion of this increase can be explainied simply by increases in the adult population; in 1978, 72 percent of the population was 17 years old and over, - compared to 74 percent in 1981. However, even accounting for the effects of population growth, the rate of participation in adult education also increased, by over 8 percent. In 1981, almost 13 percent of all adults chose to further their education through participatión in part-time instruction.
'Before 1978, the definition of adult education included full-time students in occupational programs of 6 months or more duration as adult education participants. and excluded full-time students who were also engaged in part-time adult education activities. Therefore, specific data items from the 1969. 1972, and 1975 adult education surveys are not directly comparable with data from the later surveys.

The rate of participation in adult education varied substantially by age. By far the most active participants in adult education wêre 25 - to 34 -year-olds. For example, almost one of every five adults between the ages of 25 and 34 participated in some form of adult education program, and, over 15 percent of persons aged 35 to 54 were participants. The lowest participation rates were registered by older persons; less than 8 percent of those between the ages of 55 and 64, and only 3 percent of persons 65 and over participated. While the 55 -and-over age group comprised 28 percent of the total adult population, this group represented only slightly more than 11 percent of all adult education participants.

Although the older age groups teported lower rates than 25- to 34 -year-olds in 1981, it was the older groups that showed real growth in the rate of participation over the 1978 figures. Any growith in the number of 25 - to $34-$ year-old participants was attributable solely to the increasing pool of persons in this age group. Among the population 35 years old and over, however, increases were duè to both growing size and higher rates of participation. The participation rate rose by some 15 percent among the 35- to 64 -year-old group between 1978 and 1981 and by about 29 percent among the 65 -year-old-and-over group. Given that the total adult population is projected to increase during the 1980's, and that rates remain at the same high level among younger adults and increase among older adults, parjcipation in adult education should continue to grow.

Higher participationrates among 25- to 34 -year-olds held across racia//ethnic grgups and the sexes. Whites in this age group participated at a rate of almost 22 percent, with 20 percent of all adult white males participating and nearly 24 percent of all white females, the highest participation rate of any subgroup (entry 3.i1). It was only among the 25- to 34 -year-old group that blacks and Hispanics approached the average participation rate for all age groups. Over 12 percontraf blacks in this age group participated, slightly under the participation rate for the entire population. Hispanics in this age range also participated at a relatively high rate among their racial/ ethnic group, almost -12 percent.

These figures, however, show a marked disparity in par-: ticipation by various racial/ethnic groupss. As docura mented in past surveys, whites continued in 1981 to participate in adult educátion programs at a much higherrate than blacks and Hispanics. However, the participation rate of blacks increased in 1981, rising from under 6 percent iñ 1978 to almost 8 percent in 1981, an increase of almost 400,000 black participants in adult education.: Despite the increase, blacks still represented only 6 per-.. cent of all adult education participants, compared to 10 percent of the total adult population. The participation rate of Hispanics remained vidually constant at slightly over 8 percent. And while the proportion of white adult education participants decreased somewhat from 1978, they made up almost, 88 percent of all participants in adult education.
Females accounted for 56 percent of participants in adult education in 19\&1, about the same proportion as 3 years earlier. Their rates were about 2 percentage points higher than male rates overall and in each of the younger age groups. Among the older age groups; participation rates for females were only slightly higher than those for males, but because there were more women in the older population, they represented a disproportionate share of participants.
One of the most significant factors influencing participation in adult education activities is a person's level of education attainment. For both 1978 and 1981, there was a direct positive relationship between the number of years of schooling and the ate of participation in adult education. Persons with an eighth grade education or less participated in adult education at a rate of only 2 percent in 1981 (entry 3.12). On the other hand, 31 percent of persons with more than 4 years of college had taken part in an adult education activity during the year: A little over 11 perçent of high school graduates with no college experience participated in adult education, while over 26 percent of those with 4 years of college participated.
The correspondence between higher educational attain-: ment and greater participation in adult education was evident across all racial/ethnic groups and was most notiable among females. Within each racial/ethnic group,
the more well-educated an individual was, the more likely he or she would participate in adult education activities. The relationship between greater attainment and participation was even more pronounced among females than among males. Male participation rates ranged from 2 percent for those with less than 9 years of formal schooling to over 28 percent for those with 5 or more years of college. While women with an elghth grade edfication or less also participated at a rate of only 2 percent, those with 5 or more years of college participated at a rate of almost 36 percent, 8 percentage points higher than men with the same level of schooling.
The relationship between attainment and participation holds further for participants who were not currently enrolled in college. Excluding the 5 million participants. - in adult education who were part-time college students, over 44 percent of the participants had at least 1 year of college.compared with 29 percent of the total population.

Another factor associated with participation in adult education is the level of family income. As witheducational levels, the higher the level of family income, the greater the rate of participation in education programs, In 1981, only 6 percent of the total population with family incomes less than $\$ 7,500$ participated in adult education. At the same time, persons with family incomes of at least $\$ 50,000$ participated in adult education programs at a rate of nearly 19 percent (entry 3.13). While it is generally true that persons from lower economic levels participated less frequently in adult education programs, women in the lower income groups participated at a higher rate than men in these same income groups. For example, of the 1.7 million adult education participants in the income category under $\$ 7,500,69$ percent were women. This relationship alsó held true for women in the income categories of $\$ 7,500$ to $\$ 9,999$ and $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$, where the proportion of female participants was 64 percent in both categories. In income categories at or above $\$ 25,000$, the proportions of male and female participants in' adult education were virtually équal.
As in the general population, the majority of participants in adult education resided in metropolitan areas-over 72 percent, compared with 68 percent of the total popula-
tion, for a participation rate of almost 14 percent (entry: 3.14). However, some striking differences are apparent amóng regions. Fór example, the Western States, representing 19. percent of the population, had 27 percent of the adult education participants, or a participation rate of nearly 18 percent. The North Central States had a participation rate of almost 14 percent; in contrast, the. Northeast and the South had less-than-average rates of 10 and 11 percent, respectively.

Participants in adult education-were more likely than the general population to be in the labor force. In May-1981; 83 percent of paaticipants were in the labbor force compared with 65 percent of the overall population. Of those in the labor force, employed persons were much more likely to participate than the unemployed: almost 17 percent of the former participated compared to 11 percent of the latter. By comparison, only 8 percent of persons keeping house were adult education participants. Of the 17 million employed persons who took âdult education courses, 70 percent were in white-collar jobs compared with $5 \dot{3}$ percent of the general population in these jobs. Among persons in white-collar'occupations, professional, technical, and kindred workers accounted for the large percent difference between the participants and the total population, Professional and technical workers, such as teachers, physicians, and other health workers, are in occupations that require frequent refresher or upgrading courses, and about a third of all workers in these fields took an adult education course in 1981. Among individual occupations, 43 percent of health workers, 39 percent of physicians and dentists, and 37 percent of teachers (except college teachers) took at least one course.
The more than 21 million participants in adult education took over 37 million courses during the year ending May 1981 -an average of almost 2 courses per participant. The types of courses taken by adults ranged from hobby and recreational activities to highly technical training. Nearly half of the courses taken by adults were in three fields: business ( 23 percent), health ( 14 percent, including health care and health education), and engineering ( 10 percent) (entry 3 . 15). Over 54 percent of the courses
taken by males and 41 percent of those faken by females were in these fields. Courses in business were the moṣt popular for both men and women. By contrast, 81 percent of the engineering courses were takeñ by men and the majority of the health courses were taken by women. Among the rest of the courses, the number taken by women exceeded those taken by men in almost every field except agriculture, spcial sciences, and "other" courses. Very few courses in home economics were taken by men, and three times as many courses in physical éducation were taken by women than by men.

Job-related reasons were most often cited as the purpose. for taking an adult education course. Of the 37 million courses, 60 percent were taken to advance in a job, to get a new job,"or for some other job-related reason. Males and females each took about 11 million job-related courses, 'but this figure represents a higher proportion for men than for women, 69 percent compared with 54 percent (entry 3.16). Most non-job-related courses were taken for personal or social reasons; 19 percent of the courses taken by men and 34 percent of those taken by women were for these reasons. Most courses were not taken for school credit or other scholastic recognition. Only about 6 percent of thẹ courses were taken for credit leading to an elementary or secondary school diploma or vocational certificate, while 18 percent were applied $\downarrow 0$ a college degree at any level. Although a majority of courses were job-related, only 15 percent of the courses were faken to obtain or renew a license in a profession or trade..

Despite the fact that only 24 percent of the courses applied to school credit, nearly 54 percent of the courses were provided by schools (entry 3.17): The rest was given by business or industry, community organizations, government agencies, and other non-schools. Over 58 percent of the courses provided by schools and 62 pergent of those given by other providers were for jobrelated reasons. Nearly a fourth of all courses were provided by.the employer of the respondent. Nearly half of the adult education courses were paid for solely by the participant or pamily- 39 percent of the courses taken by men and 54 percent by women, The remaining courses

were paid for through other sources such as government funds or business/industry: Employers were one source of funding, in full or part, for 41 percent of the courses - taken by men and 26 percent of those taken by women. The average amount of money paild per course by the
participant or family was $\$ 120$. Men reported paying anaverage of $\$ 165$, women $\$ 95$. The total amount of money spent by participants or their families for adult education courses represented a national expenditure of $\$ 2.2$ billion for the year ending May 1981.

Number of Secondary and Postsecondary Institutions Offering
Vocational Education Programs, by Type of Institution and by State:
School Year 1978-79

${ }^{1}$ Does not include correspondence schools or State correctional lacilities.
NOTE: Includes all providers. whether or not they ara covered under State VEA plans. VEA programs ara those recalving Federal assistance administered by the States under the provisions of the Vocational Education Act, as amended. Virtually all public secondary schools received assistance, and while private secondary schools were not included, their students may have been enrolled through the public school system. About:two-thirds of all postsecondary schools were covered under VEA, most of which were 2 -year institutions of higher education or public noncolleglate posisecondary schools. None of the private noncollegiate postsecondary schools and only aboul 5 percent of the 4 -vear institutions of higher education were included in VEA provisions.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Edication Statistics, Dinectory of Postsecondary Schools with Occupational Programs, 1978, Education Directory: Colleges anid Univerisities 1978-79; Sumey of nonpublic elementary and secondary schools, 1978, and discussions with State personnel; U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, Vocational Education Civil Rights Survey. 1979; and Killalea Associates, Inc., Counts of Providers of Vocational Education, Augusi 1980.

## Providers of Vocational Education



Approximately two-thirds of the more than 27,000 institutions offering vocational programs did so at the secondary level. Virtually all secondary schools received Federal funds under the Vocational Education Act (VEA), while more limited numbers of postsecondary institutions received VEA funds.
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Table 3.2
Estimated Enrollment in Vocational Education, by Provider and by State: 1978-79 :


Chart 3.2

Estimated Enrollments in Vocational Education


A ${ }^{4}$ roximately 88 percent of the more than 19 million vocational students were enrolled in programs administered under the Vocational Education Act (VEA) in 1978-79. Virtually all public secondary programs were included while more limited numbers of postsecondary programs were included in State Plans for Vocational Education administered under

## Curricūlar Programs' of 1980 High School Seniors, by Sex, Racial/ Ethnic Group, Ability, and Socioeconomic Status (SES): Spring 1980

| : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic total A |  | General Vocational |  |  |  |  | Vocatio |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | Academic |  |  | Agriculture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oftice } \\ & \text { occu- } \\ & \text { pations } \end{aligned}$ | Distin:butión |  | Occupational Home Economics | Technicai | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trade } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Industrial } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . 100.0 | 38.7 | 36.9 | 24.5 | 2.7 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 | .- 1.3 | 2.1 | 5.4 | . 27.775 |
| "Sex: - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male . . . . . . . . . . 100.0 | 39.0 | 38.0 | 23.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4. | 21 | 36 | 9.5 | 12,724 |
| Female . . . . . : : . 100.0 | 38.4 | 35.9 | 25.8 | 1.6 | 15.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 7 | 1.7 |  |
| Racialethnic oroup: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 9 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 19.618 |
| White non-Hispaj ic Black non-Hispualic 100.0 | 39.8 | 35.2 | 31.8 | 3.7 | 9.4 11.9 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 3.695 3 |
| Hispanic.......... 100.0 | 26.9 | 41.6 | 31.5 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 3,107 |
| American Indian/ Alaskan Native . . . 100.0 | 24.4 | 45.5 | . 30.1 | 4.7 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | . 7 | 1.3 | 11.5. | 211 |
| Asian or Pacilic Istander $\ldots \ldots \ldots 100.0$ | 52.4 | 29.0 | 18.6 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 362 |
| Ability ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low ........... 100.0 | 13.8 | 47.1 | 39.0 | 5.4 | 13.1 |  | 1.9 | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| Middle . ... . . . . . 100.0 High........ 100.0 | 33.5 72.3 | 40.9 20.0 | 25.8 7.8 | $\begin{array}{r}2.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11.5 3.2 | 2.2 | 1.0 .3 | . 1 | 2.2 1.5 | 1.6 | 12,088 $-5,822$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low . . . . . . . . . 100.0 | 21.1 | 43.4 | 35.4 | 4.4 | 13.6 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 8,237 |
| . Middle, .......... 100.0 . | 36.3 | 38.4 | 25.2 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 12.655 |
| High . . . $\because$. . . . . 100.0 | 62.0 | 27.4 | 10.5 | 8 | 4.2 | 1.2 | . 4 | $4 \times$ | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.129 |

'Curricular programs can be generally defined as follows: academic-those preparing sfudents for college; vocational-those preparing students for employment immediately following high school graduation; general-those with students considering themselyes to be in neither academic nor vocational programs. For specific vocational programs, see the Definitions of Selected Termis in the Appendix.
${ }^{2}$ The general academic ability index was derived from four base-year "Test Book" scores: vocabulary, reading, letter groups, and mathematics.
${ }^{-3}$ The SES index was based on a composite score Involving five components: father's education, mother's education, parental income, father's occupation, and a household items index. .
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (August 1982).


## Participation in Vocational Education Curricular Programs by 1980 High School Seniors




| $\sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Region ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Subject Area | Total | Male | Female | Northeast | South | North Central | West |
| Percent of Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 87.4 | 85.3 |  | 84.0 | 88.0 | 88.2 | 89.25 |
| Agriculture | 8.3 | 12.2 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 9.3 |
| Auto mechanics | 11.2 | 21.4 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 17.4 |
| Commercial arts | 16.2 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 17.1 | 21.6 |
| Computer programming/operations | 12.9 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 15.7 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 12.0 |
| Carpentry | 14.8 | 28.2 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 17.5 | 17.8 |
| Electrical | 8.6 | 17.1 | . 9 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 8.6 |
| Masonry . | 3.0 | 6.0 | . 2 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| Plumbing | 2.3 | 4.6 | . 2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Cosmetology/barbering | 2.6 | 7 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| Drafting | 17.4 | 31.0 | 4.9 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 20.6 | 19.5 |
| Eleatronics | 7.8 | - 15.0 | - 1.2 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 9.8 |
| Home economics, including dietetics and child care . | 31.9 | 14.9 | 47.4 | 23.0 | 34.9 | 34.0 | 35.0 |
| Machine shop | 13.3 | 25.5 | 2.1 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 16.9 | 15:0 |
| Medical/dental assisting | 4.2 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 4.1 | $\therefore 4.8$ | 4.1 |
| Practical nursing | 4.2 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 笉4.6 | 4.2 |
| Quantity foods occiupations. | 11.6 | 7.7 | 15.2 | 7.7 | 12.4 | $\cdots 13.1$ | 13.4 |
| Sales/merchandising | 49.2 | 16.0 | 22.1 | 15.9 | - 19.2 | 21.0 | 20.3 |
| Secretarialestice work | 51.5 | 29.4 | 71.4 | 46.8 | 53.4 | 50.4 | 53.7 |
| Welding . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.1 | 23.9 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 15.4 | 14.0 |
| Other | 27.7 | 31.4 | 24.4 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 28.8 | 32.8 |
|  | 24,816 | 11,815 | 13,001 | 5,396 | 88,891 | $\cdot 7.812$ | 4,927 |

?On the student survey, high school seniors were asked to respond to the question, "Have you taken any high school courses in the following areas which have equipped you for a beginning job in that area?". More than one area could be checked.
${ }^{2}$ The regions correspond to the U.S. Bureau of the Census definitions. See the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


## Percent of High School Seniors Who Took Vocational Courses That Prepared Them for Beginning Job, by Sex



High school seniors who participated in courses that would prepare them for a beginning〕job generally did so along sex stereotypical lines, with males"disproportionately higher in auto mechanics, building trades, and electronics and females in home economics and - secretarial/clerical work. Courses which enrolled male and female seniors about evenly included commerical arts, computer programming/operations, and sales/merchandising.

## Table 3.5

Expected Activities of 1980 High School Seniors in Year Following High School Graduation, by Curricular Program: Spring 1980

'Curricular programs can be generally defined as follows: academic-those preparing students for college; vocational-those preparing students for employment immediately following high school graduation; general-those with students considering themselves to be in neither academic nor vocational programs. For specific vocational programs, see the Definitions of Selected Terms in the Appendix,
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
, SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (Seplember 1982).


Almost half of 1980 seniors enrolled in yocational programs expected to be working and one-fifth expected to continue their vocational education in the year after high school, somewhat higher proportions than among seniors in general programs and much higher than those in academic programs.

1
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Table 3.6

## Earnings of and Hours Worked by 1972 High School Graduates in Initial Job After Compléting Formal Schooling, by Sex and High School Program: 1972 to 1979

|  |  |  | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program ąnd Sex | : Earnings', Weeks, and Hours Worked |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Hours Worked Per Week | Average Weeks Worked Per Year | Average Hourly Wage | Average Yearly Earnings ${ }^{2}$ | Sample Size |
| All programis: |  | $*^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Total. | 38.9 | 44.7 | \$5.44: | \$11,085 | 22,458 |
| Male | \$ 41.4 | 46.2 | 6.01 | 12,906 | 11,139 |
| Female | 36.4 | 43.2 | 4.88 | 9,087 | 11,276 |
| Academic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 38.9 | 44.8 | 5.50 | 11,311. | 9,343 |
| Male | 41.2 | 46.1 | 6.04 | 13,021 | 4.766 |
| Female | 36.4 | 43.4 | 4.90 | 9,244 | 4,565 |
| General: |  |  | H |  |  |
| Total. | 39.1 | 44.6 | 5.29 | 10,942 | 7,490 |
| Male . | 41.7 | 46.3 | 5.89 | 12,786 | 3,566 |
| Female | 36.7 | 43.1 | 4.74 | 9,096 | 3,901 |
| Vocational: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 38.7 | 44.5 | 5.55 | 10,864 | 5,625 |
| Male . | 41.3 | 45.9 | 6.09 | 12,836 | 2,807 |
| Female | 36.2 | 43.0 | 5.04 | . 8,812 | 2,810 |

${ }^{\prime}$ Earnings and hourly wages have been adjusted to 1980 dollars.
${ }^{2}$ Average yearly earnings are derived using raw data and would only approximate yearly' earnings calculated by multiplying average hours by weeks worked by hourly wage.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, National Longitudinal Study of the High School Class of 1972, First through Fourth Followups: 1972 to 1979, unpublished tabulations (October 1982).

Average Hourly Wage Earited by 1972 High School Graduates in Initial Job


## Table 3.7

Distribution of Enrollment in Vocational Education (VEA) and Percent
Change, by Program Area: Fiscal Year 1975, 1977, 1979, and 1981



Office occupations, consumer and homemaking, and trade and industrial programs continued to dominate enrollments in Federally funded vocational education programs in 1981. The percent change for enrollment in .VEA programs from 1975 to 1981 showed increases for most program areas.

Total Expenditures ${ }^{2}$ for Vocational Education (VEA), by Source of - Funds, in Current and Constant (1981) Dollars: Fiscal Year 1972 to 1981

'As reported by States.
NOTE: VEA programs are those receiving Federal assistance administered by the States under the provisions of the Vocational Education Act, as amended. Virtually all public secondary schools received assistance, and while private secondary schools were not included, their students may have been enrolled through the public school system. About two-thirds of all postsecondary schools were covered under VEA, most of which were 2-year institutions of higher education or public noncollegiate postsecondary schools. Data shown for the years 1972 to 1978 were collected through the Bureau of Occupational and Adult Education (BOAE) and are not directly comparable to Vocational Education Data System (VEDS) data shown for 1979 to 1981.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Division of Vocational and Technical Education, Statistics of Vocational Education in 1978; U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Vocational Education Data System, unpublished tabulations (December 1982).


The ratio of State/local dollars spent per Federal dollar rose from 4.7 in 1972 to 10.4 in 1978 but has declined since then.

Minority Enrollment in Vocational Education (VEA), by State: School

- Year 1979-80

${ }^{1}$ Includes nonresident aliens.
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding VEA programs are those receiving Federal assistance administered by the 'States under the provisions of the Vocational Education Act, as amended. Virtually all public secondary schools received assistance, and while private secondary schools were not included, their students may have been eprolled throught the public school system. About two-thirds of all postsecondary schools were covered under VEA, most of whieh were 2-year institutions of higher education or public noncollegiate postsecondary schools. Some duplication máy exist in/he enrollments. reported because of the difficulty in reporting unduplicated headcounts,
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Centerfor Education Statistics. Vecational Education Data System unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

Minority Enrollment as Percent of Total Vocational.Education Enrollment (VEA)



## Table 3.10

## Age Distribution of Participants in Adult Education' Compared With Population 17 Yeàrs Old and Over: Years Ending May 1978 and 1981



. Table 3.11

## 'Participants in Adult Education', by Sex, Age Group, and Racial/Ethnic Group: Yeary Ending May 1978 and 1981



- 'Data refer tô participants in courses and organiżed educational activities, excluding those taken by fulltime students in programs leading to a`high school diploma or an academic degree, and other than ; courses taken as part of occupabonal training pribgrams of 6 months or more duration. Full-time
a**students who were also engaged in' part-time adult education activifiès were included as partjcipants.
NOTE: Details may not add to totals bécause of rounding. '
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Participation in Adult Education, May 1981, and únpublished tabulations (June 1982).



Table 3.12
Educational Attainment of Karticipants in Adult Education ${ }^{1}$, by Sex and Racig/Eihinic Group Compired With Population 17 Years Old and Over: Y ${ }^{2}$ U Ending May 1981

'Data refer to participants in courses and organized educational activities, excluding those taken by full-' time students in programs leading to a high school diploma or an academic degree, and other than courses taken as part of occupational training programs of 6 months or more duration. Full-time students who were also engaged in part-time adult education activities were included as participants.
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding:
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center'for Education Statistics, Participation in Adult Education Survey, May 1981 and unpublished tabulations (June 1982).


Participants in Adult Education, by Educational Attainment


## Table 3.13

Family Income Levels of Participants in Adult Education' Compared
With Population 17 Years Old and Over: Year Ending May 1981


- ... 'Data refer to participants in courses and organized educational activities, excluding those taken by fulltime students in programs leading to a high school diploma or an academic degree, and other than courses taken as part of occupational training programs of 6 months or more duration. Full-time
. students who were also engaged in part-time adult education activities were included as participants. NOTE: Details may not add toitotals because of rounding.


## SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education; National Center for Education Statistics, Participation in Adult

 Education, May 1981, and unpublished tabulations (Júne 1982).



- Participation in adult education rose with each higher income level. Females were more likely to predominate in adult education in the lower income categories.

173


- Participants in courses and organized. educationat activities - Whefuding those taken by full-time students in'programs leading to a high school diploma oryan academic degree, and other than courses
*taken as part of occupational training programs of 6 momths or more duration. Full-time students who
were also engaged in part-time adult education activities 'were included as participapts.
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Participation in Adult Education, May 1981, and unpublished tabulations, (June 1982).



Employed persons were much more likely to participate in adult education than the unemployed or persons keeping house. Amọng occupational groups, professional, techni-. cal, and kindred workers had the highest participation rates; about one-third were engaged in adult education in 1981.

$$
1 \%
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## Table 3.15

Courses. Taken by Participants in Adult Education, by Field: Year Ending May 1981

'Courses and organiźed educational activities taken part-time at any level by persons' 17 years old and over. Excluded are courses taken by full-time students leading to a high school diploma or an academic degree or taken as part of occupational training programs of 6 mpiths or morequation. Included, however, are any courses taken part-time by full-time studenteritht
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of-rounding:
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Stahstics; Participation in Adult Èducation, 1981, 1982.

$1 \%$


Business represented the largest single area of courses taken by both male and female adult education participants. Other popular areas were engineering among males and health care among females.

$$
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## Table 3.16

## Main Reason for Taking Adult Education'Gourses', School Credit Objectives, and Trade or Professional Certification Objectives: Year Ending May 1981


${ }^{1}$ Courses and organized educational activities taken part-time at any level by persons, 17 years old and over. Excluded are coutses taken by full-time students leading to a high school diploma or an academic, se. degree, of taken as part of occupational training programs of 6 months or more duration. Included, however, are any courses taken pandine by full-time students.
NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Edúcation, National Center for Education Statistics, Participation in Ådult Education, 1981, 1982.


Table 3.17


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Participation in A/ ult. Educătioñ, 1981, 1982:


## Provider of Instguction and Major Source of Funding for Adult Education Courses


-. -

Enrollment declines in elementary/secondary schools resulted in slackened demand for new teachers during the 1970's. College students responded to the poor job market forteachers by shifting out of teacher preparation and into other fields. However, the enrollment upswing projected for the mid-1980's in elementary schools may generate new demand for additional.teachers. Prospects may improve for graduates entering teaching and for schools and departments that prepare teachers. In the interim, the gob market remains untertain. This chapter documents how students and teacher preparation programs adapted to the slackened demand during the 1970's and how they are responging to the uncertainties - of the interim period. It presents trends and projections of the süpply and demand for additional teachers and shows the losses and gains in education degrees and teacher candidates by level.and specialty over time. In addition, the chapter examines the measures that teacher preparation programs have undertaken in response to change. It congludes by profiling the characteristics of new teach-

- eers, teacher graduates who diod not enter teaching, and oprospective education majors.


## Teacher Supply and Demand for ratditional Teachers祭rends in teacher supply

- Whe enrollment declines experienced in the first half of the 1970's were not followed immediatefly by declines in the number of teachess employed. In the early years of enrollment declineffer neduced demand for teachers was offset by measures to trmprove teacher-student ratios and addrtss staffing needs in mandated special education and bilingual programs. Not until the late '1970's did severe buidgotary constraints slow down further improvement in teacher-student ratios and expanded services. Consequently, declines in the number of teachers came late, decreasive from 2.49 million in 1977 to 2.46 million in 1980 (entry 4.1). By 1984,'when enrollmients are expectedto bottom out, classroom teachers are expected to drop to 2.38 million.' When elementary school enrollments begin climbing again in the latter half of the 1980's, the nưmber of classroom teachers is projected to increase again, reaching an all-time high of 2.64 million
in 1990. The growth projected at the elementary school level may mean one-fifth more elementary school class-
troom teachers in 1990 than in $1980_{0}$ Sinte enrollment increases will not reach the secondary level until after 1990, the mumber ofsecondary school classroom.teachers 1980 's.


## Demand for additional teachers

The total annitual demant for additional teachers includes those needed to respond to changes in enrollment and in teacher-student ratios and to replace teachers leaving the profession (teacher tumover). The cumulative ${ }^{\text {demand }}$ for additional teachers fell from 896,000 in the 5 -year period 1971 to 1975 to 749,000 in ${ }^{*}$ the 1976-to-1980 period (entry 4.2). During the next 5 -year period, as enrollment continués to declime, the demand for additional teachers' is projected to continue decreasing. As a result, only 670,000 additional teachers are expected to. be-hired from 1981 to $1985 *$ But in the late 1980 's, as enrollments,fitgin fareasing, the demand for additional pteachers is expected rise, resulting in 983,000 téachers
\& Being hired fromt $\$ 86$ to 1990 . This represents a pas jected incrgase from 134,000 additional teachers hid each year (from 1981 to 1985) to 197,000 additional teackers each year (in the 1986 -to 490 perioch. These demand projections are based on the assumptions that enrollment will rise, teacher-student ratios will improve ${ }_{\text {a }}$ only slightly, and that the turnover of téachers wilt remain constant. If these conditions are altered, the projections may also change.

## Supply of additional teachers

Projecting the supply of additional teachers is less certain. The supply of additional teachers consists of new 'teacher graduates and a reserve pool of former teacher graduates and former teachers. The annual supply of newly qualified eacher..graduates decreased from
'The following sections on projections of classroom teachers and supply and demand are excerpted from U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Projections of Education Statistics to-1990-9], Volume I, 1982, with unpublished revised projections.

. 314,000 in 1971 to 144,000 in 1980. As a percent of bachelor's degrees, new teacher graduates dropped from 137 percent to 17 percent over the period. The projections of new teacher graduates show an increase to 238,000 in 1990-91, representing about 26 percent of bachelor's. degrees that year. This projection is based on the assumption that, as the demand for additional teachers increases and as teachers' salaries improve during the 1980's, the propolition of college students pheparing to teach will alsơ increase.

The supply of new teacher graduates constitutes only part of the fotal supplifof additional teachers. Additional teachers might alde drawn from the reserve pool of former teacher grath tes and former teachers. Although the reserve pool is estimated to number roughly 1 mile fon, only a portion might be available to enter or go back , to teaching: The National Education Association (NEA) estimates that in 1980, only about 120,000 of these former teachers sought teaching positions.

Given several unknowns, the comparison of the total denger getadditionarl teachers and the supply of new cexdeduates is far from complete. Middle-range
 manduar addition ${ }^{1} 1$ teachers in the $1986-10_{2} \$ 990$ period is expecte to average, 197,000 per year. Similar' condiz" tions prevailed in the 1965 to 1969 period when teaching "jobs were fairly easy to obtain and spot shortages existed. Therefore, it seems reasonable to assume that in the latter halfor'the 1980's, the job market may be favorable for new" teacher graduates and that shortages may exist in some localities and subject areas. . -a.

Yet, various market factors may work to alter the trends projected. Although it appears unlikely that the teacher; turnover rate can go mich lower than the 6 percient estimatedimpifeave the profession each year, poor economic conditions could restrict job mobility of current teachers and thus lower the turnover rate and consequently the projected demand for additional teachers. Good economic conditions, conversely, would encourage current teachers and those planning to teach to seek more profitable occupations, contributing to a higher turnóver rate and a higher demand for additional teach-
ers. This situation appears to be happening already in certain fields, such as the physical sciences and mathematics, in which teachers are apparently being recruited. by business and industry to work for higher pay.

## Supply of Newly Qualified Teachers

## Changes in education degrees awarded

Projections of the supply of newly qualified teacher graduates are based on the National Education Association's longringing survey of higher education institutions offering teachetpreparation programs. Other data are available to sưpplement the NEA estimates. The education degree data developed from NCES's annual survey of ally institutions of higher education show trends in education $\$$ specialties consistent with those reported from other sources. The degree data do not, however, provide information on recipients graduated in a major other than education and qualiffed to teach. Thus, new teacher graduates are undercounted, particularly at the secondary Yevel. Yet, while the number of earned degrees conferred In education specialties only approximates the supply of new teacher graduates, it does offer useful additional detiol:-
Degiges in education represented 21 percent of all
 school year 1972-73 they have fallen each year, to under 12 percent in 1980-815 (entry 4.3). Declines in bachelor's? degrees awarded in education were substantial among both sexes: In 1970-7), 10 percent of males and 36 percent of females graduated in education. But 10 years tater, only 6 percent of males and 18 percent of females received their bachelor's degrees- in. education. In 1980-81, 24,000 fewet bachelor's education degrees -were earned by males and 62,000 fewer were earned by fermales than in the peak year.

At the master's level, education degrees. rose to their highest pgint in 1975-76 but have declined in number since then. At their high point, they represented 41 percent of all master's degrees, falling to 33 percent by 1980-81. Since education degrees represented a sizable

＊proportion of all＇master＇s degrees awarded，declines in education degrees ${ }^{\prime}$ produced a drop in total master＇s de－ gree production in the late 1970＇s．Among male master＇s recipients，education degees declined from． 29 percent to
： 19 percent of the tofly and among females，they fell from 57 to 47 percent over the period．
At the doctoral level，education degres incread slightly，with ${ }^{3}$ ome minor fluctuations，and in 1980－81 nömbered 7,900 degrees，representing about one－fourth
$\because$ of all doctorates．This increase ran counter not only to trends in lower－level education degrees but also to de－ creases in other doctoral programs．The number of male－ recipients，although still in the majority，decreased in the latter half of the 1970 ＇s．while the number of female recipients more than doubled over the deçade．
$\because$ When indexed to education degrees awarded in 1971， iotal education，degree production declined by one－fifth over the decade（entry 4．4）．The decliñe tas most severe at the bachelor s level，where degrees fell by 39 percent．${ }^{\text {an }}$ ＇Máster＇s degreets rose rapidly until the second half of the 1970 s．md then declined almos as quickly as they had risen，while doctoral degrees increased slighty．These changes have nfeant that，whereas in the eatly： 1970 ＇s 650 master＇s degrees＇s were awarded for every 100 back 5 度＇s degress．by the laté 1970 ＇s， 90 were conferreds for 100 bachelor＇s degrees．Production of doctoral degrees in． relation to bachelor＇s degrees also rose；by 1980－81， 7 doctorates were awarded for every 100 bactiedor＇s de－ grees．：compared to fewer than 4 per 100 in 1971.
－Despite the 39 －percent reduction in bachelor＇s degrees in educition overall，a few specialties increased their degree prodüthon between 1971 and 1981 （entry 4．5）．Bach－ elor＇s degrees in special education rose by two－thirds and pree elementary $6 \%{ }^{\circ} 41$ percent，reflectipg the growth in these education programs in school systems during the 1970＇s．More than I in 10 bachelor＇s degrees awarded in education were granted in speciabeducattion in 1980－81． Reductions in teacher preparătion degrees wête most marked in elementary，art，mathematics，business，and home economics education：Géneral élementary educa－ tion，the single largest education field，declined by 57 percent．In－1970－71，over half of all，bachelor＇s degrees in
education were itiongenal elementary，but by 1980－81， the proportion hifd been reduced to one－third：

## Changes in the supply of new teacher graduates

A further source of data on the supply of new teacher graduates is NCES＇s Recent College Graduates Survery （RCG），administered to degree recipients in the what following graduation．Data from the RCG surue） 1976－77 and 1979－80 bachelor＇s degree recipients pro－ vide estimates of graduates prepared to teach，the propor－ tions who went on to apply，and the firgerortioss teaching the year after graduation．In $10 \%$ 米数proximately 171，000 bachelor＇s recipients frome the－preceding year were newly qualified to teach（entry 4．6）．By 1981，this mumber had been reduced to 132,000 ．Partially offsetting this decline，hốwever，was an increase from the earlier surveyde the proportion who did apply for a teaching positipn：In 1978， 77 percentor the newly qualified had applied to teach；in 1981， 85 percent had applied．＂O those who＂applied，most were teaching elementary／sec－ ondary school full－time in the yedr following graduation．
The proportions whogapplifed and taught in the year following graduation differed somewhat by the field in $\dot{\text { which }}$ the graduates had tramed，although small numbers in some field declude precise comparisons．Those
 ical sciencé，höfie economics，and reading had high． application rates and generally high proportions teachingi． full－time in 1981．Among the newly qualified，graduatess． in mathematics，vocational education，business，and health were less likely to have applied to teach．Rela－ tively low proportions of newly qualified graduates in physical education，vocational education，business，and health were teaching full－time in 1981.

## Teacher Preparation Institutions Numberand characteristics of institutions

The task of training teacher candidates is shared by both public and private institutions；public＇institutions confér most of the education degreses while private institutions offering undergraduat feaching programs are soore nu－


- merous. In 1980-81, public institutions were responŝ̉ble for conferring three-fourths' of all education degrees," awarding 78 percent-of the bachelor's, 75 percent of the master's, and 7I percent of the doctor's degrees (entry 4:7). These proportions were essentially unchanged from 1972-73 at the baçhelor's and master's levels, but were smatler at-the doctor's level than in earlieftyeats. Public 4 -year (nonuniversity) institutions; grantêd the largest share of bachelor's and master's education degrees and. public universities the bulk of the doctor's education degrees. Public and private, institutions shared the de= clines in bachelor's and master's degiees from 1972-73, but only private institutions showed the increase in do tor's degrees awarifation education.
Despit declines' at the bachelor's and master's levels in education degrees, there, was no reduction inthe number of institutions granting education degrees from 1973 to 1981 (entry 4.8). In Ffact, the number of institutions offering education degrees actyally increased slightly in the early 1970 and has remained fairly stable since the rolled Private 4eyear (nonuniversity)
cation
onlyhe percent of 1980:8i"
- Aniong institutions preparing teachers, most public" institutions awarded education degrees 發bove the băchelor's level while móst private institutions conferred bachelor's degrees only. There was a slight increase among both public and private institutions in-the proportions that granted advanced education degrees in the 1970's (entry 4.9). "1 h' 1970-71, 80 ,percent of public institutions with teacher preparation prograns ararded didvanced education degrees; by 1980-81 this figure had increased to 86 percent. Among priye institutions that granted education degrets, 34 percos. ivarded advanced degrees in 1970-71, coflared wis, percent a decade later.


## Measures to improve teacher quality .

While losing students, teacher preparation programs appeared to have taken some measures in the recent period
to maintain or improve the quality of their teacher candidates, according to a newly released Fast Responsé Survey of Teacher Edication, The NCES survey, conducted - in late 1982, asked heads of schools/departments of education for their preferences among various methods for improving candidate quality. They were also asked whether any of the methods had been implemented in the past 5 years. Among the three options presented, "making the curriculum more rigorous or challenging to stu- . dentṣ" was" highly preferred by a majority of progrant heads. (entry 4.10). "Raising criteria for entrance to the - school/department of-education" was almost as highly *egarded; $4{ }^{3}$ percent indicated that this was a high preference. "Extending the length"of the program beyond 4 . yéars" was not as popular a measure; only 15 percent gave it a high preference while 57 percent gave it a low - preference: :

Again according to the responses of dẹpartment heads, ${ }^{3}$ these preferrences sueré reflected in actions taken by the schools/departments"of education over the past 5 years. Eighty-five percent 'responded that their school/depart$v^{n}$ meñt had taken steps tơ upgrade the curricalum and 74 pefcent said they had'raised the entrance criteria. Only 6 percent had chosen to extend the undergraduate teacher education program beyond 4 years.:
When the heads were asked to indicate the importance of various measures for improving the curriculum, no clear "edgice was apparent. Thirty percent saw increasing the number or quality of general studies classes asithighly dimportant, but an almost equal proportion regarded the method as of low importance A pong those indicating that this measure was of high or moderate impotatice, most chose commultcation/language skills as ana to upgrade, followed by math and then by science. While 28 percent responded that increasing the amount of required student teaching was of high importance, 40 percent indicated that it was of low importance. A smaller proportion, 14 percent, saw increasing the number of professionalstudies çredit hours as highly important.

Answers to the question of whether schools had taken measures to improve teacher candidate quality did not vary much by the extent to which the programs had

$\therefore$ expeftenced dechines in graduates (entry 4.II). Regardless of the extent of the decline over the past 5 vears, most programs had attempted to improve cquriculum and raise entrance criteria, while only a small proportio.had.

- extended undergraduate teàcher preparation beyond 4 years. If is not known whether declines in degrec production contributed to decisions to upgrade programs or whether upgraded programs were associated with losses or gains in degrees.
Responses from the Fast Response Survey also proved inconclusive on the possible adverse effect that raising standards would have on the financial viability of the school/department of education. Departmental heads were asked the question, "if the school/department of education were to raise standards significantly, what, if
. any, adverse effect would there be on your school/depart-i ment's àbility to support itself financially?" While 21 percent responded that it would have a major impact, 44 percent indicated that it would have a moderately adverse effect and 32 percent answered that it would have little or no effect. Preliminary analysis suggests no clear pattern of responses by the extent to which programs lost gradu${ }_{4}$ ates in the preceding. 5-yeur period. Resfonses from programs that had experienced no declines were sinthe in fact. to programs that had lost 25 to 50 percent of graduates:


## . $\times$

## New Teachers and Prepective. Teachers

## Characteristics of new teachers*

Reduced demand for additional teachers and a shrinking: supply of graduates qualified to teach meant fewef new teachers entering the public school teaching force; in the late 1970's. Beginning teachers represented about 2 percent of all elementary/secondary school teachers in; 1981, down from 9 percent, in 1971 (entry 4.!2). When combined with teachers who had 2 to 4 years experience, they accounted for less than 14 percent of all teachers, compared with 32 percent 10 years earlier. Since new teachers represent such a small : 6 祭e of the teaching force, their backgrounds and credentiats cannot be generalized to all current teachers. However, a description of
beginning teachers at the start of the 1980's'does suggest 4 娄 the types and qualifications of future teacher who will be entering teaching in the next several years.
Compared with recent backelor's degree recipients in general, those who were newly qualified to teach and those teaching full-time in 1981 were represented by higher proportions of females (entry 4.13). Females equaled 50 percent of he 1979-80 bachelor's degree recipients but represented 72 percent of recipients newly qualified to teach and 77 percent of recipients teaching full-time in elementary/secondary schools. These percentages were somewhat higher than the 67 percent that NEA reported for female representation ameng all public elementary/secondary elassroom teachers in 1981. These fata suggest that the teaching field may be growing more tfemale-dominated, not less so. Since the increase in 'demand is projected at the elementary school level where most teachers are women, it is reasonable to assume that the wave of new teachers entering in the 1980's will be composed predominantly of females.

The racial/ethnic composition of the newly qualified and new full-time teachers was little different, however, from that of bachelor's degree recipients in general. Nondithspanic whites represented over 90 percent of all recipWents, those trained to teach, and those teaching full-time 4n 1981. These proportions were at least as high as the * White (non-Hispanic) representation reported by NEA for all public elementary/secondary classroom teachers. Minörtity representation of II percent among public school teachers and 8 percent among new fúll-time teachers was appreciably lower than the representation of minorities among public elementary/secondary sthool students: Increasing minority representation in teaching will depend on increasing the minority pool of eligible graduates. If the recent graduates are any indication, it appears that racial/ethnic minorities will continue to be underrepresented in the pritic school teaching force fort the next several years.


## Certification of new teachers

Paramount to the concern over beginning teachersare the - qualifications that new entrants bring to teachi \$ Šate

agencies and boards of education, in cooperation with higher education institutions, are respónsible for certifying that new teachers are qualified to teach their assign' ments. Certification may be based on required courses completed. competency tests, student teaching experience, or a combination of these factors, depending on the State and the field of certification. Data from the Recent. College Graduates Survey indicate that most new teachers were certified or eligible for ceftification in some field and that three-fourths were qualified in the field to which they were currently assigned (entry 4.14). Bachelor's recipients were asked whether they had received certification to teach or were eligible for certification. bäsed on cẹmplêted coursework including student teaching. Among these bachelor's recipients teaching elementary/secondary school full-time in: 1981, fully 94 percent were qualified to teach some field while 78 percent were qualified ta teach in the field currently assigned. By field, those teaching self-contained (generally elementary) classes were among the most likely to be certified or eflyible to each in their respective field. In some majo field, however, certification in assignment was relatively 10 . Less than half of new teachers in sciences gnd nathenics had certification or eligibility in their pprtíglar teaching fields", although most werequalified it teash in some field.
Despite the iack of certification in some fields, most new feachess considered their current jobs to be closely related their major frelds of college study: Approx-imately 88 pertent regarded their work and college studies as closely related, and another 10 percent saw them as \$omewhat related, while only 2 percent considered them unrelated (entry 4.15). Even in assignments in which certlfication was relatively low, college study and work were viewed as closely related by most new teachers. For example: 82 percent of science and mathematics teachers saw thett-college study apd work as closely related, altough many were not certified in sciences and matheminacs. Proportions regarding college and work as closely related were highest for those teaching self-cont. miped classes.
Whep presented by level taught, certification in principal field was hugher among new teachers at the preprimary
and eleméntary school levels and somewhat lower at the secondary school level and in combined elementary/ secondary schools. About 80 percent of those teaching preprimary or elementary school classes were quallied to teach in their principal assignments compared with 74 percent teaching secondary school classe and 68 percent teaching' in combined elementary/seconfary schools (entry 4.16). New teachers in public sc (ools were more likely than those in private schools to have credentials qualifying them to teach in their principal assignments; 82 percent in püblic. schools were qualified, compared with 70 percent in private religiously affiliated schools and 53 percent in private nonaffiliated schools. Amongr - new teachers in private nonaffiliated schools, 23 pereent. lacked State eligibility to teach any field.

New teachers who taught at the elementary school level were the most likely to regard their work as closely related. to their college majors (entry 4.17). Those who taught in public schools were also the most likely,to view work and college as closely related; 90 percent who ${ }^{\circ}$ traught in the public schoels saw them closely related, $\$$ mpared with 84 percent in private religiously affiliated schools, and 73 percent in private nonaffiliated schools.

## Teacher graduates who did not enter teaching

Not all recent college graduates qualified to teach go on to apply for or obtain teaching positions. As indicated previously, in 1981, of those newly qualified to teach, 15 percent did not apply and an additional 20 percent who' applied did not teach in the year following graduation. The number of newly qualified who were not teaching full-time in 1981 was estimated to number some 48,400 (entry 4, 18), Of this "reserve pool" of recent teacher graduates, ondthird had applied to teach but had never taught. Any er 28 percent had applied, taught in May 1980, but were not teaching in May of the follow 7 g year. Approximately 38 percent of this reserve pool had never applied fommeaching job.
Among these newly qualified who were not teaching in May 1981; the largest proportion, 38 percent, were employed in other professional or managerial occupations.

 and clerical wo stat ane collar occupations. "Approximately were enrolled
Of the bachelor's degree recipients newly qualified go teachuwho did not apply, 90 percent indicated that they did not apply begause they did not want to teach (entry 4.19). The remationg " 10 percent answered that "they -wanted to teach but teaching jobs were so hard to get that they did not bother to apply." Regardless of whether or not they wanted to teach, a majority of all those who did not apply considered the labor market for teachers unfavorable.

## Prospective education majors

Some indications of the size, composition. and qualifications of the future teaching pool can be gathered from the plans of students êntering college. While occupational expectations are often, overestimated and field-of-study choices frequently change in college, they do suggest student interest in pursuing various careers.. Since its inception in the late 1960's, the American Freshman National Norms survey annually has asked entering fulltime college freshmẹn about their probable occupatronal choies. The latest data indicate that collegeffreshmen in 1982 were less interested in pursuing teaching careers than any previous entering class (entry 4.20). In the fall of 1970, 19 percent of full-time college freshmen chose elementary/secondary teaching as their probable careers. But by the mid-1970's, less than half this proportion selected teaching, suggeşting that students were well aware of market conditions. If the late '1970's, the percentage fell to around 6 percent, ahd in 1982 it declined even further, to under 5 percent. The decline in interest was apparent in both elementary and secondary teaching, but was most pronounced in the choice of secondary school teaching, dropping from percent in 1970 to balow 2 percent in 1982. Over the period, school teaching lost in popularity to the growing fields of business, engineering, and computer programming. Whereas in 1970 elementary/secondary school teaching was a leading choice, selected by one-fifth of college freshmen, in

1982 business was the of the entering freshry

Asking college-boumpifigh schbol seniors their intended college major also suggests a rough estimate ofthe popularity of teaching as a career choice. While it should be

* kept in mind that potential majors in education only. approximate the number of future teachers, data on intended majors from the National Longitudinal Study of 1972 and the High School and Beyond Study of 1980 are revealing. Comparing intended majors of college-bound seniors in 1972 with those in 1980 indicates a substantial decline in education as a probable field of study. In 1972, 12, percent of college aspirants selected education as their intended majors, but in 1980 only 7 percent chose educa-
- tion (entry 4.21). Although females in both years comprised three-fourths of the potential educationsmajors. declines" were appreciable among both sexes. In $1972 \hat{2}, 6$ percent of the males and 19 percent of the females planned to major in education, but in 1980, 3 and 10 percent. respectively, intended an education major. De-s. clines were consistent, as well, across all racial/ethnic groups. White and Hispanic females, however, continued to show a higher interest ify the education field than their,
 Why these stut wheal not only that fewer studeting ind ded to major iñeducation, but also that on the averact hey may be less academically qualified thanstudents pursuing other fields. In 1980 college aspirants who intended to major in education scored lowet on standardized vocabulary, reading, and mathematics achievement tests than other college-bound seniors. The prospective education majors also averaged lower high school grades and fewer coursses' in science and mathematics than students intending other majors.
- Comparable testing thearliestudy suggests that the poorer performance of aspini䡌eduction' majors is not a new phenomenon. Despite a drop in skores of all seniorsbetween 1972 and 1980 on comparable vocabulary, reading, and mathematics exercises, those who intended to ${ }^{4}$ major in educatiońn scored below other \%rospective ma-





## Table 4.1

Classroom Teachers in Regular Elementary/Secondary Schools, by Control and Level of School: Fall 1970 to 1990



## Chart 4.1

## Elementary/Secondary Classroom Teachers, by Level



Table 4.2


## Estimated Supply of New Teacher Graduates Compared to Estimated Total Demand for Additional Teachers: Fall 1971, to 1990



[^5]${ }^{2}$ For methodological details, see Volume II of Projections of Education Statistics to 1990-91.
StuRCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Projections of Education Statistics to 1990-91, Volume I, 198̊2, and unpublished tabulatións (December 1982).

## Estimated Supply of New Teacher Graduates.and Estimated Total Demand for Additional Teachers



In the mid-1980's, the supply of new teacher graduates is expected to approximate the demand for additional teachers, given continuing declines in supply and anticipated $\vee$ increases in demand.

Table 4.3

## Earned Degrees Conferred in All'Discipline Divisions and in Education', by Level and Sex of Recipient: Academic Year 1970-71 to 1980-81



| Bachelor.'s Degrees: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 839,730 | 176,614 | 21.0 | 475,594 | 45,094 | 9.5 | 364,136 | 131,520 | 36.1 |
| 1972 | 887,273 | 191,220- | 21.6 | 500.590 | 49,537 | 9.9 , | 386,683 | 141,683 | -36.6 |
| 1973 | Q22,362 | 194,229 | 21.1 | 518.191 | 51,441 | 9.9 | 404,171 | 142,788 | 35.3 |
| 1974 | 945,776 | 185,225 | 19.6 | 527.313 | 49,160 | 9.3 | 41,8,463 | 136.065 | 32.5 |
| 1975 | 922,933 | 167,015 | 18.1 | 504.841 | 44.557. | 8.8 | 418,092 | 122,458 | 29.3 |
| 1976 | 925,746 | 154,807 | 16.7 | 504,925 | 42,070 | 8.3 | 420.821 | 112,737 | 26.8 |
| 1977 | 919,549 | 143,722 | 15.6 | 495,545 | 39,941 | 8.1 | 424,004 | 103.781 | 24.5 |
| 1978 | 921,204 | 136,141 | 14.8 | 487.347 | 37,484 | 7.7 | 433,857 | 98,657 | 22.7 |
| 1979 | '921,390 | 126,109 | 13.7 | 477,344 | 33,819 | 7.1 | 444,046 | 92,290 | 20.8 |
| 1980 | 929,417 | 118,169 | 12.7 | 473,611 | 30,922 | 6.5 | 455,806 | 87, 247 | 19.1 |
| 1981 | 935,140 | 108,309 | 11.6 | - \% 883 | 27,076 | 5.8 | 465,257\% | 81.233 | 17.5 |
| Master's Degrees: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 230,509 | 88,952 | 38.6 | 138,146 | 38.977 | 28.2 | 92,363 | 49,975 | 54.1 |
| 1972 | 251;63\% | 98,143 | 39.0 | 149.550 | 41.816 | 28.0 | 102.083 | 56,327 | 2 |
| 1973 | 263,371 | 105,565 | 40.1 | $\cdot 154,468$ | 44,128 | 28.6 | 108.903 | 61,437 | 6.4 |
| 1974 | 277,033 | 112,610 | 40.6 | .157.842. | 45,124 | 28.6 | 119,191 | 67.486 | 56.6 |
| 1975 | 292,450 | 120,169 | 41.1 | 161,570 | 45,421 | 28.1 | 130.880 | 74,748 | 57.1 |
| 1976 | 311,771 | 128,417 | 41.2 | 167.248 | 45.796 | 27.4 | 144.523 | 82.621 | 57.2 |
| 1977 | 317,164 | 126,825 | 40.0 | 167,783 | 43.288 | 25.8 | 149,381 | 83,537 | 55.9 |
| 1978 | 311,620 | 119,038 | 38.2 | 161,212 | 38.413 | 23.8 | 150.408 | 80,625 | 53.6 |
| 1979 | 301,079 | 111,995 | 37.2 | 153,370 | 35.143 | 22.9 | 147.709 | 76,852 | 52.0 |
| 1980 | 298,081 | 103,951 | 34.9 | 150.749 | 31.020 | 20.6 | 147.332 | 72,931 | 49.5 |
| 1981 | 295,739 | 98,938 | 33.3 | 147,043 | 28,256 | 19.2 | 148,696 | 70.682 | 47.5 |
| Doctor's Degrees: - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 32,107 | 6,403 | 19.9 | 27.530 | 5,045 | 18.3 | 4,577 | 1.358 | 29.7 |
| 1972 | 33,363 | 7,044 | 21.1 | 28.090 | 5.384 | 19.2 | 5.273 | 1.660 | 31.5 |
| 1973 | 34,777 | 7,318 | 21.0 | 28.571 | 5,504 | 19.3. | 6,206 | 1.814 | 29.2 |
| 1974 | 33,816 | 7,293 | 21.6 | 27.365 | 5.316 | 19.4 | 6.451 | 1.977 | 30.7 |
| 1975 | 34,083 | 7,446 | 21.9 | 26,817 | 5,147 | 19.2 | 7.266 | 2,299 | 31.6 |
| 1976 | 34,064 | 7.778 | 22.8 | 26.267 | 5.179 | 19.7 | 7.797 | 2.599 | 33.3 |
| 1977 | 33,232 | 7,963 | 24.0 | 25,142 | 5.189 | 20.6 | 8.090 | 2,774 | 34.3 |
| 1978 | 32,131 | 7,595 | 23.6 | 23.658 | 4.634 | 19.6 | 8.473 | 2.961 | 34.9 |
| 1979 | 32,730 | 7,736 | 23.6 | 23.541 | 4,472 | 19.0 | 9,189 | 3.264 | 35.5 |
| 1980 | 32,615 | 7.941 | 243 | 22.943 | 4.419 | 19.3 | 9,672 | 3.522 | 36.4 |
| 1981 | 32;958 | 7,900 | 24.0 | 22,711 | 4,164 | 18.3 | 10,247 | 3.736 | 36.5 |

[^6]
## Earned Degrees Conferred in Education and in All Disciplines



Degrees awarded in education represented under 12 percent of all bachelor's degrees conferred in 1981, down from 21 percent in the early 1970 's. Education degrees at the master's level rose to their highest point before 1977 and fell in absolute numbers and relative share from then on, while at the doctor's level, they increased slightly.
$\qquad$
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Table 4.4

' According to new classification, includes the former code 0800 education, plus the former code. 1508 teaching of English as a foreign language.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Ceither for Education Statistics, Earned Degrees Conferred, various years, and unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


Chart 4.4


## Earned Degrees Conferred in Education, by Level



When indexed to education degrees awarded in 1971. bachelor's degrees fell by over onethird. master's degrees rose rapidly until 1977 and then declined almost as quickly, and doctor's degrees increased slightly. When expressed in terms of bachelor's degree production in education. 5 master's degrees wefe awarded for every 10 bachelor's degrees in 1971: by 1981. 9 master's were awarded for every 10 at the bachelor's level.


## Tabld 4.5

Earned Degrees Conferred in Education', by Level and Specialty: Academic Year 1970-71 and 1980-81

' According to new classification. includes the former code 0800 education, plus the former code 1508 teaching of English as a foreign language
NOFE: Caution should be exercised in comparing 1971 and 1981 figures when actual number of degrees conferred in specialty is small
SOURCE U $\dot{S}$ Department of Educatjon. National Center for Education Statistics, Earned Degrees Conferred: 1970-71. Earned Degrees Conferred: 1980-81, forthcoming, and unpublished tabulations (Seplember 1982).

Percent Change in Bachelor's Dègrees Conferred in Selected Education Specialties


Despite a 39-percent reduction in bachelor's degrees awarded in education, a few spe- , cialties, notably specialeducation and pre-elementary, increased their degrees between 1971 and 1981. Reductions in teacher preparation degrees at the bachelor's level were most severe in elementary, art, mathematics, business, and home economics education.

Table 4.6

Elementary/Secondary Teaching Status of Recent Bachelor's Begree Recipients Newly Qualified to Teach, by Field of Teacher Preparation: February 1978 and May 1981

-Not applicable.
"Data for the following fields are included in the "other" category because their sample numbers are too small to present them individually: biological science. foreign language, health, home economics (nonoccupational), reading., physical science, bilingual education, and English as a second language.
${ }^{2}$ Data from the follewing fields are included in the "other" category because their sample numbers are too small to present them individually foreıgn language, physicait science, bilingual education. English as a second language. ąnd gifted and talented.
NOTE: Data exclude bachelor's recipients frobtu S Service Schools Also do not include deceased graduates and graduates living at foreign addresses at the time of the survey SOURCE: U S Department of Education, Nationai Center for Education Statistics, New Teachers in the Job Markety 1981 Update. forthcoming and unpublished tabulations (October 1982)


Chart 4.6

## Elementary/Secondary Teaching Status of Recent Bachelor's Degree Recipients Newly Qualified to Teach: May 1981



| 4 |  | $20_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | 191 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Tabile 4.7

Earned Degrees Conferred in Education', by Level of Degree and Control and Type of Institution of Higher Educàtion: Academic Year 1972-73 and 1980-81

$\geqslant$
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Distribution of Éducation Degrees Conlerrëd, by Control anp Type ol Institution: 1980-81


Percent Change From 1972-73 in, Education Degrees Conierred, by Control of Insitution


In the field of education, 78 percent of the bachelor's degrees. 75 percent of the master's 'degrees, and 71 percent of the doetorates were awarded by public institutions. Declines were registered between 1972-73 and 1980-81 in bachelor's and master's degrees in education by both public and private instithtions, While an increase was shown in doctor's degrees awarded by private institutions.

Table 4.8

Number of Higher Education Institutions Conferring Degrees in
Edưcation, by Control, Type, and-Highest Education Degree Conferred:
Académic Year 1972-73 to 1980-81
 Degrees Conferred, unpublished tabulations (December 1982).

Higher Education Institutions Conferring Degrees in Education, by Type of Institution


There was little change in the number of institutions granting education degrees by control or level of institution from 1973 to 1981. Private 4-year (nonuniversity) institutions represented the preponderance of schools conferring education degrees.

## Table 4.9

Number of Higher Education Institutions Conferring Degrees in Education, by Control-and Highest Education Degree Conferred:Academic Year 1970-71 to 1980-81


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Higher Education General Information Survey, Earoed Degrees Conferred, unpublished tabulations (December 1982).

# Higher Education Institutions Conferring Degrees in Education, by Highest Education 



The number of institutions conferring degrees in educationremained stable throughout the 1970's. There was a slight tendency among both public and private institutions to move intơ awarding advanced education' degrees.


## Table 4.10

Preference for and Implementation of Measures to Improve Teacher Candidate Quality and Importance of Measures to Improve Curriculum in Schools/Departments of Education: Winter 1982


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, Survey of Teacher Education, unpublished tabulations (February 1983).


Most schools/departments of education implemented measures to improve the quality of teacher candidates over the past 5 years; according to program heads. Eighty-five percent indicated that curriculum was made more rigorous and 74 percent said that entrance criteria were raised.

Implementation of Measures to Improve Teacher Candidate Quality and Possible Adverse Effect of Raising Standards on Schools/Departments of Education: Winter 1982.


|  | Advers | ffect of | aising Stand | dards Si | nificantly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Little | Not |
|  | Total | Major | Moderate | or None | Reported |
|  | Percehtage Distribution |  |  |  |  |
| Total programs. | 100 | $21 /$ | 44 | 33. | 10 |
| Nó change or increased- | 100 | 15 | 42 | 41 | 2 |
| Declined, Joss than 25 percent | 100 | 29 | 47 | 22 | 1. |
| Declined, 25 to 50 percent. | 100 | 18 | 44 | 38 | 1 |
| Declined, over 50 percent. | 100 | 21 | 44 | 32 | 3 |

' Decline in bachedơ's degrees in education between 1975-76 and 1980-81.
SOÚRGE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response , Survey System, Survey of Teacher Education, unpublished tabúlations (February 1983).



Táble 4.12

Years of Full-Time Teaching Experience Completed by Public Elementary/Secondary)School Teachers: 1961 to 1981

| [_ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Teaching Experience | 1961 | 1966 | 1971 | 1976 | 1981 |  |  |
| \% - |  |  | tage Dis | ion |  |  |  |
| Total. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| 1 year | 8.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 5.5 | 1.6 | - |  |
| 2 years. | 6.3 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 3.7 |  |  |
| 3 to 4 years. | 43.2 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 8.2 |  |  |
| 5 to 9 years. | 19.4 | 21.7 | 24.0 | 28.9 | 26.2 | \% |  |
| 10 to 14 years. | '15.1 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 23.0 |  |  |
| 15 to 19 years. | 10.4 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 12.5 | 15.4 |  |  |
| 20 or ${ }^{\text {m }}$ more years | 27.6 | 21.4 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 21.9 |  |  |
| Mean | 13 | 12 | tt | 10 | 13 |  |  |
| Median. | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $\bigcirc 12$ |  |  |

NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: National Education Association, Status of the Aherican Public School Teacher, 1980-81, 1982, copyrighted.


Chart 4.12

Years of Full-Time Teaching Experience Completed by Public School Teachers


Beginning teachers represented a much smalier share of the teaching force in the public schools in 1981 than in earlier periods. They comprised only 2 percent in 1981, compared - to 9 percent in 1971.

Sex and Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Recent Bachelor's Degree
Recipients, Those Newly Qualified to Teach, and Those Teaching Full-
Time: May 1981

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { All I } \\ \text { Bachelor's } \end{array}$ | Recent Recipients | Recent Recipie Qualified | Bachelor's ts Newly to Teach |  | Bachelor's <br> Teaching. <br> Time | ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Number | Percentage Distribution | Number | Percentage Distribution | Number | Percentage -Distribution |  |
| Total | 905,700 | 100.0 | 132,200 | 100.0 | 79,800 | 100.0 |  |
| Male ${ }^{\circ}$ | 454,700 | 50.2 | 36,600. | 27.7 | 18,200 | - $\cdot 272.8$ |  |
| Feimale | 451,100 | 49.8 | 95,600 | 72.3 | 61,600 | 77.2 |  |
| Total | 905,700 | 100.0 | 132:200. | 100.0 | - 79,800 | 100.0 |  |
| White, non-Hispanic. | 824,200 | 91.0 | 119,800 | 90.7 | 73,000 | 91.6 |  |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 47,100 | 5.2 | 8,400 | 6.4 | 4,000 | 5.0 |  |
| Hispanic | 15,400 | 1.7 | 2,300 | 1.7 | 1,900 | 2.4 |  |
| Asian or Pacific Islander. | 16,300 | 1.8 | 1,300 | 1.0 | ${ }^{600}$ | . 7 |  |
| American Indian or Allaskan Native. | ${ }^{6} 2,700$ | . 3 | 300 | . 2 | 200 | . 3 |  |
| Sample size. . . . . . . . |  | ${ }^{*} 7.576$ |  | 3.207 |  | 2,048 |  |

NOTE: Data exclude bachelor's recipients from U.S. Service Schools. They also do not include deceased graduates and graduates living at foreign addresses at the time of the survey. Data only approximate the number of bachelor's recipients in 1979-80, reported elsewhere. Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Recent College Graduates Survey, 1981, unpublished tabulations (September 1982):
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## Sex and Racial/Ethnic Distribution of Recent Bachelor's Recipients, Those Newly Qualified to Teach, and Those Teaching Full-Time .


While females equaled 50 percent of recent bachelor's recipients, they represented 72 percent of recipients newly qualified to teach and 77 percentof recipients teaching fulltime in elementary/secondary schools. About the same percentages of each racial/ethnic group were represented among the newly qualified and those teaching, compared with recent bachelor's recipients in general.

Certification in Field Currently Teaching of Newly Graduated ${ }^{1}$ Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers, by Field Currently Teaching:
May 1981

| Field Currently Teaching | Number | ' <br> Total | In Some Field | tified or Eligit <br> Certificatio <br> In Field Currently Téaching * | ble <br> n <br> -In Field Other than Currently Teaching | Nót Eligible or Don't Know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage Distribution |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 79,800 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 77.9 | 15.9 | 6.2 |
| Special education teachers, all . . . . . | 16,700 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 77.3 | 18.8 | 3.9 |
| 'Self-contained class' teachers | 26,400 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 80.0 | 14.8 | 5.2 |
| Specialty teachers | 38,900 | 100.0 | 91.4 | 73.7 | 17.7 | 8.6 |
| Arts and humanities | 21,100 | 100.0 | 88.2 | - 61.9 | 26.3 | 11.8 |
| English language arts | 10,200 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 50.6 | 34.0 | 15.5 |
| Foreign languages and fine arts. | 11,000 | 100.0 | 91.6 | 72.3 | 19.2 | 8.4 |
| Sciences and mathematics | 15,500 | 100.0 | 86.9 | 43.7 | 43.2 | 13.1 |
| Biological and physical sciences. | 7,900 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 45.4 | 43.0 | 11.7 |
| Mathematics | 7.500 | 100.0 ' | 85.4 | 42.0 | 43.4 | 14.6 |
| Miscellaneous specialties ${ }^{2}$. | 30,700 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 57.2 | 33.2 | 9.6 |
| Health and physical education. $\therefore$ | 10,600 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 68.5 | 25.0 | 6.4 |
| Social sciences/social studies. | 6,600 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 63.3 | 27.2 | 9.5 |
| All other specialties ${ }^{2}$. . . . | 13,600 | 100.0 | 87.9 | 45.4 | 42.4 | 12.1 |

' 1979-80 bachelor's degree recipients teáching elementary/secondary school full-time in May 1981.
${ }^{2}$ Does not include unclassified specialties because certification in field cannot be determined.
NOTE: Categories do not add to total because of multiple responses, i.e., teachers taught more than one field. 'Precision of the éstimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided.in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Recent College Graduates Survey, 1984, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).


Among new full-time teachers, those teaching self-contained classes were the most likely to be certified or eligible for certification in their respective fields. Those teaching mathematics and sciences were less likely than others to hold certificates in their particular teaching fields, although most were qualified to teach in some field.


## Table 4.15

## Extent to Which Work Related to Major Field of College Study of Newly Graduated ${ }^{1}$ Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers, by Field Currently Teaching: May, 1981


' 1979-80 bachelor's degree recipients teaching elementary/secondary scthoôí fullitime in May 1981.
2 ncludes unclassified specialties.
NOTE: Categories do not add to total becaúse of multiple responses, i.e., teachers tàught more than one field. Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for. Education Statistics, Recent Cöllege Graduates Survey, 1984, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).

Relation of College Study to Job of New Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers, by Field Currently Teaching.


College study and job closely related
College study and job somewhat related
a. $\square$ Cóllege study ànd job not related

4

Ober 87 percent of new full-time teachers considered their current jobs to be closely related to their college majors and another 10 percent considered them somewhat related.

Table 4.16

Certification in Principal Field Currently Teaching of Newly Graduated ${ }^{1}$. Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers, by Level Currently Teaching and Control of School: May 1981

"1979-80 bachelor's degree recipients teaching elementary/secondary school full-time in May 1981.
NOTE: Categories do not add to total because of multiple responses, ie., teachers taught more than one level or in more than one school. Precisiór of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Éducation Statistics; , Recent College Graduates ̈ Survey, 1981, unpublished tabulations (December 1982).


## $\$$

## Certification in Principal Field Currently Teaching of New Full-Time Elementary/ Secondary School Teachers, by Level and Control of School Currently Teaching




Table 4.17

Extent to Which Work Related to Major Field of College Study of Newly Graduated ${ }^{1}$ Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers, by Level Currently Teaching and Control of School: May 1981

| Level and Control of School Currently Teaching | Number | Total | Closely Related | Somewhat Related | Not Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percentage Distribution |  |  |
| - Total | 79,800 | 100.0 | 87.8 | 9.9 | 2.3 |
| Prepriman | 5,100, | 100.0 | 84.3 | 14.2 | 1.5 |
| Primary or elementary. | 36,700 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 6.5 | 1.0 |
| Middle or junior high. | 25,100 | 100.0 | 85.5 | 11.8 | 2.7 |
| Secondary or senior high | 25,600 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 13.2 | 3.1 |
| Combined elementary/secondary | 4,800 | . 100.0 | 81.0 | 17.7 | 1.3 |
| Public. | 61,600 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 8.3 | 1.9 |
| Private, religiously aftiliated | 12,900 | 100.0 | 84.1 | 13.6 | 2.0 |
| Private, non-affiliated. . . . | 5,200 | 100.0 | 73.3 | - fy.ti | 7.6 |

! 1979-80 bachelor's degree recipients teaching elementary/secondary school full-time in May 1981.
NOTE: Categories do not add to total because of multiple responses, i.e., teachers taught more than one level or in more than one school. Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Recent College Graduates Survey, 1981, unpublished tabulations (November 1982).

Chart 4.17
:

Relation of College Study to Job of New Full-Time Elementary/Secondary School Teachers; by Level and Control of School Currently Teaching


At all teaching levels, the vast preponderance of new full-time teachers considered their


## Table 4.18

Recent Bachelor's Recipients Newly Qualified to Teach in 1979-80 Who Were Not Teaching Full-Time in May 1981, by Whether They Applied to Teach and Current Labor Force Status: May 1981

${ }^{1}$ Excludes 4,000 for whom status unknown.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the approximate coefficients of variation provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Recent College Graduates Survey, 1981, unpublished tabulations (October 1982),

Among the 48,400 backelor's recipients newly qualified to teach who were not teaching full-time in May 1981, 33 percent had applied to teach, another 28 percent had taught the previous year but were not teaching currently, and 38 percent had not applied. Of those qualified but not teaching, 38.percent were employed in other professional occupations and 15 percent were employed in sales and clerical occupations.


Reasons for Not Applying for Elementary/Secondary Teaching Job and Opinions on Teacher Labor Market Cited by Recent Bachelor's Degree Opinions on Teacher Labor Marke Newly Qualified to Teach Who Did Not Apply, May 1981

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Recent College Graduate Survey, 198i; unpublished tabulations (October 1982).

## Reason for Not, Applying for Teaching Job and Opinion on Teacher Market


$\downarrow$
$t$

Among the newly'qualified to teach who did not apply, 90 percent said they did not want to teach and 10 percent said they wanted to teach but that teaching jobs were too difficult to get- A majonity of all those who did not apply considered the labor market for teachers - unfayorable.

Percent of First-Time Full-Time Freshmen Indicating Elementary/ Secondary Teaching as Probable Career Occupation: Fall 1970 to 1982


## College Freshmen Indicating Teaching as Probable Career

Percent indicating, teaching
as probable career


The proportion of college freshmen indicating elementary/secondary teaching as their probable career declined throughout the 1970 's dropping to under 5 percent in 1982.


College-Bound! Seniors who Intend to Major in Education, by Sex and
Racial/Ethnic Group: Spring 1972 and 1980

"College-bound seniors include those who indicated that they intended either to attain some college in the future or to be, enrolled in college for academic or vocational training in the year following high schpol.
NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the sample size and following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Education Attracts Fewer Academically High Achieving Young Women, Bulletin, December 1982 and National Longitudinal Study of the High School Class of 1972 and High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations (September 1982).


. $\cdot 1$


Education as a probable major declined substantially across sex and racial/ethnic groups between 1972 and 1980. White and Hispanic females continued to show higher interest in the education field than their black counterparts, while females in general were more likely than males to indicäte education as their intended major.
$\because 23$

## Table 4.22

Average Vocabulary, Reading, and Mathematics Test Scores of CollegeBound ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Seniors, by Sex and Intended Field of Study: Spring 1972 and 1980



[^7]NOTE: Precision of the estimates may be calculated using the standard error following procedures provided in the Data Sources in the Appendix.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Education Attracts Fewer Academically High Achieving Young Women, Bulletin, December 1982, and National Longitydinal Study of the High School Class of 1972 and High School and Beyond Study, unpublished tabulations
(September 1982)


Although college-bound seniors in general scored lower on vocabulary, reading. and mathematics tests in 1980 than in 1972, those who intended to major in education scored consistently below their counterparts who planned other majors in both years.


## Data Sources

 Source and Reliability of EstimatesThe information presented in this report was obtained from many sources, including Federal and State agencies, private research organizations, and professional associations. The data were collected using several research methods, including surveys of a universe (such as all colleges) or of a sample, compilations of administrative records, and statistical projections. A description of the information source and methods of data collection used for each data set is presented by sponsoring organization in the following subsections, preceded by a general discussion of data accuracy.

## Accuracy of Data

The accuracy of any data reported is deterimined by the joint effects of sampling and nonsampling errors. Estimates based on s sample will differ somewhat from the figures which would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same survey instriments, instriotions, and procedures. The resulting differences are called sampling errors or sampling variability. In addition, all surveys, both universe and sample, are subject to design, reporting, and processing 'errors and errors due to nonresponse. To the extent possi-
 methods built into the survey procedures. In general, however, the effects of nonsampling errors are less gaugeable than those produced by sampling variability. The standard error is the primary measure of sampling variability. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from the sample would differ from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 90 out of 100 that the difference would be less than 1.65 times the standard error; about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than 1.96 times the standard error; and about 99 out of 100 that it woutd be less than 2.5 times as large. Thus, knowing the standard error permits is to specify a range within which we can have a stated confidence that a given estimate would lie if a complete census, rather than a sample survey, had been conducted.

$$
\gamma_{i}
$$

To illustrate this further, consider the table Al of standard errors and 90 percent confidence intervals for estimates from the High School and Beyond (HSB) sample.

For an estimate of 30 percent of mades participating in a program, the table shows that the standard error is 0.6 percent. This means that the chances are about 68 out of 100 that the 30 perçent estimate is within 0.6 percent of the percent that could result from a complete census. Therefore, the 68 percent confideriesinterval is 29.4 to 30.6. In order to increase our confidence to 90 percent, we would have to use 1.65 times the standard error or 0.99 percent. Therefore the 90 percent confidence interval (rounded to tenths of a percent) would then be 29.0 to 31:0, which is the interval shown in the table,

A similar statement can be made concerning an estimated difference. The standard error of a difference ketween, two sample estimates is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of the estimates: The standard error of a difference, $a-b$, is in fact: $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}}=\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}-2 \sigma_{\mathrm{ab}}}$.
It should be noted that the standard errors presented in subsequent sections and in the original documents are approximations. That is, to derive estimates of stanflard errors that would be applicable to a wide variety of items and could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. As a result, the standard errors presented provide a general order of magnitude rather than the exact standard error for any specific item.
The preceding discussion on sampling variability was directed toward a situation concerning one or two estimates. A more difficult situation is encountered, when determining the accuracy of statistical projection. A detailed discussion will not be presented here. In general, the further away from the actual data being used for the projections, the greater the variability in the projection. That is, if annual data from 1971 to 1981 are bejing used to project enrollment in institutions of higher education," the further away from 1981 one gets, the more variability in the projection. One is less sure of the 1990 .projection of enrollment in institutions of higher education than the 1982 projection.

## Sources of Information

A large number and variety of sources were used as the basis of information for this report. Particular care should
be taken in comparing data from the different sources. Differences in procedưres, timing, phrasing of questions, interviewer training, and so forth, mean that the results from the several sources are not strictly comparable. The information in this report comes from the following different sources identified by the sponsoring agency or organization. Government sources are presented first, followed by private research ánd professional associations. It should be noted that more extensive documentation of survey procedures does not imply more problems with the data, only that more information is available on certain surveys than on others.

## National Center for Education Statistics Common Core of Data

The Common Core of Data (CCD) program is a coordinated effort administered by, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) to acquire and máintain statistical data on States and local public school districts. The CCD program, which began in 1954, is a universe survey of State education agencies and education agencies of the District of Columbia and outlying areas. Information is collected annually on the numbers of local public school districts, public elementary/secondary school .systems, staff, students, high school graduates, estimates of revenue and nonrevenue receipts, school expenditures, and average salaries paid to classroom teachers and other professional/educational staff.

Since the CCD is a universe survey, the information presented in this report from the CCD is not subject to sampling error. However, nonsampling error-may occur from two possible sources-nonreturn and misclassification. Nonreturn is minimal, with all States submitting almost all nine survey instruments each year.
With data submitted by over 90,000 schools to approximately 16,000 local districts and compiled by the 50 State education agencies, opportunity does exist, however, for misclassification. NCES attempts to minimize these errors by working closely with the Council of Chief State School Officers and its Committee of Education and Information Systems. The State Educiation Agencies have the task of gathering the information and performing the initial data audit. For the added burden, the States
-are reimbursed by NCES.'Then to the extent possible; NCES reviews eatch' State's'reports for internal consistency and for comparability with information received in previous surveys, State publications, and related NCES studies. Letters, telegrams, and telephone calls are used, when necessary, to obtain data from respondents and to reso(ve, questions.
As in any mailed questionnaire survey, interpretation of instructions and definitions may vary among respondents. Because public elementary/secondary education is a State and local responsibility, any statistical total for the Nation as a whole reflects a composite of the different reporting practices in the States. The use of standard forms and definitions in collécting data tends to minimize these variations. Whenever State deviations from prescribed definitions and instructions are known, they are indicated in the footnotes. NCES encourages each State to obtain the data for its reports by conducting a fall survey of local school districts with adaptations of the Federal forms and accompanying instructions. Some States report from survey data collected for regular annual reports.

If questions arise concerning the Common Core of Data, they can be directed to:

> A. Stafford Metz
> Institutional Surveys Branch
> National Center for Education Statistics
> 400 Maryland Avé, S.W.
> Washington, D.C. 20202

## Private Schiool Survey •

The NCES 1980-81 private elementary/secondary school survey was intended to assemble an inclusive listing and basic statistics of private elementary/secondary schools,
Under contract to NCES, The National Catholic Educational Association (NCEA) surveyed the Catholic schools and Evaluation Policy Research Associates surveyed the non-Catholic private schools. A list of all private schools was developed and then a survey questionnaire was mailed to every school on the list. Schools that did not respond to the first mailing were sent additional questionnaires, and in some casés phone calls were
made to obtain their responses. The list construction for the Catholic schools was relatively simple because of the existing diocesan school system network. The list was developed for the non-Catholic private schools by searching the 1978-79 NCES private school universe listing, State and locaf government directories of schools, private school association directories, and by contacting local and regional private school authorities.

The survey objective, though unachievable, was to abtain information from every private elementary/secondary school that had a first or higher grade and enrolled students in the 1980-81 school year. Despite the efforts devoted to searching for schools, some schools were not included or were not contacted. Other schools failed to respond to one or more items on the questionnaire. These nonresponses were handled differently. .

If a school was identified as operating in the 1980-81. school year (even by the return of the questionnaire with a refusal to cooperate), the school was considered open. Any items not completed by open schools were imputed by using data from earlier surveys. In the few cases where these data were not available, the value from a similar school was used for imputation. "Imputed values resulting from this imputation procedure amounted to 1 percent of the total students, 3 percent of the total teachers, and 6 percent of the total high school graduates.

The other type of nonresponse - the failure to include some open schools-was examined through the Statisti-cal-Analysis Groứp in Education (SAGE). SẠGE con-ductectan-intensive search in 21 sites across the country to help evaluate the undercoverage of the private. school survey. It was determined from those sites that 100 percent of the Catholic schools and 75 percent of the nonCatholic schools were covered by the NCES list. AI${ }^{6}$ though the results of this study are not definitive because of its small size, they do indicate that the number of schools reported may be underestimated by as much as 15 percent and the total number of students, by 5 percent. The* study also concludes that the undercoverage was greatest for small, non-Catholic schools and for new 'schools.
!
Questions concerning the Private School Survey can be directed to:


The Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) is a coordipated effort administered by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). Its purpose is to acquire and maintain statistical data on the characteristics and operations of institutions of higher education. HEGIS, developed in 1966, is an annual duniverse survey of institutions listed in the latest, Eäucation Directory, Colleges and Universities.

The ipformation presented in this report draws on HEGIS surveys which solicit information concerning institutional dharacteristics, faculty salaries, finances, enrollment, and degrees. These surveys are part of the overall HEGIS package and as such cover all institutions in the universe. The data presented, therefore, are not subject to sampling error but are subject to nonsampling error. Duẽ to the differing information solicited by the various survey instruments, the sources of nonsampling errors differ. Each survey will therefore be discussed separately. A validation study, "HEGIS Post-Survey Validation Study", was conducted for two HEGIS surveys, enrollment and degrees, in 1979. The information presented in this appendix concerning the nonstampling error of these two surveys draws considerably on this study.

If questions exist concerning the surveys discússed andxused as data sources for this report, eq if obergestigns arise concerning HEGIS, they can be directed tot

Curtis O. Baker<br>University and College Surveys and<br>Studies Branch<br>National Center for Education Statistics<br>; 400 Maryland Ave., S.W.<br>Washington, D.C. 20202
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## Institutional Characteristics of Colleges and Universities

The Institutional Characteristics Survey provides the basis for the universe of institutions presented in the Education Directory, Colleges and Universities and used in all. other HEGIS data collection activities. The universe is comprised of institutions that offer at least a 1-' year program of college-level studies leading toward a degree and that meet certain accreditation criteria. In the fall, institutions includded ipp the Directory the previous year receive a computer printout of their information with the request to update. Institutions not previously Included that have applied for Directory listing are sent the questionnaire form to complete. All institutions reported are certified as eligible to be listed by the Division of Eligibility and Agency Evaluation within the Department of Education.

## Opening Fall Enrollment in Institutions of Higher. Education

Opening Fall Enrollment in Institutions of Higher Education has been part of the HEGIS series since its development. The enrollment survey, as with the HEGIS degree survey, does not appear to suffer significantly from problems of nonreturn.

The major sources of nonsampling error for this survey come from classification problems, availability of needed data, interpretation of definitions, the survey due date, and operational errors. Of these, the classification of students appears to be the main source of error. Institutions have problems in correctly classifying first-time freshmen, other first-time students and unclassified students for both full-time and part-time categories. These problems are more exident-at 2 -year institutions (both private and public) and the private 4 -year institutions. In 1977-78, the classification problems led to an estimated overcount of 11,000 full-time sfudents and an undercount of 19,000 part-time students. Although the percentage of error for the grand total was quite small (i.e., less than 1 percent), the percentage of errors' for detailed student levels might be as high as 5 percent or even higher at certain student levels;

## Degrees and Other Formal Awards Conferred

The Degrees and Other Formal Awards Conferred Survey has been part of the HEGIS series since its development. For the 1970-71 survey, however, the taxonomy was changed. The information from survey years 1970-71 through the present is directly comparable, but care must be taken if information before this date is included in any. comparison. The nonreturn rate does not appear to be a significant contributor to nonsampling error for this survey. The return rate over the years has been extremely high, with the rate for years 1977-78 and 1978-79 at 100 . percent. Because of the high return rate, nonsampling error caused by imputation would also be minimal.

The major sources of nonsampling error for this survey are: differences in the HEGIS program taxonomies and taxonomies used by the school; classification of double majors and double degrees; operational problems; and timing of the survey. In the validation study conducted in 1979, it was found that the sources of nonsampling error noted above contributed to an error rate of 0.3 percent overreporting for bachelor's degrees and 1.3 percent overreporting for master's degrees. The differences, however, varied greatly among fields. Over 50 percent of the fields selected for the study had po errors identified. The major categories of fields that had large differences were: business and management, education, engineering, letters, and psychology. It is also shown that differences in proportion to the published figures were less than one percent for most of the selected fields that had some errors. Exceptions to this were: master's and Ph.D. programs in labor and industrial relations ( 20 percent and 8 percent); bachelor's and màster's programs in art education ( 3 percent and 4 percent); bachelor's and Ph.D. programs in business, commerce, and distributive education ( 5 -percent-and- 9 -percent); master's-and Ph:D. pro-1 grams in letters ( 1 percent and 4 percent); master's programs in philosophy (8 percent); and Ph.D. programs in psychology (11 percent).

## Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Educalinn

The Financial Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education Survey has been part of the HEGIS series since its
$\qquad$
development. A number of changes were made in the financial survey instruments in 1975: While these changes were significant, only comparable information on trends is presented in this report. Other possible sources of nonsampling error in the financial statistics are nonresponse, impytation, and misclassification. The response rate has been over 90 percent for the years reported. Two general methods of ímputation have beèn used: (1) if prior year's data were available for a nonresponding institution, these data were inflated using the Higher Education Price Index and adjusted according to changes in enrollments; or (2) if no previous year's data were available, current data were used from peer institutions selected for location (State or region), "control, level, and enrollment size of institution. For the most. recent year reported, the imputation method did not include the adjustment for changes in enrollments. It should be noted that the imputed current funds expenditures of the nonrespondents are less than 3 percent of the aggregate U.S. tetal.

To reduce reporting error, NCES uses national standards for reporting finance statistics. These standmeds are contained in College and University Business) Administration: Administrative Services (1974 Edition), published by the National Association of College and University Business Officers; Audits of Colleges and Universities. (as amended August 31, 1974), by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; and HEGIS Financial Reporting Guide (1980), by NCES. Wherever possible, definitions and formats in the survey form are made consistent with those in these three accounting texts.
Salaries, Tenure; and Fringe Benefits of Full-Time Instructional Faculty in Institutions of Higher
Education
This survey, like those for earned degrees, financial statistics, and enrollments, has been a component of HEGIS nearly every year. Like these other surveys, the faculty salaries survey is a universe survey; hence, the data are not subject to sampling error.

The response rates for this survey have been very high in recent years-exceeding 90 percent. Salaries and fringe
benefit data are not imputed for nonresponding institutens.

The salaries of full-time faculty may include some parttime faculty at a very small number of institutions. The impact of these reporting errors is estimated to lower average salaries by less than 1 percent.

The salaries presented by State are for fulk-time instruc-, tional faculty with $9-10$ month contracts. Faculty with 11-12 month contracts comprise 14 percent of instructional faculty and their salaries have been adjusted for inclusion in the trend data.

## Residence and Migration Survey

The Residence and Migration of College Students (R\&M) survey for fall 1979 is one of the more difficult of the surveys in the Higher Education General Information Survey to present clearly without misrepresentation. Al-though each student is reported once by the responding institution, the same student is enumerated twice-once in the State of enrollment and once in the State of residence or home State.

The data collected in fall 1979 differ from those of earlier surveys in that only full- and part-time first-time students were reported by level of enrollment in fall 1979 rather than all students by sex as in prior years. For this reason; numepric trends cannot be constructed. It is anticipated that the new design for data collection that began with the fall 1979 survey will be retained. Therefore, the 1979 survey will provide the base year for a new data series.

The mailout of the 1979-80 Higher Education General Information Survey included survey report forms for 3,188 institutions in the survey universe. Of these, 3,008 institutions-sent usable-responses,-representing a- 94 -percent response. State coordinators and college and univer-: sity officials who had not sent in their survey report forms by the due date were contacted through followup ) phone calls and mailgrams before the closeout of data collection. Data were imputed for the 180 nonrespondent institutions by identifying peer institutions, and where this could not be done, by relying upon State averages or historical data from prịor şurveys.


The total number of students reported as first-time freshmen on the R\&M survey was expected to correspond to: the number reported on the 1979 Opening Fall Enrollment (OFE) survey. However, differences in due datés occurred, students enrolled in the summer of, 979 were omitted from the OFE, and the distinction beween first-

- time freshmen and all freshmen was blurred. Therefore, the data reported by some institutions were not consistent between the two surveys.


## Vocational Education Data System

The Vocational Education Data, System (VEDS) is a coordinated effort administered by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) to acquire and maintain statistical data on programs under the jurisdiction of State Boards of Vocational Education. This includes programs in both secondary and postsecondary institutions. Secondary schools include comprehensive high schools, vocational high schools, and area vocational centers. Postsecondary institutions include $2-$ and $4-$ year institutions of higher education, noncollegiate postsecondary schools, correspondence schools, and State correctional facilities.

VEDS, first implemented in 1978-79, is a universe survey of State educational agencies and agencies in the District of Columbia and outlying areas. Information is collected annually on students, programs, program completer's and leavers, staff, facilities, and expenditures.
As a universe survey, VEDS is not subject to sampling error. Nonsampling error, however, may occur from nonreturn, nonresponse, and misclassification. Survey nonreturn for the VEDS information presented in this report is minimal, with all Srates submitting survey forms. However;-the nonresponse-to certain-survey-items-wasconsiderable. As with other universe surveys, the amount of information being gathered dictates that the opportunity certainly exists for misclassification. The States are responsible for the accuracy of all data submitted. All data are reviewed and edited for reasonableness, and suspect responses are verified by contacting the submitter. In turn, the formis are reviewed by NCES and, iffneed be, retumed to the States' for correction. 'Standard data
processing procedures are then carried out to assure that the edited responses are accurately transcribed to electronic form.

If questions exist concerning the Vocational Education Data System, they can be directed to:
Robert L. Morgan
Adult and Vocational Surveys Branch
National Center for Education Statistics
400 Maryland Ave., S.W.
Washington, D,C. 20202

## National Longitudinal Study of the High School. Class of 1972

The National Longitudinal Study: (NLS) of the High School Class of 1972 periodically queries a national sample of the 1972 high school seniors to chart their educational, vocational, and personal development. NLS was initiated in the spring of 197.2 by the National Center for Education Statistics. Over 1,000 public, and private schools and nearly 18,000 students participated Four followup surveys have been conducted since the 1972 base-year survey, in fall 1973, fall 1974, fall 1976, and fall 1979.

The original sample design was a deeply stratified twostage probability sample with schools as first-stage sampling units and students as second-stage units. The firststage . sampling frame was constructed from computerized school files maintained by the Office of Education and by the National Catholic Educational Association. The schools were then stratified according to various criteria and randomly selected within strata. Except for schools in the low income areas or with high black enrollments and schools with small enrollments, the. schools were sampled with equal probability and without replacement. From eattr selected school, 18 students were randomly chosen to participate. The samples represent the Nation's 12th grade enrollment in 1972 in all public and private schools.

The main source of nonsampling erroì in a longitudinal, study, i.e., a study of the same individuals over time, is, usually the decrease in return rates over time. With NLS;
$\because$ of the 1,200 primary sample schools, 948 participated in the base-year survey: Of the remainder, 21 had no seniors enrolled, and 231 either refused to participate or could not, because they had received the request too laté in the school year. In the summer of 1973, NCES made further attempts to secure the participation of the 231 schools that had not participated in thê base-year survey, and to replace the 21 schools that had no seniors.

The "resurvey" activity, initiated prior to the first followup survey, involved securing school cooperation, choosing random samples of up to 18 former 1972 sen- iors per school, and then securing the last known addresses of those selected. This activity was successful in 205 of the 231 primary sample schools; thus, students from 1,153 of the 1,200 primary sample schools were included in the first followup survey. Also, an additional sample of 200 school districts was contacted during the base year to identify public schools not included in theoriginal school sampling frame. Forty-five such schools were identified, and 23 of these were randomly selected as an "augmentation" sample to compensate for base. year undercoverage: Samples of former 1972 seniors from 16 of these augmentation schools participated in the first and subsequènt followup surveys.

Due to the complexities of the base-year data collection, both unequivocal base-year data availability rates and subsequent followup response rates are difficult to compute. However, using the augmented base-yeat sample, the return rates were quite high. Among the 16,683 individuals responding to the base-year questionnaire, the percentages also responding in the first, second, - third, and fourth followup were approximately 94,93 , 89 , and 83 percent, respectively. Of the 21,350 first followup questionnaire respondents, 95,91 , and 84 per-' cent also responded to the second, third, and fourth followup, respectively. Sample retention among the 20,872 second followup resporidents was 94 percent for the third followup and 87 percent for the fourth. Approximately 91 percent of the 20,092 third followup respondents also responded in the fourth followup.

Another area of possible nonsampling error in the NLS estimates is that of sample weights and nonresponse
adjustments. Since students were selected with unequal probability, simple weighted tabulations could be misleading; thus, sample weights were computed for each student. The unadjusted sample weights were calculated as the inverse of sample inclusion probabilities, which are a function of the school selection probabilities and the student selection probabilities within school. Such calculations were nontrivial due to the several post hoc redefinitions of the sample; however, appropriate weighting was accomplished.

To provide better estimates of the attributes of this population, it was necessary to address the problem of compensating for instrument nonresponse. This was accomplished through weight adjustments. Because of the various sample redefinitions and aụgmeñtations, several sets of adjusted weights were computed. The general procedure used was a weighting-class approach, which distributes the weights of nonrespondents to respondents who are most like them. Weighting classes were defined by several survey clássification variables: race, sex, high school curriculum, high school grades, and parents' education. Differential response rates for students in different weighting classes are reflected in this adjustment.

In addition to the nonresponse adjustment, the problem of nonresponse was addressed by identifying 88 critical questions. Special effort was then made to contact participants who failed to responit to these items in their returned' questionnaires.

Estimates of the sampling errors for the NLS were calculated as a joint function of the estimated percentage and the sample size for the percentage base (i.e., denominator). The actual standard error estimate, for a percentage from the complex stratified multistage NLS sample, is inflated over the standard error estimate that wquld have been obtained had a simple random sample of students been selected. The estimated standard errors ranged from 1.19 tp 6.00 for a szmple size equal to 100 and estimated percentages of 1 (or 99 ) to 50 ; and standard errors ranged from 0.08 to 0.42 for a sample size equal to 20,000 and the same estimated percentages.


Questions concerning the NLS can be directed to:

## Andrew Kolstad:

Longitudinal Studies Branch
Nationat Center for Education Statistics
400 Maryland Ave., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202

## High School and Beyond

High School and Beyond'(HSB) is a national longitudinal study of 1980 high school seniors and sophomores conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics. A probability sample of 1,015 high schools was selected with a target number of 36 seniors and 36 sophomores in each of the schools. The total number of students participating in the surfey is 58,728 . Substitutions were made for noncoopprating schools in those strata where in was possible, byt not for students. Student and parent refusals and sfudent absences resulted in an 84 percent completion rate for students. This refers to the overall return rate of the survey and not the completion rate of each item within the survey.

Several small groups in the population were sampled with probabilities higher than their occurrence in the population. This was done to allow for special study of certain types of schools or students: Students completed questionnaires and took a battery of cognitive tests. In addition, a sample of parents of sophomores and seniors (about 3,600 for each cohort) was surveyed.

The major sources of nonsampling error are in school nonresponse, student survey nonresponse, and student misinterpretation of the items. Nonresponse can come from the 9 percent school nonresponse, a 16 percent student nonresponse, and the nonresponse rates for given items. The nonresponse rates by item for those students returning a survey range from a low of 0.3 percent (questioning if the student expects to graduate) to a high of 21 percent (concerning family income): Examples of the sampling variability in the estimates from the HSB survey are given in Table A1.

The standard error (s.e.) of an individual percentage (p) can also be approximated by the formula s.e. (p) $=$
$1.6 \sqrt{p(100-p) / n}$ where $n$ is the sample size and 1.6 is a factor used to adjust for the particular sample design . used in High School and Beyond h evaluating a difference between two percentages, the standard error of the difference may be conservatively approximated by taking the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of the two percentages. For example, the estimated percentage of seniors in the Northeast enrolled in academic pfograms is 51 percent while the estimate for seniors in the South was 33 percent, a difference of 18 percentage points. Using the formula and the sample sizes from the table, the standard errors of the two percentages being compared are calculated to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.6 \sqrt{(51)(49) / 5,587}=11 \\
& 1.6 \sqrt{(33)(67) / 9,142}=0.8
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard error of the difference is therefore.

$$
\sqrt{1.1^{2}+0.8^{2}}=\sqrt{1.21+0.64}=1.4
$$

The sampling error ( 95 chances in 100 ) of the difference is approximately twice the standard error, or approximately 3 percentage points, and the 95 percent confidence interval for the difference is $18 \pm 3$ or 15 to 21 percentage points.

If questions arise concerning the High School and Beyond Study, they can be directed to:

> Samuel Peng
> Longitudinal Studies Branch
> National Center for Education Statistics 400 Maryland Ave., S. W:
> Washington, D.C. 20202

## Recent College Graduates Survey:

The Recent College Graduates sample surveys conducted in February 1978 and May 1981 are the source of the data on employment of recent bachelor's recipients and of those newly qualified to teach. Both used a two-stage sample procedure, the first stage being a stratified sample of institutions offering bachelor's and master's degrees and the second stage being asample of graduates from the sampled institution. The institutions were strat-
ified by percent of education graduates, control, and geographic region (the 1978 survey also had a separate stratum for predominately black institutions). The institutions were selected with probabilities proportional to their measure of size, constructed using the number of graduates and the percent of education graduates.

The graduates within the sampled institutions were stratified by: level of degree; whether or not they were education graduates; and whether or not they were special or vocational education graduates. Different probabilities of selection were assigned to each stratum to obtain the desired samplè size of each type of graduate. A questionnaire was mailed to each sampled graduate.

The overall response rate was 83.5 percent in 1978 and 72.3 percent in 1981 . The intensive field followup of nonrespondents conducted for the 1978 survey was not duplicated in the 1981 survey because of budget and time considerations. :

- A ratio estimation procedure was used to inflate the sample results to the estimates for each year. The estimates differ from the Higher Education Geñeral Information Survey (HEGIS) numbers which were the basis for
- the ratios because foreign addresses and deceased graduates were removed and the self-reported major was used rather than the institution-reported majon:
In addition, sizable numbers of graduates majoring in a field and newly qualified to teach are counted here as newly qualified to teach and counted in HEGIS as being in their major field. The 1978 survey figures are revised from estimates published in New Teachers in the Job Market, August 1980, to reflect the removal of graduates from institutions in outlying areas and foreign addresses and of deceased graduates.

Since the estimates are based on sample data, they would differ from figures obtained from ar complete census using the same methods. Tables A2 and A3 contain generalized estimates of the coefficients of variation (CV's) for the two surveys for percent of graduates by major categories. (Note: The CV is merely the standard error of the estimate divided by the estimate.) To calculate the CV's for this report, follow these steps!
i) Make sure you are using the proper table for each survey (A2 for 1978, A3 for 1981);
2) Find the table column which comes closest to the category of graduates for which you want a CV. For example, for the estimate of 16,500 graduates newly qualified to teach in 1981 who were prepared to teach special education, use table A3 under the heading Special and Vocational Education. For the total estimate of 132,200 newly qualified to teach, use table A3 Total, since this grobup of graduates has representatives in each category. Using the total column will provide a conservative CV for this estimate, since graduates newly qualified to teach have only 20 percent noneducation majors;
3) Using the group total N from the appropriate column, calculate percentage of graduates in the subgroup (estimate/ N );
4) Using this percent, locate the CV in the table under the closest row entry for percentage of graduates in the subgroup and the proper group heading. If the percent calculated in step 3 does not exactly match the row entry percentage, approximate what the CV should be from the next higher and next lower percents.

Confidence intervals for estimates appearing in this report can be constructed using these CV's as described in' the three examples that follow.
a) Estimates of Totals-For example, the estimate of the total number of bachelor's recipients in the 1981 survey who were newly qualified to teach is 132,20 , or 14.6 percent of the 905,700 bachelor's recipients. Table A3 shows that the CV for 14.6 percent for total bachelor's recipients is about 0.048 . (Note that this is probably conservative, since graduates newly qualified to teach (NQT) are largely education ma? jors.) Thus, the standard error for this estimate is $6,346(.048 \times 132,200=6,346)$, and a 95 -percent confidence interval is $132,200 \pm 12,692$.
b) Estimates of Proportions-As an example of es-
$\because$ timating a confidence interval for a proportion, con-
sider the proportion of newly qualified to teach bach-" dlor's recipients in 1981 who applied to teach ( 85 percent). In this case we müst: 1) determine the CV for both the numerator and the denominator of the proportion and 2) apply the formula $C V(P)=$ $\sqrt{\left[C V^{2}(X)-C V^{2}(Y)\right]}$, where $P=X / Y$. We know from the example above that the $C V(Y)=-.048$, where $Y$ is the number of newly qualified to teach. Similarly, the $C V(X)=.053$, where $X$ is the number of NQT bachelor's recipients who applied to teach. Therefore, the $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{P})=.022$, since the square root of $\left[\left(.053^{2}\right)-\left(.048^{2}\right)\right]$ is .022 . $^{\text {A }}$ 95-percent confidence interval is 85 percent $\pm 3.74$ percent, since the standard error of the proportion is $.022 \times 85$ percent $=1.87$ percent. This procedure should be applied when the numerator and the denominator of the proportion may be highly correlated but the denominator and the proportion are uncorrelated.
c) Estimates of Difference between 1978 and 1981 Survey Estimates-Since the survey estimates for the 2 years are uncorrelated, the procedure used to estimate the CV for the difference between the estimates is: 1) find the appropriate CV for the estimate for each year and 2) apply the formula. CV(D) $\sqrt{\left[X^{2} C V^{2}(X)+Y^{2} C V^{2}(Y)\right] / D}$, where $D=X-Y$. For example, the estimate of the difference in the number of graduates newly qualified to teach who applied to teach between the 1981 and 1978 surveys is 20,100 $(=132,500-112,400)$. The CV for this estimate is .41, since
$\sqrt{(132,500)^{2}(.042)^{2}+(112,400)^{2}(.053)^{2}}=8,152$ and $8,152 / 20,100=.4056$ (. 042 is the CV for the 132,500 graduates newly qualified to teach who applied to teach in 1978). A 95 percent confidence interval is $20,100 \pm(16,482)$, where the standard error of the estimate is $.41 \times 20,100=8,241$.

## Participation in Adult Education Survey

The Participation in Adult Education Survey (PAE) was conducted for NCES by the Bureau of the Census, as a supplement to the Current Population Survey, the Bureau's monthly household survey. The data on part-time
educational activities were collected in May 1969, 1972, 1975, 1978, and 1981. Interviewers asked if anyone in the household 17 years of age or older had participated in adult education in the 12 -month period prigr to the survey date. A survey form was either filled out by the interviewer or left with a household proxy for participants who were not at home at the time of the interview. In 1981 the supplement form was no longer left with the proxy but completed by the interviewer.
The PAE response rate in 1981 was 94 percent. This rate must be viewed in conjunction with the, 96 percent re-. sponse rate on the monthly Current Population Survey. The overall response rate for the PAE survey in 1981, is then 90 percent:

Substantial changes were made in the 1975 and 1978 surveys to include participants taking part-time instruction who were also full-time students in programs leading toward a high school diploma or college degree. Also, courses taken by adults as full-time students in vocational or occupational programs of 6 months or more .duration were excluded. Because of the changes in defi-, nitions from 1969 to 1981, only the most basic statistics can be presented over time.

As previously noted, a second source of variability in estimates obtained from a sample survey is in the sampling. That is, there/would be no sampling variability in a complete census. Examples of the sampling variability in the estimates from the PAE survey are given in the Tables A4 and A5.

The figures shown in the tables hold for total or white population estimates only. The variability in estimates; for subgroups, employment status, income, education, ; etc: , can be estimated using the tables presented in the original source documents.

The contact person at NCES for further information. concerning the PAE survey is:

## Thomas Litkowski



Adult and Vocational Surveys and Studies Branch
National Center for Education Statistics ${ }^{\prime}$ 400 Maryland Ave., S.W:
Washington, D.C. 20202

## Fast Response Survey System

The Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) was established by NCES so that education data, needed within the Department of Edücation (ED) for planning and policy formulation, could be collected quickly and with minimum burden on respondents. FRSS provides preliminary estimates in as little as 4 months after the questionnaire has been developed. It accomplishes this by using small, preselected, general-purpose national samples, prearranged data collection procedures, and short, easily answerable questionnaires. Since the inception of the FRSS, 16 sutrveys have been conducted. Three recent surveys used in this report are discussed below. For further information contact:

1
Doug Wright 气 $\because$,
Fast Response Survey System
.- National Center for Education Statistics 400 Maryland Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20202

Instructional Use of Computers in Public Schools. 1981 1-82
The Instructional Use of Computers Survey was requested by the Office of Educational Research and Improvemen (ED) to proyide a better understanding of the use of computers for instructional purposes in public elementary/secondary ischools.
In April 1982, questionnaires were mailed to a stratified random sample of 900 schools representing 82,000 public schools in the Nation. The response rate was 92 percent. The estimates were adjusted for nonresponse and standard errors were calculated. The estimates in. volving microcomputers are generally more precise than those concerning computer terminals, since there are more than 4 times as many schools wifh miçrocomputers than with terminals.
For statistics on microcomputer usage at the national level, the coefficients of variation (CV's) ranged from 9 percent for the proportion of schools indicating computer literacy as a major instructional use to 15 percent for those schools indícating compensatory and remedial uses. For the major uses of microcomputers by grade
level cited in the text, the CV's range from 11 to 17 percent. This means that for a given "statistic, 9 to 17 percent of-its estimated value may be atributable to sampling variation.
o derive the standard error, multiply the estimate by the coefficient of variation. The 95 -percent confidence interval could then be constructed using the estimates of the statistic plus or minus twice its standard error. Thus, the standard error for the estimate of 33 percent of schools reporting computer literagy as a major instructional use - would be ${ }^{*} 09$ (33) $\doteq 3$ afid 2 standard errors away from the estimate would be $\pm 6$. The confidence interval would be $33 \pm 6$ or 27 to $39^{\circ}$ percent. If these sampling procedures for this survey were repeated 100 times, the confidence intervals around the estimate would include the true population value in 95 cases.

School District Survey of Academic Requirements and Achievement
The Șurvey of Academic Requirements and Achievem fint collected information on high school standards and achievement and school district efforts to improve aca-: demic achievement. It was conducted for the National Commission on Excellence in Education in preparation for its report on improving academic achievement to the Secretary of Education.

The estimates are based on a stratified systematic sample of 570 school districts. representing 11,370 school dis- $\because$ tricts with high schools. Questionnaires were mailed out in August 1982. The response was 93 percent and esti- mates have been adjusted to account for nonresponse... For national estimates, the coefficients of variation are 8 percent or less for estimated percentages of 27 percent or more and are proportionally larger for estimated percentages of less than 27 percent. For regional data, based on fewer districts, the CV's are larger: up to 20 percent for estimates of 27 percent or more.

## Survey of Schools, Colleges, and Departments of Teacher Education

The Survey of Teacher Education was requested by the National Commission on Excellence in Education to pro-

vide nationally representative data on measures to improve teacher education preferred by teacher preparation programs and baseline data on current graduation requirements.

The sampling frame consisted of all institutiohs of higher education with a school, college, or department of education. Of the 450 institutions selected in a stratified random sample, 420 -were in scope. In November 1982, the sample questionnaires were mailed, with a resulting response rate of 92 percent. The sample weights were adjusted for nonresponse, and the estimates calculated, along with their measures of precision. For hational esti, mates, the coefficients of variation (CV's) for the percent of schools with a high preference for various measures to improve teacher candidate quality range from 6 percent for making the curriculum more rigorous ( 52 percent) to 10 percent for extending the undergraduate program ( 14 percent). The CV's for implementing these same measures range from 3 percent to 20 percent. The CV's for high preference of various measures to improve curriculum range from 8 percent to 12 percent, while those for adverse financial effect range from 8 percent to 10 percent. For further breakouts of the national data, the CV's would be higher.

## National Institute of Education

## National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a project funded by the National Institute of Education and carried out by the Education Commission of the States. The overall goal of the project is to determine the Nation's progress in education. To accomplish this goal, a cross-sectional study was designed and initially implemented in 1969. Each year since 1969, National Assessment has gathered information about levels of education achievement across the country. NAEP surveys the education attainments of $9-13$-, and 17-yearolds and young adults (ages 25 to 35 ) in 10 learning areas. Different learning areas are assessed every year, and all areas are periodically reassessed in order to measure possible changes in education achievement.

- A multi-stage probability sample is utilized by NAEP. The primary sampling units are stratified by region, and
within region by State, size of community, and for the two smaller sizes of community strata, by socioeconomic level.'.

Students participating in the project are administered instruments designed to assess the student attainment of specific tasks. Assessment exercises gre administered either to individuals or small groups by specially trained personnel. Ínformation from NAEP is subject to both nonsampling and sampling error. Two possible sources of nonsampling error are nonparticipation and instrumentation. Norfparticipation is beld to a minimum through oversampling, although this does not assess the bias of nonparticipants. Instrumentation nonsampling error concerns whether the NAEP assessment instruments measure what is being taught and in turn what is being learned. by the students:

If questions exist concerning NAEP, contact:

> Wayne Martin
> National Assessment of Educational Progress Education Commission of the States 1860 Lincoln Street Suite 700
> Denver, Colorado 80295

## Office for Civil Rights.

## Civil Rights Survey of Elementary and Secondary.

 SchoolsThe Fall 1980 Civil Rights Survey of Elementary and Secondary Schools, a study contracted through DBS Corporation, was conducted to obtain data on the characteristics of students enrolled in public-schools-throughout the. Nation. The information is required by the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) to fulfill its responsibilities under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The survey provides information on minority, handicapped, and limited-Englishproficient enrollments, disciplinary actions, the com.position of the graduating class, and participation in programs for exceptional children.
Some 5,000 school districts and 51,000 individual schools participated. School districts were not randomly
selected, rather, districts warranting continued monitor"ing based on the 1978 survey were chosen,' as well as a random sample of remaining districts with at least 300 students.

Further information is available from:
Nancy Russell
Surveys and Data Analysis Branch
Office for Civil Rights
400 Marylañ Ave., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20202

## Bureau of the Census

## Quarterly Summary of State and Local Tax Revenue

State tax revenue data shown in this report are collected as part of the Quarterly Summary of State and Local Tax Revenue through mailed surveys of appropriate State offices. In some instances, data were compiled by trained representatives of the Bureau of the Census from official State records.

The concept of "taxes" is comprised of all compulsory contributions exacted by a government for public purposes, except employer and employee assessments for retirement and social insurance purposes, which are classed as insurance, trust revenue. Outside the scope of this report, accordingly, are colliections for the unemployment comptensation "taxes". imposed by each of the State govemments and the District of Columbia: Included, however, are all receipts from licenses and compulsory fees, including those which are imposed for regulatory purposes as well as those designed to provide reveñue.
Tax revenue is defined to includé related penalty and interest receipts, but to excludersprotested amounts and refunds. The deduction from gross collections of amounts refunded is particularly significant with respect to motor fuel sales taxes ("gasoline" taxes): .

Data are subject to possible inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing. Every effort is made to keep such errors to ${ }^{\circ}$ a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data.

More detailed figures on State tax revenue, with defini-. tions of particular types of taxes, appear in the annual reports, State Government Tax Collections and State Government Finances.

Further information is available from:

> Dpnna Hirsch
> Governments Division
> Bureau of the Census Washington, D.C. 20233

## . Survey of Local Government Finances



The Survey of Local Govermment Finandes collects detaileddata on the finances of local public school systems, The report form whes developed jointly by NCES and the Goveriments Division of the Bureaurof the. Census, and the Census Bureau was responsible for the data collection. The data were obtained via the cooperation of State Education Agencief (SEA's). In most cases, SEA's transmitted the data to the Census Bureai by means of computer tapes, tabulations, or report forms. In a few instances, Census Bureau staff went to the SEA to collect the data from files. States had the option of providing data for all their school districts or for a sample selected by the Census Bureau. Most opted to prôvide data for all their districts. Data editing was done by Census Bureau staff.
For further information, contact:

> Lawrence MacDonald Governments Division Bureau of the Census Washington; D.C. 20233


## Gallup Poll

## Annual Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools

This is the 14th "Annual Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools" conducted by the Gallup Poll. The survey uses a modified probability sample to produce an approximation of the noninstitutional givilian population, 18 years and older, living in the United


States. Personal, in-home interviews were conducted with 1,557 adults in all areas of the Nation and in all types of communities in May 1982. Allowance for persons not at home was made by a "tmes-at-home" weighting procedure rather than by "callbacks": This procedure is a standard method for reducing the sample bias that would otherwise result from underrepresentation in the sample of persons who are difficult to find at home.

The estimates obtained from the annual survey of attitudes toward public schools are subject to both sampling and nonsampling error. Nonsampling error could result from any of the general sources previously listed. In addition, nonsampling error often occurs in attitude surveys due to the specific times that the survey was taken. Attitudes can be significantly affected by events that impinge on the respondents immediately prior to the survey. Considering sampling error, Tables A6 ànd A7 show how much allowance should bemade for the sampling error of a percentage and a difference, respectively:

- If questions exist concerning the Annual Survey of the Public's Åttitudes Toward the Public Schools; they can be directed to:


0

Status of the Americán Public School Teacheris a survey conducted every 5 years by the National Education Association (NEA): The survey was designed by the NEA Research Division and initially administered in 1956. The intent of the survey is to solicit information covering various aspects of public school teachers' professional, family, and civic lives.

Selection of participants for the survey is accomplished using a two-stage sample design, with the first stage titratum being determined by the number of students. enrolled in the districts. Selection probabilities are deter-
mined so that the resulting sample"is self-weighting. In 1980-81, a sample of 1,768 was selected from the approximately $2,185,000$ public school teachers and 1,326 usable replies were obtained. This yielded a response rate of 75 percent.

Possible sources of nonsampling error are nonresponses, misinterpretation, and, when comparing data over years, changes in the sampling method and instrument. Misinterpretation of the survey, items should be minimal, as the sample responding is not from the general population but one knowledgeable, about the area of concern. With the sampling procedure changed after 1956 and some wording of items changed over the different administrations, care is taken to present only comparable data.

Since sampling ris used, sampling variability is inherent in the data. An approximation to the maximum standard error for estimating population ${ }^{\circ}$ percentages is 1.4 percent. To estimate the population percentage with 90 percent confidence, the maximum standard error of 1.4 percent is multiplied by $1.65(0.014 \times 1.65=0.023)$ to produce the largest error associated with any single sample proportion ( 2.3 percent). For example, if a a sample percentage is 60 percent, there is a 90 percent chance that the population percentage lies between 57.7 percent and 62.3 percent ( 60 percent $\pm 2.3$ percent).

If comparisons of two percentageş are to be mađe, Table A8 gives maximum differences for significance at the 90 -percent-confidence level.
If questions exist concerning the Status of the AmericanPublic School Teacher Survey, they can be directed to:

9.' Suzanne Gardner<br>National Education Association<br>Research Division<br>1201 16th Street, N.W.<br>Washingtons D.C. 20036

## American Council on Education

## American Freshman Survey

Sponsored by the American Council on Education (ACE), the annual survey of college freshmen is admin-
istered through the Cooperative Institutional Research Program at the University of California, Los Angeles. Since 1966the survey has collected biographic and demographic data on career ptaps, educational aspirations, financial arrangements, and current attitudes of the Na : - tion's entering freshman classes. The 1982 survey obtained usable information from 188,692 freshmen in 350 participating institutions of higher education. Of 2,747 institutions invited to participate, 508 ( 18 percent) chose to participate. Although forms were returned by 492 institutions ( 97 percent), only data from the 350 institutions whose coverage of entering students was judged representative were used. The data obtained from stu-: dents were differentially weighted because of the disproportionate sampling of institutions and because not all students completed forms at each college. The major stratifying factors include racial predominance, type, control, and selectivity of institutions. The weighted data reflect the responses of first-time, full-time freshmen obtained during the initial weeks of the fall term.

A full discussion of the design and sampling, procedures is provided in The American Freshman: National Norms For Fall. 1982 , available from:

Coóperative Institutional Research Program
University of California, Los Angeles
${ }^{\text {' Los Angeles, California } 90024}$

## National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education and the Professions <br> Directory of Facult Contracts and Bargaining Agents in Institutionssof Higher Education

The ${ }_{4}$ Directory of Faculty Contracts and Bargaining Agents is a project of the National Center for the Study of

Collective Bargaining in Higher Education and the Professions, a clearinghodse for information on collective ${ }^{\sim}$ bargaining. The universe for institutions included in the Directory are all 2-year and 4-year institutions listed in NCES's Education Directory. The sources for information include research surveys, telephone interviews, and abstracting of all prior Directories.

The data refer to the total number of recognized bargaining agents and the number of collective bargaining agreements with bargaining agents in the United States according to available information. A bargaining "agent is an organization such as the National Education Association, American Federation of Teachers, etc. recognized by the institution either voluntarily or through agent elections as representint the interests of faculty in collective bargaining. As long asthe certificate of recognition is in effect, the institution is designated as havjng a bargaining agent, even if no collective bargaining bas iever taken place. Multi-campus units have been coulnted as a single institution with a single bargaining agent undess the individual campuses have separate agreements and bargaining agents, in which case they are treated as separate institutions. If there is more than one bargaining unit and recognized bargaining agent in any particular institution, the total number of bargaining agents elected
in that institution was used.

Further information can be obtained'from:
Joel M. Douglas
National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining
$\therefore$ \in Higher Education and the Professions
Baruch College
City University of New York
17 Lexington Ave., Box 322
New York, New York 10010
Further.information can be obtand
..
$\qquad$



## Table A2

Coefficients of Variation for Estimates of Bacheldr's Recipients, From the 1978 Recent College Graduates Survey

| Percentage of Graduates in Subgroup | Special and Vocational Education $N=31,800$ | All Education $N=133.500$ | Nonlucation $N=764,300$ | Total $Q^{N}=897,800$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | . 229 | . 154 | ${ }^{2} .087$ | . 076 |  |
| 10 | . 158 | . 106 | . 060 | . 053 |  |
| 15 | . 125 | . 084 | . 047 | . 042 |  |
| 20 | . 105 | . 071 | -. 040 | . 035 |  |
| 25 - | . 091 | . 061 | -. 034 | . 030 |  |
| 30 | . 080 | . 054 | . 030 | . 027 |  |
| 40 | . 064 | $\therefore .043$ | . 024 | . 021 | $\checkmark$ |
| 50 | . 053 | -. . 035 | . 020 | . 018 |  |
| 60 | . 043 | . 029 | . 016 | . 014 |  |
| 70 | . 034 | . 023 | . 013 | . 011 |  |
| 80 | . 026 | . 018 | . 010 | -. 009 |  |
| 90 | . 018 | . 012 | . 007 | $\therefore 006$ |  |
| 95 | . 012 | . 008 | . 005 | gois |  |



## Table A3

Coefficients of Variation for Estimates of Bachelor's Recipients, From the 1981 Recent College Graduates Survey

| Percentage of Graduates in Subgroup | Special and Vocational Education $N=31,900$ | All Education $N=117,200$ | NonEducation $N=788,500$ | Total $N=905,700$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | . 190 | . 137 | . 099 | . 086 |
| 10 | . 132 | . 096 | . 068 | . 059 |
| 15 | . 106 | . 077 | . 054 | . 047 |
| 20 | 091 | . 066 | . 046 | . 039 |
| 25 | . 080 | . 058 | . 040 | . 034 |
| 30 | . 072 | . 052 | . 035 | . 030 |
| 40 | . 060 | . 044 | . 028 | . 024 |
| 50 | . 052 | . 038 | . 023 | . 020 |
| 60 | . 045 . | . 034 | . 019 | . 016 |
| 70 | . 040 | . 030 | . 016 | . 013 |
| 80 | . 036 | . 027 | . 012 | . 010 |
| 90 | . 032 | . 024 | . 009 | . 007 |
| 95 | . 030 | . 023 | . 007 | . 005 |
| 100 | . 028 | . 022 | . 005 | . 003 |

Table A4
Estimated Number Participating, From the Participation in Adult Education Survey
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## Table A5

## Estimated Percent Participating, From the Participation in Adult Education Survey

| Estimate | Base of Percentage (in Thousands) | Standard Error | 90-Percent-Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (or 99*) | 50 | 2.4 | 0.10 .4 .8 |
|  | 5,000 | . 2 | 0.67 to 1.3 |
| 10 (or $90^{\circ}$ ) | 50. | 7.1. | 0 to 21.4 |
|  | 5,000 | . 7 | 8.9 to $11.1{ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| 50 | . 50 | 11.8 | 31.1 to 68.9 |
| \% | 5,000 | 1.2 | 48.1 to 51.9 |

## Table A6

> Recommended Allowance for Sampling Error of a Percentage in the Annual Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools

| Percentage | In Percentage Points <br> (at 95 in 100 Confidence Level) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . . Sample Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | -1,500 | 1,000 | 750 | 600 | 400 | 200 | 100 |
| Percentages near 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Percentages near 20 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| Percentages near 30 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4. | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Percentages near 40 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 11 |
| Percentages near 50 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 11 |
| Percentages near 60 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 11. |
| Percentages near 70 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Percentages near 80 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| Percentages near 90 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 | 7 |

If comparisons are made across populations surveyed, the samping variability shown in Table, A7 shouid be considered.

Table A7
Recommended Allowance for Sampling Error of the Difference in the Annual Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools


Table A8
Maximum Differences Required for Significance ( 90 -Percent-Confidence Level) Between Sample Subgroups of the Status of the American Public School Teacher Survey

| Size of One Subgroup | Size of Other Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600. | 700 |
| 100: | 11.6 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| 200 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| 300 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| 400 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| 500 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| 600 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| 700 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 |



## Definitions of Selected Terms

The following terms are defined as they generally apply in the text. Readers interested in more technical, detailed definitions shopld refer to the appropriate National Cen-; ter for Education Statistics (NCES) Handbook.

Academic program: A program of studies designed primarily to prepare students for college.
Achievement test: An examination that measures the extent to which a persot has acquired certain information or mastered certain skills, usually as a result of specific instruction.

Adult education: Courses and other organized educational activities taken by persons 17 years of age and over, excluding courses taken by full-time students in programs leading toward a high school diploma or an academic degree and occupational programs of 6 months or more duration. It includés all courses taken for credit by part-time students. Providers of instruction include. not only public and private educational institutions, but also business and industry, governmental agencies, private community organizations, and tutors. (The definition applies specifically to data from the NCES Participation in Adult Education Survey).

Advanced/honors courses: Special accelerated courses for students who have achieved a high standard of performance in a special subject area or who had generally high scholarship.
Area vocational center: A shäred-time facility that protvides instruction only in vocational education to students from throughout a school system or region. Students attending an area vocational center receive the academic portion of their education program in regular secondary schools or other institutions.
Assessment area: A particular aspect of behavior or ability which is evaluated or appraised by means of a test or other measurement instrument.
Average annual percent change: As used in the State tax revenues entry, average annual percent change is calculated using the compound interest formula: $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{i})^{\mathrm{n}}$, where $\mathrm{F}=$ the final compound amount, $\mathrm{P}=$ the original principal, $\mathrm{i}=$ the interest rate per conversion period, and
$\mathrm{n}=$ the number of conversion periods. In all other entries, it is a simple average.
Bachelor's degree: A degree granted for the successful completion of a baccalaureate program of studies, usually requiring at least 4 years (or equivalent) of full-time *college-level study.
Bilingual/bicultural: A special language services program (i.e., English-as-a-Second-Language or High Intensity Language Training) or any non-language class taught in a language other than English.

Central cities: The largest city with 50,000 or more inhabitants in a Standard Mefropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA). A smaller city within an SMSA may also qualify if it has at least 250,000 inhabitants or has a population of one-third or more of that of the largest city and a minimum population of 25,000 . An exception occurs where two cities have contiguous boundaries and constitute, for economic and social purposes, a single community of at least 50,000 , the smaller of which must have a population of at least 15,000 .
Civilian labor force: All persons in the labor force, who are not in the Armed Forces, whether they are classified as employed or unemployed.

Classroom teacher: A staff member assigned the professional activities of instructing students, in classroom situations, for which daily student attendance figures for the school system are kept.
Collective bargaining agent: An organization such as the National Education Association, American Federation of Teachers, etc., recognized by the institution, either voluhtarily or through agent elections, as representing the interests of faculty in collective bargaining.
College: A postsecondary school which offers general or liberal arts education, usually leading to a first degree. Junior colleges and communtity colleges are included under this terminology.
College enrollment: Enrollment in a course that leads to a bachelor's, master's, professional, or doctorate degree, excluding vocational certification.

Competency-based certification: The general process by which the State. (or agency or organization authorized by the State) provides a credential to an individual. Processes may require individuals to demonstrate a mastery of minimum essential generic and specialization competencies and other related criteria adopted by the board through a comprehensive written examination and through other procedures that may be prescribed by the board $\mathfrak{q}^{f}$ educational examiners.

Computer-assisted instruction: Prograymed instruction utilizing an electronic computer as th principal medium of instruction.

Comprehensive secondary school: A general secondary school offering programs in both vocational and general academic subjects, but in which the majority of the students are not enrolled in programs of vocational education.

Constant dollars: Dollar amounts that have been adjusted by means of price and cost indexes ta eliminate inflationary factors and allow direct comparison across years.
Core reint expenditures: Measure of total expeñditures excludif transportation and food service costs, used in intersta arisons. : Corporat $y$ dent: Infliction of physical punishment to the.ber. a a gudent id a school employee for disciplinary redis. justed to co.
csurent fund

 seach praties. semontships and rety owships, auxiliary enterpróses, hospitet ting indeped de teperations. Exclädes Kóans, capita explenditures, antinvestments.
Curremi. funds rel inhes:Money received during the current fiscal year from revenue which can be used to pay obligations currently due, and surplyses reappropriated for the curreht fiscal year.

Doctor's degree: An earned degree carrying the title of Doctor. The Doctor of Philosophy degree (Ph.D.) is the highest academic degree, and requires mastery within a field of knowledge and demonstrated ability to perform scholarly research. Other doctorates are awarded for fulfilling specialized requirements in professional fields, such as education (Ed.D.), musical arts (D.M.A.), business administration (D.B.A.), and engineering (D.Eng. or D.E.S.). Many doctor's degrees in both academic and professional fields require an earned master's dẹgree as a prerequisite. First-professional degrees, such as M.D. and D.D.S., are counted separately and are not included under this heading.

㛖
Dropouts: Persons not enrolled in school and not high sthool graduates.

Education major: A student whose program of studies gives primary emphasis to subject matter in the area of education and who, according to his/her institutional requirements, concentrates a minimum number of courses or semester hours of college credit in the specialty of education.
Educational attainment (years of school completed): The highest grade of regular school attended and completed.
Elementary school: A school classified as elementary by . State and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above grade 8. A presctipol or kindergarten school is included under this headtrg only if it is an integral part of an elementary school or a regularly estạblished school system.
Employed: All civilians who did any work at all as paid employees. or who worked in their own business or profession or, on their own farm, or who worked 15 hours or more as unpaid workers on a farm or in a business operated by, a member of the family. The employed include as well all those who were not working but who had jobs or businesses from which they were temporarily: absent. whether or not they were paid for time off by their employers., and whether or not hey were seeking other jobs.

Endowment: The portion of an institution's income derived from donations.


Enrollment: The total number of entering students in a given school unit.

Expenditures: Charges incurred, whether paid or unpaid, which are presumed to benefit the current fiscal year. For elementary/secondary schools, these include all charges for current outlays for education, plus capital outlays and interest on school debt. For institutions of higher education, these include current outlays plus capital outlays. For government, these include charges net of recoveries and other correcting transaction-other than for retire-- ment of debt, investment in securities, extension of credit, or as agency transactions. Government expenditures include only external transactions, such as the provision of perquisites or other payments in kind. Aggregates for groups of governments exclude intergovernmental transactions among the governments.

Expénditurešँper student: Charges incurred för a particular period of time divided by a student unit of measure, e.g., average daily attendance or average daily membership.

Expulsion: The action, taken by school authorities, compelling a student to withdraw from scheol for reasons such as extreme misbehavior. incorrigibility, or unsatisfactory achievement or progress in school work.

First-professional deqgree: A degree that signifies both (a) completion of the academic requirements for beginning practice in a given.profession and (b) a level of profes-- . sional skill beyond that normally required for a bachelor's degre. This degree usually is based on a program requiring aty 2 academic years of work prior to entrance and fotal of at least 6 academic years of work to compleme the degree program, including both prior-required college' work and the professional program itsellf. First-professional degrees are atharded in fields such as o. dentistry (D.D.S. or, D.M.D. Dedicine (M.D.), optometry (O.D.), osteopathic motine (D.O.), podiatric (i. onedicine'(D. RM.), veterinary meqcine (D.Y.M.), law INJ.) and thogical professions (if Div. or M.H.L.)

Full-time-equivalent enrollment: Enrollment of full-time and the equivalent part-time students as reported by the institution or as computed by adding one-third of parttime to full-time enrobllment. ,

Full-time personnel: Employees whose positions require them to be on the job on school days throughout the school year, at least the number of hours the schools are in session; or, for higher education, those members of the staff of an educational institution who are employed on a full-time basis and whose major regular assignment is instruction.

Full-time student (higher education): Students enrolled in courses with totalcredit equal to at least 75 percent of the normal full-time course load.

General educational development (GED) program: Academic instruction to prepare persons to take the high school equivalency examination.

General program: A program of studies designed to prepare students for the common activities of persons as citizens, family members, and workers. A general program of studies may inctude instruction in both academic and vocational areas.
$G E D$ recipients: Persons who have obtained certification of high school equivalency because they have met State requirements and passed an approved exam, which is intended to provide an appraisal of their achievement or performance in the broad subject matter areas usually required for high school graduation.

Geographic regions: 1) Regions used by the U.S. Dẹpartment of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and by the National Assessment of Educational Progress, as follows:

Northeast<br>Connecticut<br>Delaware<br>District of Columbia<br>Maine<br>Maryłand<br>'Massachusetts

.$>$

Southeast

- Alabama

Arkansas
Florida
\% $\quad$ Florida
$\cdot$ Kentucky
Louisiana



Gifted/talented: Students who by virtue of outstanding abilities are capable of high performance and who require differentiated educational programs and/or services beyond those normally provided by the regular school program.

Handicapped: A "handicapped" person is one who has one or more of the exceptionalities defined below, whether or not he/she requires special education.

Educable mentally retarded: A condition of mental retardation which includes students who are educable in the academic, social, and occupational areas even though moderate supervision may be necessary.

Trainable mentally retarded: A condition of mental retardation which includes students who are capable of only very limited meaningful achievement in the traditional basic academic skills but who are capable of profiting from programs of training in self-care and simple job or vocational skills.

Hard of hearing: A hearing impairment, whether permanent or fluctuating, which adversely affects a student's educational performance but which is not included under the definition of "deaf"' in this section.
Deaf: A hearing impairment which is so severe that the student is impaired in processing linguistic information through hearing, with or without amplification, which adversely affects educational performance.

Speech impaired: A communication disorder, such as stuttering, impaired articulation, a language impair-
ment, or a voice impairment, which adversely affects a student's educational performance.

Visually handicapped: A visual impairment which, deven with correction, adversely affects a student's educational performance. The term includes both partially seeing and blind children.

0
Seriously emotionally disturbed: A condition exhibit* ing one or more of the following characteristics over a long period of time and to a marked degree, which adversely affects edudational performance: an inability to learn which cannot be explained by intellectual, sensory, or health factors; an inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and teachers; inappropriate types of behavior or feelings under normal circumstances; a general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression; or a tendency to develop physical symptoms or fears associated with personal or school problems. The term includes children who are schizophrenic or autistic.
Orthopedically impaired: A severe orthopedic impairment which adversely affects a student's educational performance. The term includes impairments cause by congenital anomaly, disease, and from other causes.

Other health impaired: Limited strength, vitality, or alertness, due to chronic or acute health problems such as a heart condition, tuberculosis, rheumatic fever, nephritis, asthma, sickle cell anemia, hemophilia, epilepsy, lead poisoning, leukemia, or diabetes, which adversely affects a studert's educational performance. Specific learning disabled: A disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in under-1. standing or in using language, spoken or written, which may manifest itself in an imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations. The term includes, such conditions as perceptual handicaps, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. The term does not include children who have learning problems which are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor handicaps, of mental retardation, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

Deaf-blind: Concomitant hearing and visual impair-- ṃents the combination of which causes such sevére communication and other developmental and educational problems that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for deaf or blind students.

Multihandicapped: Concomitant impairments (such as mentally retarded-blind, mentally retardedorthopedically impaired, etc.), the combination of which'causes such severe educational problems that they cannot be accommodated in special education programs solely for one of the impairments. The term does not include deaf-blind students. This category includes those students who are severely or profoundly mentally retarded.
High school: A secondary school offering the final years* of high school work necessary for graduation, usually including grades 10,11 , 12 (in a 6-3-3 plan) or grades 9 . ${ }^{\circ} 10,11$. and 12 (in a 6-2-4 plan).

Higher education: Study beyond the secondary school level at an institution that offers programs terminating in an associate, baccalaureate, or higher degree.

## Higher education institutions (new classification):

Doctoral-granting: These institutions are characterized by a significant level and breadth of activity in and commitment to doctoral-level education as measured by the number of doctorate recipients and the diversity in doctoral-level program offerings.

Comprehensive: These institutions are characterized by diverse post-baccalaureite programs (including first-professional), but. do not engage in significant doctoral-level education.

General baccalaureate: These institutions are characterized by their primary emphasis on general undergraduate, baccalareate-level education. They are not significantly engaged in post-baccalaureate education.

Specialized: These baccalaureate or post-baccalaureate institutions are characterized by a programmatic em-。 phasis in one area (plus closely related specialties),"
such as business or engineering. The programmatic emphasis is measured by the percentage of degrees granted in the program area.

2-year: These institutions-confer at least 75 percent of their degrees and awards for work below the bachelor's level.
"Non-degree granting: These institutions offer under-- graduate or graduate level study, but do not confer degrees or awards. $\quad \therefore$ :
Higher education institutions (traditional classification):
$\therefore$ 4-year institutions: A higher education institution legally authorized to offer and offering at least a 4 -year program of college-level studies wholly or principally creditable toward a baccalaureate degree. Within this category, a university is a postşecondary institution which typically comprises one or more colleges and one or more graduate professional schools.
4. 2-year institutions: A higher education institution legally authorized to offer and offering at least a 2-year program of college-level studies which terminates in an associate degree or is principally creditable towarda baccalaureate degree.

Junior high school: A separately" organized and admin-" istered secondary school intermediate between the elementary and senior high chools, usually including grades 7,8 , and 9 (in a $6-3-3$ plan) or grades 7 and 8 (in a 6-2-4 plan).
Labor force: All persons who are either employed as civilians, unemployed, or in the Armed Forces during a specified time.
Labor force pankicipation rate: The percent of the civilian noninstitutional popilation in the labor force.

Limited-English proficiet $(L E P)$ : Studentst who have limíted ability to understand, speak, or, read English and who have a primary or home language other than English.

Master's degree: An earned degree carrying the title of Master. One type of Master's degree-including the

Master of Arts degree (M.A.) and the Master of Science degree (M.S.) -usually is awarded in the liberal arts and sciences for advanced scholarship in a subject fietd or dịscipline and demonstrated ability to perform scholarly research. A second type of master's degree is awarded for the completion of a professionally-oriented program (e.g., in education (M.Ed.), in business administration (M.B.A.), in fine arts (M.F.A.), in music (M.M.), in: social work (M.S.W). in public administration (M.P.A.), and in other fields). A third type of master's degree is awarded in professional fields for study beyond the first-professional degree (e.g., the Master of Laws (LL.M.) and Master of Science in various medical specializations).

Mean test score: The score obtained by dividing the total sum of scores of all individuals in a group by the number of individuals in that group.

Metropolitan-nonmetropolitan residence: The population residing in standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) constitutes the metropolitan population. Ex'cept in New England, ap SMSA is a county or group of
contiguous counties which contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000 . In addition to the county. or counties, cgntaining such a city or cities; contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to certain criteria, they are essentially metropolitan in character and are Focially and economically integrated with the central city. In New England SMSA's c̣onsist of towns and cities, rather than counties.

Migration: Movement of students into or out of State to attend college. Net migration equals the number of students who come into a State minus the number of students who leave the home State to attend college.

Minimum competericy testing: Measuring the acquisition of competence or skills to or beyond a certain specified standard.

Newly qualified teacher: A person who has met the specific requirements of a State or other authorizing agency, has received certification from a State, regional,
or national accrediting body; and thitw is idered eligible and qualified to instruct studenis.
Noncollegiate postsecondary school with accupational programs: A non-degree granting institution (or an institution offering a degree that is not recognized as a - collegiate degree by the appropriate regional accrediting commission) offering instruction in vocational and technical education only, and whose educational programs dre terminal in nature. Such institutions generally have no provision for the development of transfer programs to either 2 -year or 4 -year institutions of higher education.

Not in the labor force: Any civilian, 14 years old or over, who is not classified as employed or unemployed (i.e., seeking work), including any person engaged only in own-home housework, attending school, or unable to work because of long-term physiçal or mental illness; persons who are retired or too old to work; seasonal workers for whom the survey week fell in an off-season; and the voluntarily idle.
Pari-time ștudents: Students who are carrying lesss than a - full course load, as determined by the' State, local school system, or institution.
Preprimary program: A set of organized educational experiences for children attending prekindergarten and *kindergarten classes including Heád Start progmams.
Primary school A separately organized and administered, elementary school for students in the lower ele-mèntary grades, usually including grade 1 through grade 3 or the equivalent, and sometimes including preprimary years.
Private school: A school which is controlled by an individual or by an agency other than a State, a subdivision . of a State, or the Federal government, uşually which is supported primarily by other than public funds, and the operation of whose program rests with other than publicly elected or appointed officials. -
Proprietary school: An educational institution that is under private control and whose profits derived from revenuos are subject.jo taxation.


Public school：A school operated by publicly elected or ${ }^{\circ}$ appointed school officials in which the program and activities are under the control of these officials and which is supported primarily by public funds：

Racial／ethnic group：Classification indicating geheral ra－ cial or ethnic heritage based on self－identification as in data collected by the Bureau of the Census or on observer identification as in data collected by the Office for Civil Rights．These ceategories äre in accordance with the Of－ fice of Management and Budget standard classification scheme presented below：

White：A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe．North Africa，or the Middle East．

Black：A person having origins in an the black racial groups of Africa．
－
Hispanic：A person of Mexican，Púerto Rican．Cubàn， Central or South American or other Spanish culture or origin，regardless＇of race．

Asian or Pacific Isländer：A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East，Sôutheast Asia，the Indian subcontinent，or the Pacific Islands． This area includes，for example，China，India，Japan， Korea，the Philippine Islands，and Samoa．
American Indian or Alaskan Native：A person hâving origins in any of the original peoples offNorth Amer－ ica．and who maintains cultural identifieation through tribal affiliation or community recognition．

Regular day schoot：State－approved elementary／second－ ary school offering at least one grade beyd kinder－ garten．attended by students during a part of the day，as distinguished from a residential school．Not included in this category are residential schools for exceptional chil－ dren，Federal schools for Indians，federally operated schools on Federal installations，and subcollegiate de－ partments of institutions of higher equcation．
Reljgiously＇affiliated school：A private school which in． most cases a parent church group exercises some control over＇or provides some form of subsidy to the school． Catholc sehools include those－affiliated with the Roman．
$\because$ Catholic Church，including the＂private＂Catholic schools operated by religious orders．Other affiliation includes schools ass̊ociated with other religious de－ nominations．An unaffiliated school is usually privately operated or under control of a board of trustees or direc－ tors．：
Remedial courses：Planned diagnostic and remedial ac－ tivities for individual students or groups of stuadents， designed to correct and prevent fulther learning difficul－ ties which interfere with the student＇s expected progit⿳亠⿴囗十丌 ss in develloping，skills，understandings，and appreciations in any of several required courses．

Revenues：All fựnds received from external sources，net of refunds，and correcting transactions．Noncash transac－ fions such as receipt of services，commodities，or other receipts＂in kind＂are excluded＂，as are funds received from the issuance ${ }^{7}$ of debt，liquidation of investments， and nonroutine sale of property．

Salary：The tớtal amount regularly paid or stipulatad to be paid to an individual，before deductions，for personal services rendered while on the payroll of a，business or organization．
School：A divigion of the school system consisting of students comprising one premore grade groups or other identifiable groups，organized as one unit with one or more－teachers to give，instruction of a defined type，and housed in a school plant of one of more buildings．

School district：An educational agency at the local logel， that exists primarily to operate public sahools or to con－s． tract for public school services．This term is used syn－ onymousiy with the terms＂local basic administrative unit＂and local education agency＂．
Secondary school：A school comprising，any span of grades beginning with the next grade following an ele－ mentary or middle school and ending with or below grade 12.
Senior high school：A secondarybchodl offering the final years of high school work necessary for graduation and invariably preceded by a junior high school．


Source of funds: Identifies the agency, governmental or otherwise, which appropriates the money used by a local school or local educational agency.
*) Special education: Direct instructional activities or specightearning experiences designed primarily for students identified as having exceptionalities in one or more aspects of the cognitive process and/or as tbeing underachigvers in relation to the general level or mode of their oyerall' abilities. Such services úsually are directed at sifythts with the following excedrionalities: (1) physGifily handicapped; (2) emotionaliy handicapped. (3) onutturally differen, including compen fatory educghom;
 abilities: Programs for themtíntally giffertand talented are also included in sot special education programs. Standardised fest A testcotgestert a systematic sam - pling of behavientaning datan melability and validity, administered andsegted acording to specific instructions, and capable of beinginterpreted in terms of ade$\because$ quate norms.

State educational geñ operations: Activities performed for the purpose of executing the responsibilities $y$ of the State educafional agency, an organzation established by law for the primary purpose of carrying out at
$\cdots$ Feast a part of the educational responsibilities of a State.

- Stuclent: An individual for whom instruction is provided in an educational program under the jurisdiction of a schoòl, school system, or other educational institution. No distinction is made between the term "student" and "pupil": the term "student". is used to include individuals at all instructional levels.

Student education expenditures thigher education): Expenditures for formal instruction and activities, that are most closely related to instruction.' Includes instruction and research that äre part of regular instructional services (departmental research). extension and public service.libraries, physical plant operation and maintenance, general administration, and other sponsored activities.
Suspension: Temporary dismissale a student from school by duly authorized school personnel in accordance with established regulations.

Teacher preparation prograhts: As used in this publication, departments, schoots, and institutions of higher education that confer degrees in education.

## 0

Teaching candidate: A student taking a course of studies which is designed to prepare him/her, for the teaching profession and which usually leads to the attainment of a teaching certificate, approved by a State, regional, or national accrediting body.

Tuition and fees: A payment or charge for instruction, or compensation for services, privileges, or for the use of Gequipment, books, or other goods.

* Unclassified studdts (higher education): Students not candidates for a degree or other formal award, although taking courses for credit in regular classes with other students.
Undergradugte students (highér education): Students registered at an institution of higher education who have not completed requirements ${ }^{\text {for }}$ a bachelor's "dègree.

Unemployed:'Civilians who, during a survey period, had "no employment but were available for work and (1) had engaged in any specific jobseeking activity, within the past 4 weeks, or (2) were waiting to be called back to a job from which they had been laid off, or' (3) were waiting to report to a new wage or salary job within 30 days.

Qinemployment rate: The number of unemployed persons seeking employment as a percent of the civilian labor force.

- VEA prograims. Programs receiving Federal assistance administered by the States under the provisions of the Vocational Education Act, as amended.

Vocational proxram: A program of studies designed to prepare students for emplayment in one or more semi-


Vocational programs classification: Vocational education programs are usually categorized into one of the ${ }^{\circ}$,
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Agriculture: Instruction designed to-improve competencies in agricultural occupations. Included is the - study of agricultural production, supplies, mechaniza-• tion and products, ornamèntal horticulture, forestry, and the services related thereto. :?

Distribution: Learning experiences related to the flow of goods and services from the producer to the consumer or user. These activities include selling and such salsupporting functions as buying, transpo. ing, storing, promoting, financing, markeţing rć search, and management.

Health: Related courses organized•to prepare students for assisting qualifièd personnel in providing diagnostic, therapeutic, preventije', restorative; and rehabilitative services to people, including understanding and skills essential to provide care and health services to patients.

Consumer and honiemaking: Study concerned with the economic welfare of the consumer and consumer groups in everyday life, e.g., competency in managing money, purchasing and using goods and services, banking, investments, cresdit, and the role of the consumer in the economy.

Occupdtional home economics: Courses of instruction emphasizing the acquisition of competencies needed for getting and holding a job and/or preparing for
advancement in an occupational area using home economics knowledge and skills.
: Industrial arts: Related courses organized for the development of understanding about the techmical, consumer, occúpational, recreational, organizational, managerial, social, historical, and cultural aspects industry and technology.
Office occupations: Program of instruction $\delta x \sin$, to provide opportunities for stưdents to pregas grath achieve career objectives in selected offent - tions.

$$
6.4
$$



Technical: Program of instruction that normally includes the study of underlying scie fes and supporting mathematics inherent in à technology, as well as methods, skills, and materials commonly used and services. performed in the technology. Technical eduçation pre: pares for the occupational area between the skilled craftsman and the professiơnal person-such as the physician, the engineer, and the scieqntist.

Trade and Industrial: Vocational education coñcemed with preparing persons for initial employment, for upgrading or retraining workers in a wide range of trade and industrial occupations. Such occupations are skilled or semiskilled and are concerned with design- ing, producing, testing, maintaining, or repaifnt: product or commodity.


## Cumulative Index
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Drug/dope problem (see: Opinions)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.05 percent.
    NOTE: Students participating in special education programs, the first five categories shown in the table, were categorized by the program in which they spent the most time and were represented by unduplicated percents. These students could be duplicated in the giftedttalented program, for example, students could participate in the speech impaired program and also the gitted/talented program and be counted in both categories. Data exclude enrollments in school districts of under 300 students. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil.Rights, 1980 Elementary and Secondary Civil Rights Survey, National Summaries, 1982 and State Summaries, 1982, projected data.

[^1]:    :

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated.
    ${ }^{2}$ Intermẹdiate alternative projèctions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Full-time faculty on 9 - and 12 -month contracts. Salaries on 12 -month schedule were adjusted to an academic year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Institutions reporting comparable data for each of the 1 -year periods.
    ${ }^{3}$ Adjusted:for inflation, using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the academic year.
    -SOURCE: American Association of University Professors, Academe, "The Annual Report,on the Economic Status of thé Profession, 1981-82,"'silly-August 1982, table prepared by Maryṣe Eymonerie Associates.

[^4]:    'Includes appropriations, restricted and unrestricted grants and contracts.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes appropriations, restricted and unrestricted grants and contracts, and independent operations (FFRDC)
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes revenues generated by operations that were essentially sell-supporting within the institutions, such as residence halls, lood services, student health services, and college unions. Nearly all such revenues are derived from students.

    * Includes endowment income, sales and services of educational activities, sales and services of hospitals, and other sources.

    NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
    SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, National Centes for Education Statistics, Financial 'Statistics of Institutions of Higher Education: Current 'Funds Revenués and Expenditures, 1970-71, 1974; and Higher Education General Information Survey, Financial Statistics of Institutions of Migher Education, for Fiscal Year 1981, unpublished tabulations (November 1982),

[^5]:    ' Estimates for 1971 through , 980 are from National Education Association, Teacher Supply and Demand insfublic Schools, tigo-81.

[^6]:    According to new classification, includes the former code 0800 education, plus the former code 1508 teaching of English as a foreign language.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Earned Degrees Conferred, various years. and unpublished tabulations (September 1982).

[^7]:    'College-bound seniors inelude those who indicated that they expected either to attain some college in the future or to be enrolled in eoilege for academic or vocational training in the year following high school.
    ${ }^{2}$ Caution should be exercised in interpreting change in mathematics scores because scores were based on 19 :common items out of 25 items: Differences in levets of difficulty of the other 6 items may have affected time in which to complete the 19 common items.

